## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

т		<b>™</b> T1	וח	$\overline{}$	$\frown$	
	А	N	н 1	1)(		н .

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA; INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS; FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN; FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 TRUST,

Defendants

### Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

#### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Jane Doe, by and through her undersigned counsel, Justin Robinette, Esquire, hereby submits and files the instant Complaint against Defendants, City of Philadelphia; Independence Blue Cross; Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22; Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Fund; Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan, and Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Trust, averring in support thereof, as follows:

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION:</u>

1. Plaintiff is a firefighter—a Battalion Chief—who has worked for Defendant, the City of Philadelphia, as a long-time, faithful employee for approximately twenty-eight (28), going on twenty-nine (29) years, of employment. Plaintiff is a well-respected, active member in good standing of her local union, the Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22. Despite her contributions to

this City, over the course of almost three (3) decades of employment, Defendants intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her gender identity and continue to cause her harm. Defendants, the Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 and/or the City of Philadelphia operate a categorical plan exclusion for gender-affirming care and treatment, including excluding a series of procedures known as Facial Feminization Surgery ("FFS"), for transgender women who suffer from gender dysphoria ("GD"), in the Defendants' self-funded employer-sponsored health plan. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, underwrites and administers the plan including the aforementioned discriminatory plan exclusion. Despite Plaintiff's request for preauthorization/certification, her first-level appeal/grievance, and even her second-level appeal grievance, Defendants refused to provide Plaintiff the requested coverage for facial feminization surgery ("FFS").

Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, refused to provide Plaintiff the requested coverage even in the face of two (2) similar lawsuits seeking FFS as a medically necessary and covered service, and in the face of a reported Federal district-court decision of a Senior United States District Judge, the Hon. Timothy J. Savage, in *Doe v. Independence Blue Cross*, No. 23-1530, \_\_\_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_\_\_, 2023 WL 8050471, at \*5 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 21, 2023) (Savage, J.) ("The medical necessity determination must be made considering the insured's gender identity (how the insured perceived her appearance as incongruent with her female gender identity), not her gender expression. Nonetheless, IBX based its medical necessity determination on markers of gender expression, that is, how she was perceived by others. IBX applied societal understandings of what a 'normal' woman looks like and compared Ms. Doe's appearance to those norms. What matters is how Ms. Doe identified herself.").

Defendants made several pretextual requests and forced Plaintiff to face unnecessary obstacles to secure coverage from Defendants for medically-necessary gender-affirming care and treatment, which should have been covered in the first instance, including forcing Plaintiff to expend time, effort, and money researching and securing counsel to file an appeal on her behalf. Defendants then forced Plaintiff to submit a new pre-authorization/certification request despite Plaintiff previously submitting a pre-authorization/certification request, which was previously denied on account of discrimination by the Defendants.

In conclusion, Plaintiff, Ms. Doe, was forced to maintain natal sex characteristics with which she no longer identified by virtue of the Defendants' callous and discriminatory acts committed against her. Ms. Doe found it difficult to function at work and in public and is constantly misgendered because, without FFS, she is read as not passing or not conforming to the sex assigned to her at birth. She became suicidal and considered ending her life. For purposes of making the instant coverage determination, however, what mattered was how Ms. Doe had identified herself. *See Doe v. Independence Blue Cross, supra*, \_\_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_\_, 2023 WL 8050471, at \*5. Plaintiff therefore seeks compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants. Plaintiff also seeks coverage for FFS, hair transplant, and related procedures, that are medicallynecessary and life-saving gender-affirming treatments, moving forward, in the form of future medical expenses.

#### II. THE PARTIES:

2. Plaintiff, Jane Doe ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Doe") is a citizen and resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, residing at

Plaintiff has redacted her name and

address from the pleadings consistent with the Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed Anonymously filed on this same date.

- 3. Defendant, City of Philadelphia (hereinafter "City of Philadelphia" or "the City") was, at all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff's employer, located at 1515 Arch Street, Suite 15, Philadelphia, PA 19102.
- 4. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross (hereinafter "Independence Blue Cross" or "IBX"), is a health insurance company with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 1901 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 5. Defendant, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22, is a labor organization, of which, at all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was a member, and which maintained a headquarters and principal place of business located at 415 N. 5th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19123.
- 6. Defendant, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan (hereinafter "the Plan"), is believed and averred to be the name of the health benefit plan, established according to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), with respect to Plaintiff's employer-sponsored health insurance benefits, with a headquarters and principal place of business located at 415 N. 5th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19123.
- 7. Defendants, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Fund, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan, and/or Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Trust, is a fund, trust, and/or plan controlling the benefits at issue, which were wrongfully denied, with a headquarters and principal place of business located at 415 N. 5th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19123.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

8. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1331.

- 9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over related state law claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Company v. State of Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945), and its progeny.
- 11. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3).
- 12. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants each employed more than five hundred (500) persons for each working day in each of twenty (20) or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

#### IV. MATERIAL FACTS

- 13. Ms. Doe, at all times relevant hereto, was employed by Defendant, City of Philadelphia, as a firefighter.
- 14. Ms. Doe is a Battalion Chief.
- 15. Ms. Doe provided valued contributions to Defendant, City of Philadelphia, over the course of more than approximately twenty-eight (28) years she has been employed with the Defendant, City of Philadelphia.
- 16. Ms. Doe came out during her employment with Defendant, City of Philadelphia, as transgender.

- 17. Ms. Doe came out during her employment with Defendant, City of Philadelphia, as a transgender woman.
- 18. "Gender identity" is a clear and well-established medical concept that refers to one's internal sense of oneself as having a particular gender or no gender.
- 19. Although many people who are designated male at birth based on external anatomy identify with the male gender, there are also people who do not identify with the sex that was assigned to them at birth. Transgender women are women who were assigned "male" at birth but have a female gender identity and transgender men are men who were assigned "female" at birth but have a male gender identity.
- 20. There are people who are transgender who experience feelings of incongruence between their gender identity and the sex they were assigned at birth, and experience distress as a result of that incongruence, which are symptoms of gender dysphoria ("GD").
- 21. Gender dysphoria is a serious medical condition codified in the *Diagnostic and*Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 5 (DSM-5) and International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10).
- 22. GD is a medical and therapeutic diagnosis "associated with clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning" for a person who is transgender. *See Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 5th Ed. ("DSM-V" at 302.85); *see also* Coleman, E., *et al.*, Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8, *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 23(S1), S1-S260 (2022).
- 23. Various medical procedures are available to assist transgender women to ensure a body

- that is more in congruence with their gender identity. These procedures may also alleviate gender dysphoria.
- 24. The widely accepted standards of care for treatment of gender dysphoria are published by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health ("WPATH"). The WPATH Standards of Care have been recognized as the authoritative standards of care by leading medical organizations, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Federal courts, and the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services.
- 25. Under the WPATH standards, medically necessary treatment for gender dysphoria may require facial feminization surgery ("FFS") and related procedures.
- 26. According to every leading major medical organization and the overwhelming consensus among medical experts, treatment of gender dysphoria, including surgical procedures such as FFS procedures, are effective, safe, and medically necessary when clinically indicated to alleviate gender dysphoria.
- 27. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, City of Philadelphia, was the Plaintiff's employer responsible for the provision of benefits to the Plaintiff including employer-sponsored healthcare benefits, and is required by City, State, and Federal non-discrimination laws to make insurance coverage available to individuals who are transgender, gender non-conforming, and/or who are diagnosed with gender dysphoria ("GD"), on an equal and non-discriminatory basis.
- 28. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was clinically diagnosed with gender dysphoria ("GD").
- 29. The substantial limitation on Doe's interaction with others is characterized on a regular

- basis by severe problems in primarily social and occupational functioning of which Ms.

  Doe was diagnosed with on account of her gender dysphoria ("GD").
- 30. Ms. Doe was clinically diagnosed with GD and has clinically significant distress associated with being transgender.
- 31. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, issued and had in place for the Plaintiff a policy of health insurance (hereinafter "the Medical Policy," "Medical Policy Bulletin," or "the subject Policy") to insure Plaintiff for health insurance. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, insured Plaintiff for expenses and costs related to her healthcare. A true and correct copy of the "Commercial Medical Policy," or "Medical Policy Bulletin," for "Treatment of Gender Dysphoria," is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 32. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff complied with all terms and conditions of the subject Policy.
- 33. Ms. Doe submitted a preclearance or preauthorization request to the Defendants seeking the Defendants' approval for the coverage of a series of surgical facial procedures known as "Facial Feminization Surgery," or "Facial Feminization Surgeries," abbreviated "FFS," which included specifically for Ms. Doe a rhinoplasty, septoplasty, septorhinoplasty, dermabrasian for rhinophyma, forehead reduction, repair of brow ptosis (supraciliary, mid-forehead or coronal approach), and Ms. Doe will need hair transplant procedures.
- 34. Ms. Doe sought coverage for services for gender-affirming care and treatment from the Defendants in an attempt to alleviate Ms. Doe's gender dysphoria.

- 35. Following Defendants' unlawful and discriminatory denial of Plaintiff's request for preauthorization/preclearance for FFS, Plaintiff was forced to expend time and effort, and
  unnecessary expense, appealing the decision and gathering documentation in support of
  her claim, which Defendants knew to be a legitimate claim, and yet Defendants
  unlawfully denied the claim on account of discrimination based on sex/gender, gender
  identity, gender stereotyping, and/or disability (gender dysphoria).
- 36. Despite Plaintiff providing documentation of Plaintiff's condition from Plaintiff's psychiatric nurse, Plaintiff's first-level appeal/grievance was unlawfully denied on account of discrimination due to Plaintiff's sex/gender, gender identity, gender stereotyping, and/or disability (gender dysphoria).
- 37. Plaintiff was forced to expend time, effort, and unnecessary expense, submitting a second-level appeal/grievance, which Defendants again arbitrarily denied, on account of discrimination due to Plaintiff's sex/gender, gender identity, gender stereotyping, and/or disability (gender dysphoria).
- 38. Defendants should be regarded as having knowledge and/or recklessly disregarding Ms. Doe's Federally-protected rights to be free from discrimination on account of Ms. Doe's sex/gender, gender identity, based on gender stereotyping, and/or based on Ms. Doe's disability (gender dysphoria), by denying Ms. Doe medically-necessary treatments to alleviate her gender dysphoria.
- 39. Defendants forced Plaintiff to go through an arduous process to secure coverage for FFS and related procedures, in the hope that Plaintiff would simply give up and go away, when Defendants knew and/or recklessly disregarded that their actions constituted

- illegal sex stereotyping and violated Federal, state, and City law.
- 40. For example, as recently as in or around late December 2023, Defendants denied Plaintiff's legitimate claim for gender-affirming care and treatment, and specifically noted, on the denial, that the request was sought, in part, for "Gender Affirming Interventions" (bold in original). Defendants denied the claim anyway. Defendants had knowledge and/or recklessly disregarded Ms. Doe's Federally-protected rights to be free from discrimination and, therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.
- 41. Defendants had knowledge that their actions violated the law but recklessly disregarded the same, because Defendants denied Plaintiff's legitimate claim by applying their cosmetic-surgery exclusion in an intentionally discriminatory manner, to deny and exclude Plaintiff from coverage for gender-affirming care and treatment solely on account of her sex/gender, gender identity, gender stereotyping, and/or based on Plaintiff's disability (gender dysphoria).
- 42. Defendants stated that they were denying Plaintiff's request for pre-authorization on account of the fact that Plaintiff was attempting to "improve her appearance," which is incorrect and discriminatory, as Plaintiff is not attempting to "improve her appearance" but is instead attempting to secure medically-necessary treatment for her gender-dysphoria condition.
- 43. Stating that a transgender woman who suffers from GD is attempting to "improve her appearance" applies societal notions of what a traditionally female face is, and compares Ms. Doe to those notions. What matters is how Ms. Doe identifies her gender identity.

- 44. Stating that a transgender woman who suffers from gender dysphoria is attempting to "improve her appearance" is discriminatory based on sex.
- 45. To add insult to injury, stating that a transgender woman who suffers from GD is attempting to "improve her appearance," not only applies societal notions of what a traditionally feminine face looks like to Ms. Doe, for purposes of having Ms. Doe compared against those standards, but further suggests that Ms. Doe does not meet society's expectation for a traditionally feminine face (*i.e.*, needs improvement). Because this is allegedly, according to Defendants, the very reason that Plaintiff is seeking FFS, in the first instance, to bring her into conformity with society's stereotyped expectation for a female face, Defendants' acts are contradictory and inconsistent and should be regarded as discriminating against Ms. Doe intentionally, to avoid paying her legitimate claim. Defendants' actions in this regard should also be regarded as being taken with knowledge that their actions violated the law, and/or in reckless disregard of whether their actions violated the law, further warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 46. Requiring a transgender person to show that they "fall outside the broad range of normal for the female gender" to be covered, and then to deny another transgender person coverage because they are seeking to "improve appearance," is contradictory, and if applied to the same claimant, puts the person in a double-bind as they cannot meet both requirements at the same time, further suggesting that Defendants' intent in discriminating against the transgender community is to avoid paying the claim from the transgender or gender non-conforming person entirely. Defendants acted with

- knowledge and/or recklessly disregarded Ms. Doe's Federally protected rights because Defendants did not want to pay Ms. Doe's legitimate claim warranting the imposition of punitive damages against Defendants.
- 47. FFS is by definition reconstructive surgery and a medical necessity for women like Ms.

  Doe, and it is discriminatory to suggest otherwise, or that she is simply seeking to improve her appearance.
- 48. Ms. Doe has spent years of her life presenting herself in a manner that is not her authentic self and is now age 55, and has been subjected to overt discrimination by Defendants in her attempts to secure for herself features that are more in congruence with her gender identity.
- 49. Defendants have required Ms. Doe to maintain sex characteristics of her sex assigned at birth with which she no longer identifies which has caused Ms. Doe to consider committing suicide.
- 50. Defendants' discrimination has exacerbated Ms. Doe's gender-dysphoria disability and caused Ms. Doe psychological and emotional distress for which she seeks compensatory damages.
- 51. According to United States District Judge Timothy J. Savage's recent Opinion in *Doe v. Independence Blue Cross*, No. 23-1530, \_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_, 2023 WL 8050471, Dkt. 41 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 21, 2023) (Savage, J.), which appears to be reported, and therefore binding and precedential in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the medical-necessity determination must be made based on the claimant's internal sense of their gender—their gender identity—not on external markers of gender—their gender expression. *See Doe*

- v. Independence Blue Cross, No. 23-1530, \_\_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_\_, 2023 WL 8050471, at \*5 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 21, 2023) (Savage, J.) ("The medical necessity determination must be made considering the insured's gender identity (how the insured perceived her appearance as incongruent with her female gender identity), not her gender expression. Nonetheless, IBX based its medical necessity determination on markers of gender expression, that is, how she was perceived by others. IBX applied societal understandings of what a 'normal' woman looks like and compared Ms. Doe's appearance to those norms. What matters is how Ms. Doe identified herself.").
- 52. When Plaintiff contacted a representative from the Plan, to inquire about the lack of coverage for gender-affirming care and treatment, a Plan representative stated that "the Plan has an exclusion," or words to that effect, despite the fact that Defendants hold themselves out to the public as insuring transgender and gender non-conforming individuals on an equal and non-discriminatory basis.
- 53. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe by refusing to extend insurance coverage to Ms. Doe for facial feminization surgeries ("FFS") and related procedures as part of the Defendants' employer-sponsored health plan, administered and underwritten by Defendant, Independence Blue Cross.
- 54. Under the Medical Policy Bulletin of the Defendants, relating to the treatment of gender dysphoria, a clear "functional impairment" included impairments in social functioning and occupational functioning, as "functional impairment" was defined in Defendant's policy. See Medical Policy Bulletin, DOE\_IBC\_000001 DOE\_IBC\_000005, and attached hereto as Ex. "D," Bates DOE\_IBC\_000003, ECF p. 4 ("Clinically significant").

- distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning").
- 55. Plaintiff's impairments in social and occupational functioning were functional in nature and were clearly and plainly covered under the Plan, therefore, benefits were wrongfully denied by Defendants.
- 56. Defendants did not apply the "functional impairment" component of the Policy appropriately or correctly in Plaintiff's case. *See also Doe v. Independence Blue Cross*, No. 23-1530, \_\_\_ F. Supp. 3d \_\_\_, 2023 WL 8050471, at \*2, n. 26 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 21, 2023).
- 57. Plaintiff's functional impairments consisted of functional impairments in social and occupational functioning.
- 58. Plaintiff's impairments caused her to be unable to function in society.
- 59. Plaintiff's impairments caused her to be unable to work without reasonable accommodation.
- 60. Plaintiff's gender identity was known to claims agents and representatives of Defendant,
  Independence Blue Cross, but despite Defendants' knowledge of Plaintiff's gender
  identity, Defendants nevertheless included in written correspondence to Plaintiff a name
  that was not Plaintiff's preferred name, in most or all correspondence directed to Plaintiff
  by Defendant, Independence Blue Cross.
- 61. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, did not abide by its own stated policies of nondiscrimination in the Plaintiff's case, and do not abide by their own policies of nondiscrimination with respect to people who are transgender who have gender dysphoria, therefore the Defendants are discriminating against the Plaintiff and other people who

- are transgender.
- 62. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross's, policies provide, in pertinent part, which is not an adequate policy, but which, nevertheless, Defendants have violated, to wit:

The Member has the right to receive health care services without discrimination based on race, ethnicity, age, mental or physical disability, genetic information, color, religion, gender, national origin, source of payment, sexual orientation, or sex, including stereotypes and gender identity, for Medically Necessary health services made available on the same terms for all individuals, regardless of sex assigned at birth, gender identity, or recorded gender; Based on an individual's sex assigned at birth, gender identity, or recorded gender, if it is different from the one to which such health service is ordinarily available; Related to gender transition if such denial or limitation results in discriminating against a transgender individual.

- 63. Defendants' decision was taken in conscious or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's Federally-protected rights.
- 64. Defendants' decision to deny coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS surgeries constitutes illegal discrimination against her on account of her being transgender, based on her gender dysphoria, and/or on the basis of impermissible gender stereotypes.

#### V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

#### **COUNT I:**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 ("TITLE VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 65. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 66. The acts and omissions described above discriminate on the basis of sex in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII").

- Defendants intentionally discriminated against Ms. Doe and subjected Ms. Doe to unequal terms, conditions, benefits, and privileges of her employment, by denying or excluding coverage for facial feminization surgeries and related procedures as covered services when medically necessary as gender-affirming care and treatment, from Defendant, City of Philadelphia's, self-funded employer-sponsored health plan ("the Plan").
- 68. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, funded the Plan.
- 69. Additionally, "employer" as it is defined and used in Title VII is sufficiently broad to encompass any party who significantly affects access of any individual to employment opportunities, compensation, terms, conditions, privileges, or benefits, of which health insurance benefits are included.
- 70. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants, City of Philadelphia,
  Independence Blue Cross, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22, Firefighters &
  Paramedics Local 22 Fund, and Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan,
  exercised extensive control over benefits, which is an important aspect of the
  employment relationship.
- 71. Defendants exercised control over the coverage of FFS procedures, and did not permit coverage of FFS procedures based on gender stereotyping, discrimination based on sex, and/or discrimination based on gender identity.
- 72. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender identity, on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender, and based on gender stereotyping, by wrongfully denying health insurance coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS and related procedures.

- 73. Defendants rejected coverage for the requested procedures calling them cosmetic and not medically necessary when FFS procedures are, in fact, medically necessary and not cosmetic for individuals like Plaintiff who have been diagnosed with gender dysphoria.
- 74. Defendants denied coverage to Plaintiff on the basis that Plaintiff was attempting to "improve appearance," which is discriminatory based on sex, applying stereotyped notions of what a traditional female face looks like, and comparing Plaintiff to those standards (gender expression), when what matters is how Plaintiff identified herself (her gender identity).
- 75. Plaintiff was frequently misgendered with a name that was not Plaintiff's preferred name which was used to refer to Plaintiff throughout the claims process. Defendants knew Plaintiff's gender identity but nevertheless misgendered Plaintiff with a preferred name that was not Plaintiff's preferred name.
- 76. Defendants' discriminatory acts described herein result in disparate treatment of the Defendant, City of Philadelphia's, employees based on sex, gender identity, and gender stereotyping, in violation of Title VII.
- 77. Defendants denied medically-necessary care and treatment for Ms. Doe's gender dysphoria by stereotyping her based on sex, stating that she was attempting to improve her appearance, when she was not, and instead was seeking medically-necessary care and treatment for her gender dysphoria.
- 78. Defendants engaged in the foregoing discriminatory acts and/or omissions with malice or with reckless indifference to Ms. Doe's protected rights under Title VII and therefore punitive damages are warranted against the Defendants.

- Plaintiff suffered loss of income including back and front pay on account of Defendants' discriminatory denial of insurance coverage, as Plaintiff cannot function in society or work without FFS and related procedures, as a result of Defendants' discriminatory denial, which created a barrier to care that effectively required Plaintiff to maintain the sex characteristics of her sex assigned at birth, and with sex characteristics for a sex with which she no longer identified, in order to remain employed, constituting sex discrimination in violation of Title VII.
- 80. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms, emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 81. Defendants, City of Philadelphia, Independence Blue Cross, Firefighters & Paramedics
  Local 22, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Fund, and Firefighters & Paramedics
  Local 22 Health Plan, intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff by subjecting
  Plaintiff to unequal terms, conditions, benefits, and privileges of her employment, by
  denying coverage for facial feminization surgeries, procedures, and related medical
  treatments, as covered services, when medically necessary as gender-affirming care and
  treatment, from Defendant, City of Philadelphia's, self-funded employer-sponsored
  health plan.

#### **COUNT II:**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON GENDER IDENTITY IN VIOLATION OF THE PHILADELPHIA FAIR PRACTICES ORDINANCE ("PFPO"), CHAPTER 9-1100, SECTION 9-1101, et seq., OF THE PHILADELPHIA CODE (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 82. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 83. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe in violation of the PFPO, at Section 9-1101, <u>et seq.</u>, of the Philadelphia Code.
- 84. The Defendants constitute an "employer" within the meaning of the PFPO at § 9-1102(h).
- 85. Defendants engaged in "discrimination" as defined by the PFPO which prohibits discrimination that includes "[a]ny direct or indirect practice of exclusion . . . refusal," or "denial," "on the basis of actual or perceived . . . sex," or "gender identity." § 9-1102(e).
- 86. The PFPO explicitly protects Plaintiff from discrimination on the basis of her "gender identity," § 9-1102(e), therefore prohibits the discriminatory conduct complained of herein.
- 87. The PFPO prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex, gender, gender identity, gender transition, and gender stereotyping.
- 88. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender identity, on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender, and based on gender stereotyping, by wrongfully denying health insurance coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS and related procedures.
- 89. Defendants engaged in the foregoing discriminatory acts and/or omissions with malice or with reckless indifference to Doe's protected rights and therefore punitive damages are warranted against the Defendants.

90. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms, emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.

#### **COUNT III:**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 1557 OF THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 18116 (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 91. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 92. Congress prohibited sex discrimination in any health care program and activity, including policies of insurance issued on behalf of health insurance companies, that includes the Defendants, IBX and QCC, at 42 U.S.C. § 18116, also known as Section 1557, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, or Affordable Care Act.
- 93. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants received Federal financial assistance, including, it is believed, for their health programs or activities, and are therefore covered entities under Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act.
- 94. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, is a health insurance issuer, with respect to Defendant, City of Philadelphia's, self-funded employer-sponsored health plan, and is therefore a covered entity within the meaning of Section

- 1557 of the Affordable Care Act.
- 95. 42 U.S.C. § 18116 covers the Defendants as Section 18116 provides, in pertinent part, as follows:
  - § 18116. Nondiscrimination.
  - (a) In general.

Except as otherwise provided for in this title (or an amendment made by this title), an individual shall not, on the ground prohibited under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), or section 794 of title 29, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any health program or activity, any part of which is receiving Federal financial assistance, including credits, subsidies, or contracts of insurance, or under any program or activity that is administered by an Executive Agency or any entity established under this title (or amendments).

42 U.S.C. § 18116(a).

- 96. Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act, along with its implementing regulations, at 45 CFR § 92, et seq., 81 FR 31375-473 (May 18, 2016), have adopted the ACA non-discrimination rule, which prohibits discrimination based on sex, gender identity, and gender expression, by referring to and incorporating therein the prohibitions of Title IX (20 U.S.C. § 1681) against sex discrimination including its enforcement provisions.
- 97. According to 45 CFR § 92.1, "Section 1557 requires the application of the enforcement mechanisms under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) for purposes of violations of Section 1557 and this part." 45 CFR § 92.1 (Subpart A General Provisions).

98. 45 CFR § 92.2 further provides in pertinent part:

Except as provided in Title I of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (or any amendment thereto), an individual shall not, on any of the grounds set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any health program or activity, any part of which is receiving Federal financial assistance (including credits, subsidies, or contracts of insurance) provided by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; or under any program or activity administered by the Department under such Title; or under any program or activity administered by any entity established under such Title.

45 CFR § 92.2.

99. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, was required to certify compliance with the prohibitions against sex discrimination in 42 U.S.C. § 18116 in order to participate in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's State Health Insurance Exchange, under 45 C.F.R. § 92.4:

#### Assurances.

(a) Assurances. An entity applying for Federal financial assistance to which this part applies shall, as a condition of any application for Federal financial assistance, submit an assurance, on a form specified by the Director of the Department's Office for Civil Rights, that the entity's health programs or activities will be operated in compliance with section 1557 and this part. A health insurance issuer seeking certification to participate in an Exchange or a State seeking approval to operate a State Exchange to which section 1557 or this part applies shall, as a condition of certification or approval, submit an assurance, on a form specified by the Director of the Department's Office for Civil Rights, that the health program or activity will be operated in compliance with section 1557 and this part. An applicant or entity may incorporate this assurance by reference in subsequent applications to the Department for Federal financial assistance or requests for certification to participate in an Exchange or approval to operate a State Exchange.

45 C.F.R. § 92.4.

100. Section 1557 provides that "an individual shall not, on the ground prohibited under ...Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681, et seq.)" – which

- prohibits discrimination "on the basis of sex" "be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any health program or activity, any part of which is receiving Federal financial assistance." 42 U.S.C. § 18116(a).
- 101. The Department of Health and Human Services' ("HHS") regulations including the ACA non-discrimination rule apply to "covered entities" and prohibit discrimination on the basis of gender identity. 45 C.F.R. §§ 92.4, 92.207.
- 102. Defendants constitute an issuer of insurance products, plans, and benefits that receive Federal financial assistance for those products, plans, and benefits.
- 103. Each of the Defendants constitute a "covered entity" under 45 C.F.R. § 92.4.3.
- 104. Discrimination on the basis of gender identity, transgender status, gender transition, or gender nonconformity constitutes discrimination on the basis of "sex" under Section 1557.
- 105. Ms. Doe has been wrongfully subjected to discrimination by Defendants because of her sex.
- 106. In addition to wrongfully denying Plaintiff insurance coverage on account of her gender identity, on account of gender stereotypes, and/or on account of sex, Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, misgendered Ms. Doe. Defendants knew Ms. Doe's gender identity but nevertheless used a name that was not Ms. Doe's preferred name to refer to her throughout the claims process. Defendants have the facilities to include a preferred name but did not do so for Ms. Doe.
- 107. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms

and other damages, as described more fully herein on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic and other damages.

#### **COUNT IV:**

# DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF SECTION 1557 OF THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT, 42 U.S.C. § 18116 (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, AND FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 108. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 109. Ms. Doe has a disability, gender dysphoria, or "GD," covered within the meaning of Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act.
- 110. Congress prohibited discrimination in any health care program and activity, including policies of insurance issued on behalf of health insurance companies, on the basis of disability, that includes the Defendants, at 42 U.S.C. § 18116, also known as Section 1557, the Affordable Care Act.
- 42 U.S.C. § 18116 provides, in pertinent part, as follows;§ 18116. Nondiscrimination.
  - (a) In general.

Except as otherwise provided for in this title (or an amendment made by this title), an individual shall not, on the ground prohibited under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), or section 794 of title 29 [the Rehabilitation Act], be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any health program or activity, any part of which is receiving Federal financial assistance, including credits, subsidies, or contracts of insurance, or under any program or activity that is administered by an Executive Agency or any entity established under this title (or amendments).

42 U.S.C. § 18116(a) (emphasis added).

- 112. According to 45 CFR § 92.1, "Section 1557 requires the application of the enforcement mechanisms under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.), Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) for purposes of violations of Section 1557 and this part." 45 CFR § 92.1 (Subpart A General Provisions).
- 113. Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, was required to certify compliance with the prohibitions against discrimination based on disability as set forth in 42 U.S.C. § 18116 in order to participate in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's State Health Insurance Exchange, under 45 C.F.R. § 92.4:

#### Assurances.

(a) Assurances. An entity applying for Federal financial assistance to which this part applies shall, as a condition of any application for Federal financial assistance, submit an assurance, on a form specified by the Director of the Department's Office for Civil Rights, that the entity's health programs or activities will be operated in compliance with section 1557 and this part. A health insurance issuer seeking certification to participate in an Exchange or a State seeking approval to operate a State Exchange to which section 1557 or this part applies shall, as a condition of certification or approval, submit an assurance, on a form specified by the Director of the Department's Office for Civil Rights, that the health program or activity will be operated in compliance with section 1557 and this part. An applicant or entity may incorporate this assurance by reference in subsequent applications to the Department for Federal financial assistance or requests for certification to participate in an Exchange or approval to operate a State Exchange.

45 C.F.R. § 92.4

114. The Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") regulations including the ACA non-discrimination rule apply to "covered entities" and prohibit discrimination on the

- basis of actual or perceived disability. 45 C.F.R. §§ 92.4, 92.207.
- 115. Defendants each constitute a "covered entity" under 45 C.F.R. § 92.4.3.
- 116. Ms. Doe has been wrongfully been subjected to discrimination by Defendants because of her disability (gender dysphoria).
- 117. Defendants incorrectly applied the "functional impairment" provision of their Policy, see Medical Policy Bulletin, attached hereto as Exhibit "C," p. 4, requiring Plaintiff to state a physical deformity, disfigurement, abnormality, or impairment, which is discriminatory, when Plaintiff had demonstrated functional impairments in social and occupational functioning, which was sufficient.
- 118. It is believed and therefore averred Defendants rejected coverage for the requested procedures on the additional basis that Plaintiff was required to demonstrate a physical or functional component to obtain coverage for FFS under Defendants' policy. However, Plaintiff stated a functional impairment consistent with the written terms of the policy by stating functional impairments in social and occupational functioning. Defendants improperly applied the "functional impairment" provision of their policy against Plaintiff. Therefore, Defendants' denial is improper, arbitrary, capricious, and discriminatory on the basis of Ms. Doe's disability (gender dysphoria).
- 119. Defendants denied medically-necessary care and treatment for Ms. Doe's gender dysphoria by stating that she was attempting to improve her appearance, when she was not, and instead was seeking medically-necessary care and treatment for her gender dysphoria, which Defendants denied on account of discrimination due to Ms.

- Doe's gender-dysphoria disability.
- 120. Defendants rejected coverage stating that Plaintiff was required to demonstrate a physical or functional component (such as, for example, disfigurement) to obtain coverage for FFS under Defendants' policy. However, Plaintiff *has* demonstrated a physical or functional impairment (gender dysphoria), and Defendants' denial is improper, arbitrary, capricious, and discriminatory.
- 121. Defendants rejected coverage for the requested procedures on the improper basis that Plaintiff had demonstrated a *mental* impairment, and had not demonstrated a *physical* impairment, which is factually incorrect as Plaintiff had demonstrated a physical impairment.
- 122. Defendants' rejection of coverage on the basis that Plaintiff has demonstrated a *mental* impairment, as opposed to a *physical* impairment, constitutes impermissible discrimination, or disparate treatment, based on disability. Plaintiff contends she has a physical impairment. However, assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff does not have a physical impairment, Plaintiff's gender dysphoria constitutes a mental impairment, and covering similarly situated transgender or non-transgender individuals with a *physical* impairment, but not a *mental* impairment, for such surgeries, constitutes discrimination based on disability.
- 123. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms and other damages, as described more fully herein on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic and other damages.

#### COUNT V: DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES

# ACT ("ADA"), AS AMENDED, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, AND FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 124. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 125. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe based on her disability—gender dysphoria ("GD")—in violation of Title I of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a), et seq.—which prohibits employment discrimination including the unequal and discriminatory provision of benefits based on disability.
- 126. Defendants constitute covered entities pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).
- 127. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant, City of Philadelphia, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12111(4).
- 128. Plaintiff received health insurance coverage through the City's employer-sponsored healthcare plan, controlled by Defendants, City of Philadelphia, Independence Blue Cross, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Fund, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan, administered and underwritten by Defendant, Independence Blue Cross.
- 129. Defendants are covered under Title I of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a), et seq.
- 130. Alternatively, Defendant, City of Philadelphia, delegated an important aspect of the employment relationship to a third party, Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, which discriminated against the Plaintiff based on disability in violation of Title I of the ADA.
- 131. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants had control over the coverage of FFS procedures and did not permit coverage of FFS procedures based on discrimination on

- account of Ms. Doe's disability (gender dysphoria).
- 132. Doe is a qualified individual under the ADA who "with or without reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the employment position that he or she holds." 42 U.S.C. § 12111(8).
- 133. Ms. Doe has a disability, gender dysphoria, or GD, within the meaning of the ADA.
  Ms. Doe's gender dysphoria constitutes a disability under the ADA because it is a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities.
  42 U.S.C. § 12102(1).
- 134. The substantial limitation on Doe's major life activities include limitations in social and occupational functioning.
- 135. Ms. Doe was clinically diagnosed with GD and has clinically significant distress associated with being transgender.
- 136. Defendants incorrectly applied the "functional impairment" provision of their Policy, see Medical Policy Bulletin, attached hereto as Exhibit "C," p. 4, requiring Plaintiff to state a physical deformity, disfigurement, abnormality, or impairment, which is discriminatory, when Plaintiff had demonstrated an ADA-covered disability in the form of gender dysphoria ("GD") which substantially limited one (1) or more major life activities including social and occupational functioning.
- 137. It is believed and therefore averred Defendants rejected coverage for the requested procedures on the additional basis that Plaintiff was required to demonstrate a physical or functional component to obtain coverage for FFS under Defendants' policy. However, Plaintiff stated a functional impairment consistent with the written

terms of the policy by stating functional impairments in social and occupational functioning. Defendants improperly applied the "functional impairment" provision of their policy against Plaintiff. Therefore, Defendants' denial is improper, arbitrary, capricious, and discriminatory on the basis of Ms. Doe's disability (gender dysphoria).

- 138. Defendants denied medically-necessary care and treatment for Ms. Doe's gender dysphoria by stating that she was attempting to improve her appearance, when she was not, and instead was seeking medically-necessary care and treatment for her gender dysphoria, which Defendants denied on account of discrimination due to Ms. Doe's gender-dysphoria disability.
- 139. The denials violate the ADA by discriminating on the basis of disability in the denial of coverage for treatment meant to alleviate Ms. Doe's disability.
- 140. Defendants rejected coverage stating that Plaintiff was required to demonstrate a physical or functional component (such as, for example, a physical deformity, disfigurement, abnormality, and/or impairment) to obtain coverage for FFS under Defendants' policy. However, Plaintiff *has* demonstrated a physical or functional impairment (gender dysphoria), and Defendants' denial is improper, arbitrary, capricious, and discriminatory.
- 141. Defendants rejected coverage for the requested procedures on the improper basis that Plaintiff had demonstrated a *mental* impairment, and had not demonstrated a *physical* impairment, which is factually incorrect as Plaintiff had demonstrated a physical impairment.

- 142. Defendants' rejection of coverage on the basis that Plaintiff has demonstrated a *mental* impairment, as opposed to a *physical* impairment, constitutes impermissible discrimination, or disparate treatment, based on disability. Plaintiff contends she has a physical impairment. However, assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff does not have a physical impairment, Plaintiff's gender dysphoria constitutes a mental impairment, and covering similarly situated transgender or non-transgender individuals with a *physical* impairment, but not a *mental* impairment, for such surgeries, constitutes discrimination based on disability.
- 143. The so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion does not apply to Ms. Doe because she does not state a gender-identity disorder.
- 144. The so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion does not apply to Ms. Doe because she has a physical impairment in line with the statute, the ADA.
- 145. Assuming *arguendo* Ms. Doe does have a gender-identity disorder, which is denied, and assuming *arguendo* she does not state a physical impairment, which is denied, Ms. Doe states a mental impairment which is covered by the statute, in light of the fact that the so-called GID exclusion cannot be upheld as constitutional.
- 146. Section 12211(b) of the ADA, the so-called GID exclusion, provides that the definition of a disability does not include "transvestism, transsexualism, pedophilia, exhibitionism, voyeurism, *gender identity disorders not resulting from physical impairments*, [and] other sexual behavior disorders." 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b) (emphasis added).
- 147. The "Gender Identity Disorder" ("GID") exclusion codified at 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b) is discriminatory and violates due process, to wit:

- 148. Ms. Doe is a member of a protected class of people who are transgender.
- 149. The GID exclusion fails strict scrutiny which is the standard of review applicable to transgender status.
- 150. The GID exclusion fails heightened or intermediate scrutiny applicable to sex-based classifications, as <u>Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia</u>, Nos. 17-1618, 590 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 140 S. Ct. 1731, 2020 WL 3146686 (U.S. June 15, 2020), held that discrimination based on transgender status constitutes discrimination based on sex.
- 151. The GID exclusion violates the "rational basis with bite" standard of review found to be applicable to anti-LGBTQ+ bias which includes anti-transgender bias. See, e.g., Romer v. Evans, 517 U.S. 620 (1996); Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003); United States v. Windsor, 133 S. Ct. 2576 (2013); Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015) (applying the 'rational basis with bite' standard of review).
- 152. The GID exclusion fails the rational basis standard of review. There is no rational basis for the GID exclusion. "[A] bare congressional desire to harm a politically unpopular group cannot justify disparate treatment of that group." <u>Dep't of Agriculture v. Moreno</u>, 413 U.S. 528, 534-35 (1973).
- 153. The GID exclusion is unconstitutional on its face.
- 154. The GID exclusion is unconstitutional as applied to Ms. Doe.
- 155. The GID exclusion has created second-class citizens of people who are transgender and gender non-conforming.
- 156. The GID exclusion deprives Ms. Doe and other people who are transgender or gender nonconforming of dignity and due process.

- 157. It is time for a judicial determination of whether the so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion is constitutional.
- 158. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforesaid unlawful discriminatory practices Ms. Doe has sustained harm.
- 159. Ms. Doe seeks redress for Defendants' violation of her rights under 42 U.S.C. §12133.
- 160. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms, emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.
- 161. Defendants acted with malice, reckless indifference, and/or deliberate indifference to Ms. Doe's rights under the ADA and therefore punitive damages are warranted.

#### **COUNT VI:**

DISCRIMINATION BASED ON DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE PHILADELPHIA FAIR PRACTICES ORDINANCE ("PFPO"), SECTION 9-1101, et seq., OF THE PHILADELPHIA CODE (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, DEPENDENCE PLUE CROSS, AND EDEFICITEDS & DADAMEDICS LOCAL

INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, AND FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 162. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 163. Defendants discriminated against and failed to accommodate Ms. Doe's gender-dysphoria disability in violation of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance ("PFPO"), at Chapter 9-1100 of the Philadelphia Code.

- 164. The Defendants constitute an "employer" within the meaning of the PFPO at § 9-1102(h).
- 165. Defendants engaged in "discrimination" as defined by the PFPO which prohibits discrimination that includes "[a]ny direct or indirect practice of exclusion . . . refusal," or "denial," "on the basis of actual or perceived . . . disability." § 9-1102(e).
- 166. The PFPO explicitly protects Plaintiff from discrimination on the basis of her "gender identity," § 9-1102(e), therefore prohibits discrimination including based on Plaintiff's gender-dysphoria disability.
- 167. Defendants' rejection of coverage on the basis that Plaintiff has demonstrated a *mental* impairment, as opposed to a *physical* impairment, constitutes impermissible discrimination, or disparate treatment, based on disability. Plaintiff contends she has a physical impairment. However, assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff does not have a physical impairment, Plaintiff's gender dysphoria constitutes a mental impairment, and covering similarly situated transgender or non-transgender individuals with a *physical* impairment, but not a *mental* impairment, for such surgeries, constitutes discrimination based on disability.
- 168. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender-dysphoria disability by wrongfully denying health insurance coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS and related procedures.
- 169. Defendants engaged in the foregoing discriminatory acts and/or omissions with malice or with reckless indifference to Doe's protected rights and therefore punitive damages are warranted against the Defendants.
- 170. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms,

emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.

#### **COUNT VII:**

#### VIOLATION OF § 502(a)(1)(B) OF THE EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT INCOME SECURITY ACT ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANT, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 171. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 172. It is believed and therefore averred that the plan, "Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan" (hereinafter the "Health Benefit Plan" or "the Plan") is an employee benefit plan covered by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA").
- 173. It is believed and therefore averred that Plaintiff was, at all times relevant hereto, a participant of the Plan.
- 174. Plaintiff was wrongfully denied insurance benefits for FFS surgeries and related procedures owed by the Plan.
- 175. Defendant, the Plan, incorrectly determined that Plaintiff could not demonstrate that the coverage sought was for procedures that were "medically necessary" as opposed to cosmetic.
- 176. Defendant, the Plan, incorrectly determined that Plaintiff sought cosmetic surgeries when Plaintiff did not. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff sought coverage for

- surgeries that were medically necessary and not cosmetic.
- 177. Plaintiff met the Policy's language stating that a functional impairment is defined as "Clinically significant distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning." See Medical Policy Bulletin, produced by Defendant in the first transgender claimant's benefits discrimination case as DOE\_IBC\_000001 DOE\_IBC\_000005, and attached hereto as Ex. "D," Bates DOE\_IBC\_000003, ECF p. 4.
- 178. Defendant, the Plan, incorrectly applied the terms of the Plan, including the provision relating to "functional impairment," and improperly denied benefits to Plaintiff on this basis. See Medical Policy Bulletin attached hereto as Exhibit "C," at p. 4.
- 179. Defendant, the Plan, clearly violated the plain terms of the Plan including the following provision:

The Member has the right to receive health care services without discrimination based on race, ethnicity, age, mental or physical disability, genetic information, color, religion, gender, national origin, source of payment, sexual orientation, or sex, including stereotypes and gender identity, for Medically Necessary health services made available on the same terms for all individuals, regardless of sex assigned at birth, gender identity, or recorded gender; Based on an individual's sex assigned at birth, gender identity, or recorded gender, if it is different from the one to which such health service is ordinarily available; Related to gender transition if such denial or limitation results in discriminating against a transgender individual.

- 180. However, Defendants deviated from and did not follow the terms of the Plan with respect to non-discrimination in the Plaintiff's case, discriminating against Plaintiff in violation of the terms of the Plan as well as in violation of Federal, state, and City law.
- 181. Plaintiff seeks to recover from Defendant, the Plan, in this cause of action, as follows:
  - a. Declaratory and equitable/injunctive relief requiring the Plan to insure

Plaintiff and other transgender people with gender dysphoria for facial feminization surgeries and related procedures on an equal and non-discriminatory basis; to adopt and enforce a written policy, procedure, or guideline to this effect; and to immediately order a re-evaluation of Plaintiff's claim for the purposes of evaluating Plaintiff's claim on an equal and non-discriminatory basis;

- Declaratory and equitable/injunctive relief providing that Plaintiff is covered for all FFS and related procedures wrongfully denied, and providing that Plaintiff will be covered and all benefits paid moving forward;
- Back benefits or the economic value of the payment of all benefits
   wrongfully denied by the Plan;
- d. Any out-of-pocket expenses which may include expenses related to travel,
   transportation, accommodations, and related expenses;
- e. Any other out-of-pocket expenses and/or economic damages incurred by

  Plaintiff the recovery of which would place the Plaintiff in the same

  position but for the discrimination against her;
- f. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- g. Pre- and post-judgment interest;
- h. Any other equitable relief.

# **COUNT VIII:**

FAILURE-TO-ACCOMMODATE DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT ("ADA"), AS AMENDED, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.

# (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, AND FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22, FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 FUND, and FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22 HEALTH PLAN)

- 182. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 183. Defendants discriminated against Ms. Doe based on her disability, gender dysphoria ("GD").
- 184. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant, City of Philadelphia, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12111(4).
- 185. Plaintiff received health insurance coverage through the City's employer-sponsored healthcare plan, specifically, Firefighters & Paramedics Local 22 Health Plan.
- 186. It is believed and therefore averred that Defendants had control over the coverage of FFS procedures and did not permit coverage of FFS procedures based on discrimination on account of gender identity and gender stereotyping.
- 187. Doe is a qualified individual under the ADA who "with or without reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the employment position that he or she holds." 42 U.S.C. § 12111(8).
- 188. Ms. Doe has a disability, gender dysphoria, or GD, within the meaning of the ADA.

  Doe's gender dysphoria constitutes a disability under the ADA because it is a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities. 42

  U.S.C. § 12102(1).
- 189. The substantial limitation on Doe's interaction with others is characterized on a regular basis by a substantial limitation in the major life activities of social and occupational functioning.

- 190. Ms. Doe was clinically diagnosed with GD and has clinically significant distress associated with being transgender. Ms. Doe is substantially limited in the major life activities of social and occupational functioning.
- 191. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, discriminated against Ms. Doe in her capacity as an employee, by denying coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS and related procedures to alleviate symptoms of her gender-dysphoria disability.
- 192. Plaintiff met the Policy's language stating that a functional impairment is defined as "Clinically significant distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning." See Medical Policy Bulletin, produced by Defendant in the first transgender claimant's benefits discrimination case as DOE\_IBC\_000001 DOE\_IBC\_000005, and attached hereto as Ex. "D," Bates DOE\_IBC\_000003, ECF p. 4.
- 193. Defendants deviated from and did not properly or appropriately apply the requirement of a "functional impairment" in the Policy which Plaintiff contends constitutes discrimination against Plaintiff based on Plaintiff's disability (gender dysphoria).
- 194. The denials violate the ADA by discriminating on the basis of disability in the denial of coverage for treatment meant to alleviate Ms. Doe's disability.
- 195. Defendants' rejection of coverage on the basis that Plaintiff has demonstrated a *mental* impairment, as opposed to a *physical* impairment, constitutes impermissible discrimination, or disparate treatment, based on disability. Plaintiff contends she has a physical impairment. However, assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff does not have a physical impairment, Plaintiff's gender dysphoria constitutes a mental

- impairment, and covering similarly situated transgender or non-transgender individuals with a *physical* impairment, but not a *mental* impairment, for such surgeries, constitutes discrimination based on disability.
- 196. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's gender dysphoria ("GD") disability by covering Plaintiff's FFS and related procedures. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for pre-authorization for coverage for FFS and related procedures on account of Plaintiff's GD.
- 197. Plaintiff could have performed the job she held or sought to hold, with reasonable accommodation. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for preauthorization seeking coverage for gender-affirming FFS surgeries, treatments, and related procedures.
- 198. The reasonable accommodation Plaintiff requested, and which Defendant, City of Philadelphia, denied, was coverage for FFS surgeries, treatments, and related procedures.
- 199. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for reasonable accommodation, in the form of Plaintiff's requests for pre-authorization during her employment, seeking coverage for gender-affirming FFS surgeries, treatments, and related procedures, which were denied due to discrimination.
- 200. The so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion does not apply to Ms. Doe because she does not state a gender-identity disorder.
- 201. The so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion does not apply to Ms. Doe because she has a physical impairment in line with the statute, the ADA.

- 202. Assuming *arguendo* Ms. Doe does have a gender-identity disorder, which is denied, and assuming *arguendo* she does not state a physical impairment, which is denied, Ms. Doe states a mental impairment which is covered by the statute, in light of the fact that the so-called GID exclusion cannot be upheld as constitutional.
- 203. Section 12211(b) of the ADA, the so-called GID exclusion, provides that the definition of a disability does not include "transvestism, transsexualism, pedophilia, exhibitionism, voyeurism, *gender identity disorders not resulting from physical impairments*, [and] other sexual behavior disorders." 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b) (emphasis added).
- 204. The "Gender Identity Disorder" ("GID") exclusion codified at 42 U.S.C. § 12211(b) is discriminatory and violates due process, to wit:
- 205. Ms. Doe is a member of a protected class of people who are transgender.
- 206. The GID exclusion fails strict scrutiny which is the standard of review applicable to transgender status.
- 207. The GID exclusion fails heightened or intermediate scrutiny applicable to sex-based classifications, as <u>Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia</u>, Nos. 17-1618, 590 U.S. \_\_\_\_, 140 S. Ct. 1731, 2020 WL 3146686 (U.S. June 15, 2020), held that discrimination based on transgender status constitutes discrimination based on sex.
- 208. The GID exclusion violates the "rational basis with bite" standard of review found to be applicable to anti-LGBTQ+ bias which includes anti-transgender bias. See, e.g., Romer v. Evans, 517 U.S. 620 (1996); Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003); United States v. Windsor, 133 S. Ct. 2576 (2013); Obergefell v. Hodges, 135 S. Ct. 2584 (2015) (applying the 'rational basis with bite' standard of review).

- 209. The GID exclusion fails the rational basis standard of review. There is no rational basis for the GID exclusion. "[A] bare congressional desire to harm a politically unpopular group cannot justify disparate treatment of that group." Dep't of Agriculture v. Moreno, 413 U.S. 528, 534-35 (1973).
- 210. The GID exclusion is unconstitutional on its face.
- 211. The GID exclusion is unconstitutional as applied to Ms. Doe.
- 212. The GID exclusion has created second-class citizens of people who are transgender and gender non-conforming.
- 213. The GID exclusion deprives Ms. Doe and other people who are transgender or gender nonconforming of dignity and due process.
- 214. It is time for a judicial determination of whether the so-called Gender Identity Disorder ("GID") exclusion is constitutional.
- 215. Defendants acted with malice, reckless indifference, and/or deliberate indifference to Ms. Doe's rights under the ADA and therefore punitive damages are warranted.
- 216. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforesaid unlawful discriminatory practices Ms. Doe has sustained harm.
- 217. Ms. Doe seeks redress for Defendants' violation of her rights under 42 U.S.C. §12133.
- 218. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms, emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other

damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.

# **COUNT IX:**

# FAILURE-TO-ACCOMMODATE DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE PHILADELPHIA FAIR PRACTICES ORDINANCE ("PFPO"), SECTION 9-1101, et seq., OF THE PHILADELPHIA CODE (PLAINTIFF, JANE DOE v. DEFENDANTS, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, INDEPENDENCE BLUE CROSS, AND FIREFIGHTERS & PARAMEDICS LOCAL 22)

- 219. Ms. Doe restates and realleges all previous paragraphs as though fully set forth here.
- 220. Defendants discriminated against and failed to accommodate Ms. Doe's genderdysphoria disability in violation of the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance ("PFPO"), at Chapter 9-1100 of the Philadelphia Code.
- 221. The Defendants constitute an "employer" within the meaning of the PFPO at § 9-1102(h).
- 222. Defendants engaged in "discrimination" as defined by the PFPO which prohibits discrimination that includes "[a]ny direct or indirect practice of exclusion . . . refusal," or "denial," "on the basis of actual or perceived . . . disability." § 9-1102(e).
- 223. The PFPO explicitly protects Plaintiff from discrimination on the basis of her "gender identity," § 9-1102(e), therefore prohibits discrimination including based on Plaintiff's gender-dysphoria disability.
- 224. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's gender dysphoria ("GD") disability by covering Plaintiff's FFS and related procedures. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for pre-authorization for coverage for FFS and related procedures on account of Plaintiff's GD.
- 225. Plaintiff could have performed the job she held or sought to hold, with reasonable accommodation. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, failed to accommodate Plaintiffs

- requests for pre-authorization seeking coverage for gender-affirming FFS surgeries, treatments, and related procedures.
- 226. Plaintiff could have performed the job she held or sought to hold, with reasonable accommodation.
- 227. The reasonable accommodation Plaintiff requested, and which Defendant, City of Philadelphia, denied, was coverage for FFS surgeries, treatments, and related procedures.
- 228. Defendant, City of Philadelphia, discriminated against Ms. Doe on the basis of Ms. Doe's gender-dysphoria disability by wrongfully denying health insurance coverage to Ms. Doe for FFS and related procedures.
- 229. Defendants engaged in the foregoing discriminatory acts and/or omissions with malice or with reckless indifference to Doe's protected rights and therefore punitive damages are warranted against the Defendants.
- 230. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's gender dysphoria ("GD") disability by covering Plaintiff's FFS and related procedures. Defendants failed to accommodate Plaintiff's requests for pre-authorization for coverage for FFS and related procedures on account of Plaintiff's GD.
- 231. Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by Defendants to suffer economic harms, emotional distress, mental anguish, pain and suffering, humiliation and embarrassment, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, and other damages, as described more fully herein, on account of the Defendants' discriminatory actions, and for which Plaintiff seeks economic damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and other

damages described more fully below, and which are incorporated herein by reference as if the same were set forth more fully at length herein.

# VI. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 232. Plaintiff seeks the following damages or other relief from the Defendants in this action individually and/or jointly and severally, on account of the Defendants' illegal and discriminatory acts, to the extent permitted by applicable law:
  - a. Economic damages;
  - b. Actual damages;
  - c. Out-of-pocket costs and expenses;
  - d. Incidental damages;
  - e. Consequential damages;
  - f. Compensatory damages including but not limited to humiliation and embarrassment, pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life and life's pleasures, exacerbated gender dysphoria ("GD"), negative body image and negative self-view, suicide ideation, psychological trauma, mental anguish, and emotional distress, under Title VII, the PFPO, and the ADA.
  - g. Punitive damages under Title VII, the PFPO, and the ADA.
  - h. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees, reasonable expert fees, and the costs and expenses incurred in this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and/or as otherwise provided by applicable law;
  - i. Pre- and post-judgment interest;
  - j. An order from the Court for equitable and/or injunctive relief to require the

Defendants to insure Plaintiff and other people who are transgender with gender dysphoria for facial feminization ("FFS") surgeries, procedures, medical treatments, including hair transplant procedures, on an equal and non-discriminatory basis; to adopt and enforce a written policy, procedure, or guideline to this effect; and to perform necessary training and education related thereto;

- k. An order for the Defendants to perform a re-evaluation of Plaintiff's claim, providing that Plaintiff is covered for all FFS and related procedures wrongfully denied, and providing that Plaintiff will be covered for FFS surgeries, procedures, and medical benefits, and that all benefits will be paid moving forward;
- An order for Defendants to hold LGBT sensitivity trainings for employees, claims agents, representatives, and specialists;
- m. An order from the Court for equitable or injunctive relief to require the Defendant, City of Philadelphia, to adopt a written policy stating that, if an employee complains about discrimination in employee benefits based on a protected class, including but not limited to sex, gender, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity, that such conduct constitutes discrimination, and providing an acceptable avenue of report for the complaint identified directly in the policy, to the Chief Human Resource Officer and/or a designee;
- n. An order that Defendant, Independence Blue Cross, will adopt and enforce

a written policy that the preferred name that is consistent with the claimant's gender identity will be used to refer to the claimant throughout the claims process.

o. Any such further relief as the Court may deem just, proper, and equitable.

# **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury of eight (8) members on all counts so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: <u>01/31/2024</u> BY: <u>/s/ Justin Robinette, Esquire</u>

Justin Robinette, Esquire (I.D. # 319829)

P.O. Box 15190

Philadelphia, PA 19130-9998

Tel: (267) 595-6254 Fax: (267) 592-3067 justin@jrobinettelaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, <u>Justin Robinette</u>, <u>Esquire</u>, attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Court and served together with the Court-issued Summons by personal service/hand delivery to each Defendant on the date set forth below, or as soon thereafter as service can be effectuated.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: <u>01/31/2024</u> BY: <u>/s/ Justin Robinette, Esquire</u>

Justin Robinette, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT "A"

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 2 of 9

# Independence 👨













# **Medical Policy Bulletin**

Title Treatment of Gender Dysphoria

This policy is applicable to the Company's commercial products only. Policies that are applicable to the Company's Medicare Advantage products are accessible via a separate Medicare Advantage policy database.

The Company makes decisions on coverage based on Policy Bulletins, benefit plan documents, and the member's medical history and condition. Benefits may vary based on contract, and individual member benefits must be verified. The Company determines medical necessity only if the benefit exists and no contract exclusions are applicable.

When services can be administered in various settings, the Company reserves the right to reimburse only those services that are furnished in the most appropriate and cost-effective setting that is appropriate to the member's medical needs and condition. This decision is based on the member's current medical condition and any required monitoring or additional services that may coincide with the delivery of this service.

This Medical Policy Bulletin document describes the status of medical technology at the time the document was developed. Since that time, new technology may have emerged or new medical literature may have been published. This Medical Policy Bulletin will be reviewed regularly and be updated as scientific and medical literature becomes available. For more information on how Medical Policy Bulletins are developed, go to the Policy Types and Descriptions section of this Medical Policy Web site.

Coverage is subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of the member's contract

#### MEDICALLY NECESSARY

#### PUBERTY-SUPPRESSING HORMONES

Puberty suppressing hormones (e.g., Supprelin LA® [histrelin acetate], Vantas® [histerlin acetate], Lupron Depot® [leuprolide acetate for depot suspension], Viadur® [leuprolide acetate implant], Eligard® [(leuprolide acetate for injectable suspension]) are considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered, when all of the following criteria are met:

- The adolescent has demonstrated a long-lasting and intense pattern of gender nonconformity or gender dysphoria (whether suppressed or expressed), in accordance with criteria established in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, [DSM-5].
- . The individual has reached at least Tanner Stage 2 of development.
- Gender dysphoria emerged or worsened with the onset of puberty

Note: Subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of the member's contract, oral and self-administered hormones are not covered under the medical benefit

#### CONTINUOUS HORMONE REPLACEMENT THERAPY

Continuous hormone replacement therapy (e.g., testosterone enanthate, testosterone pellet, estradiol valerate or medroxyprogesterone acetate) for the treatment of gender dysphoria is considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered when all of the following criteria are met:

• The individual has persistent, well-documented gender dysphoria diagnosed in accordance with the criteria established in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders , Fifth edition [DSM-5].

Note: Subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of the member's contract, oral and self-administered hormones are not covered under the medical benefit

### BILATERAL MASTECTOMY

Bilateral mastectomy is considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered, when all of the following criteria are met

- The individual has persistent, well-documented gender dysphoria in accordance with the criteria established in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, [DSM-5].
- . Bilateral mastectomy is recommended by a qualified professional provider who has consistently monitored the individual up to the time of surgery
  - One referral letter and/or chart documentation must be written from the mental health professional provider who consistently monitored the individual throughout their psychotherapy or any other evaluation to the professional provider who will be responsible for the individual's treatment.
- The individual, if required by the mental health professional provider, has regularly participated in psychotherapy throughout a real-life experience at a frequency determined
  jointly by the individual and the mental health professional provider.
- If the individual has significant medical or mental health concerns, they are reasonably well controlled

# BREAST AUGMENTATION

Breast augmentation is considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered, when all of the following criteria are met:

- The individual has persistent, well-documented gender dysphoria in accordance with the criteria established in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, IDSM-51.
- Breast augmentation is recommended by a qualified professional provider who has consistently monitored the individual up to the time of surgery
  - · One referral letter and/or chart documentation must be written from the mental health professional provider who consistently monitored the individual throughout their psychotherapy or any other evaluation to the professional provider who will be responsible for the individual's treatr
- . The individual is at least 18 years of age.
- The individual, unless medically contraind cated, has used feminizing hormones continuously and responsibly (which may include screenings and follow-ups with the professional provider) for a 12-month period.
- The individual, if required by a mental health professional provider, has regularly participated in psychotherapy throughout the real-life experience at a frequency determined jointly by the individual and the mental health professional provider
- If the individual has significant medical or mental health concerns, they are reasonably well controlled.

Genital reconstructive surgery is considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered, when all of the following criteria are met:

- The individual has persistent, well-documented gender dysphoria in accordance with the criteria established in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, [DSM-5]. . Genital reconstructive surgery is recommended by two different qualified professional providers who have consistently monitored the individual up to the time of surgery.
- If two mental health professional providers are working jointly with the individual, both mental health professional providers must sign one letter of recomme chart documentation to the professional provider performing the genital surgery.
  - o If two mental health professional providers are working independently with the individual, each mental health professional provider must write a separate letter of
  - recommendation and/or chart documentation to the professional provider performing the genital surgery.
    - The letters and/or chart documentation must discuss the same topics in agreement with one another
- At least one of the letters and/or chart documentation must be an extensive report; the second letter may be a briefer summary.
- The individual is at least 18 years of age
- The individual, unless medically contraindicated, has used cross-gender hormone therapy continuously and responsibly (which may include screenings and follow-ups with the professional provider) for a 12-month period.
- The individual has demonstrated successful, continuous full-time, real-life experience living in a gender role that is congruent with an individual's gender identity (i.e., the act of fully adopting a new or evolving gender role or gender presentation in everyday life) for a 12-month period.
- The individual, if required by the mental health professional provider, has regularly participated in psychotherapy throughout the real-life experience at a frequency determined jointly by the individual and the mental health professional provider.
- If the individual has significant medical or mental health concerns, they are reasonably well controlled.

When all of the above criteria are met, the following genital reconstructive surgeries are covered for individuals assigned male gender at birth, who do not identify as such:

- Orchiectomy Penectomy
- Vaginoplasty
- Labiaplasty

When all of the above criteria are met, the following genital reconstructive surgeries are covered for individuals assigned female gender at birth, who do not identify as such:

- Hysterectomy
- Salpingo-oophorectomy
- Vaginectomy

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 3 of 9

- Phalloplasty
- Urethroplasty
- Scrotoplasty
- Testicular prostheses implantation

#### PENILE PROSTHESIS

Surgical implantation of a penile prosthesis in a neo-phallus (phalloplasty) is considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered, when the following criteria are met:

- The last genital reconstructive surgical procedure has healed.
- There is tactile sensitivity of the neo-phallus (phalloplasty).

#### MEDICALLY NECESSARY GENDER-SPECIFIC SERVICES

Gender-specific services may be medically necessary for transgender individuals as appropriate to their anatomy (e.g., mammograms, prostate cancer screening).

#### NOT MEDICALLY NECESSARY

Gender-reversal surgery post-operatively is considered not medically necessary and, therefore, not covered.

#### POTENTIALLY COSMETIC

The following procedures/therapies may be performed in combination with other surgeries for the treatment of gender dysphoria and are considered cosmetic or potentially cosm services, unless medical necessity demonstrating a functional impairment can be identified. Services that are cosmetic, following medical necessity review, are a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company and, therefore, not eligible for reimbursement consideration. This list is subject to change; refer to Company policy that addresses cosm services.

- Abdominoplasty
- Blepharoplasty
- Body contouring procedures (e.g., liposuction, lipectomy)
- Botox injections
- Calf implantation
- Cervicoplasty/platysmaplasty
- Chin augmentation (genioplasty, mentoplasty)
- Collagen injections
- Dermabrasions/chemical peels
- · Excision of redundant skin
- · Facial masculinizing/feminizing surgeries (e.g., facial bone reduction)
- Facial prosthesis (e.g. nasal, orbital)
- · Forehead reduction
- Gluteal augmentation (e.g., silicone implants, fat transfer, fat grafting)
- Hair reconstruction (e.g. hair removal/electrolysis, hair transplantation, wigs)
   Injectable dermal fillers (e.g., Sculptra, Radiesse)
- Lip reduction/enhancement
- Orthognathic procedures
- Otoplasty
- Pectoral implantation
- Rhinoplasty
- Rhytidectomy
- Septoplasty
- · Tattooing (non therapeutic)
- Trachea shave/reduction thyroid chondroplasty
- Voice therapy
- Voice modification surgery (i.e., laryngoplasty, cricothyroid approximation)

Specific Company medical policies may exist for medical necessity criteria for non-cosmetic uses of a potentially cosmetic procedure. Please refer to such individual policies for criteria that address cosmetic services

# REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION

The individual's medical record must reflect the medical necessity for the care provided. These medical records may include, but are not limited to: records from the professional provider's office, hospital, nursing home, home health agencies, therapies, and test reports.

The Company may conduct reviews and audits of services to our members, regardless of the participation status of the provider. All documentation is to be available to the Company upon request. Failure to produce the requested information may result in a denial for the service

# LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATION

The mental health professional provider's recommendation letter for surgery should include all of the following

- The individual's general identifying characteristics
- The initial and evolving gender, sexual, and other psychiatric diagnoses
- The duration of their professional relationship, including the type of psychotherapy or evaluation that the individual underwent
- The eligibility criteria that have been met and the mental health professional provider's rationale for hormone therapy or surgery
- The degree to which the individual has followed the eligibility criteria to date and the likelihood of future compliance
- Whether the author of the letter is part of a gender tear
- The sender welcomes a phone call to verify the fact that the mental health professional provider actually wrote the letter as described in this document

When two letters of recommendation are required and the first letter is from an individual with a master's degree, the second letter should be from a psychiatrist or a PhD-level clinical psychologist, who can be expected to adequately evaluate co-morbid psychiatric conditions

# BENEFIT APPLICATION

Services that are cosmetic are a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company. Therefore, they are not eligible for reimbursement consideration.

Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes 55970 Intersex surgery; male to female or CPT 55980 Intersex surgery; female to male, are considered global procedure codes. These codes include distinct surgical procedures. Do not report individual procedure codes representing each component of a global procedure code.

CPT 55970 (Intersex surgery; male to female), includes the following procedures:

- Orchiectomy Penectomy
- Vaginoplasty
- Labiaplasty

CPT 55980 (Intersex surgery; female to male), includes the following procedures

- Vaginectomy
- Metoidioplasty
- Phalloplasty Urethroplasty
- Scrotoplasty
- Testicular prostheses implantation

# Description

# GENDER DYSPHORIA

Gender dysphoria, previously known as gender identity disorder, is the distress that may accompany the incongruence between one's experienced/expressed gender and one's assigned gender (gender at birth or natal gender).

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 4 of 9

#### DIAGNOSIS

#### CHILDREN

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria in children is marked incongruence between one's experienced and/or expressed gender and the assigned gender, of at least six months' duration, as manifested by a strong desire to be of the other gender or an insistence that one is the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender) AND at least five of the following:

- In males (assigned gender), a strong preference to cross-dressing or simulating female attire; or in females (assigned gender), a strong preference for wearing only typical masculine clothing and a strong resistance to the wearing of typical feminine clothing
- A strong preference for cross-gender roles in make-believe play or fantasy play
- A strong preference for the toy, games, or activities stereotypically used or engaged in by the other gender
- A strong preference for playmates of the other gender
- In males (assigned gender), a strong rejection of typical masculine toys, games, and activities, and a strong avoidance of rough-and-tumble play; or in females (assigned gender), a strong rejection of typically feminine toys, games, and activities
- A strong dislike of one's sexual anatomy
- . A strong desire for the primary and/or secondary sex characteristics that match one's experienced gender
- Clinically significant distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning

#### ADOLESCENTS AND ADULTS

The DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria in adolescents and adults is marked incongruence between one's experienced and or expressed gender and assigned gender, of at least six months duration as manifested by a strong desire to be of the other gender or an insistence that one is the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender) AND at least two or more of the following indicators:

- A strong desire to be rid of one's primary and/or secondary sex characteristics because of a marked incongruence with one's experienced/expressed gender (or, in young adolescents, a desire to prevent the development of the anticipated secondary sex characteristics)
- A strong desire for the primary and/or secondary sex characteristics of the other gender
- A strong desire to be of the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender)
- A strong desire to be treated as the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender)
- A strong conviction that one has the typical feelings and reactions of the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender)
- Clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

#### TREATMENT

Distress between one's assigned gender and experienced gender may be alleviated via a variety of therapeutic options that may vary between individuals. The process of changing one's gender is not one procedure but a complex process that may involve multiple stages (e.g., behavioral health interventions, experience living in the desired gender role, hormone therapy, and surgical options).

Behavioral health interventions may include integration of trans or cross-gender feeling and expressions into the gender role, which may involve living in another gender role consistent with one's gender identity.

Hormone therapy may include the use of masculinizing or feminizing hormones (e.g., testosterone enanthate, testosterone pellet, estradiol valerate, or medroxyprogesterone acetate) in adolescents and adults, or the use of puberty-suppressing hormones (e.g., Supprelin LA® [histerlin acetate], Vantas® [histerlin acetate], Lupron Depot® [leuprolide acetate for depot suspension], Valdur® [leuprolide acetate implant], Eligard® [(leuprolide acetate for injectable suspension], Toladex® [goserelin acetate implant], Trelstar® [triptorelin pamoate for injectable suspension]) in children.

Individuals with gender dysphoria may undergo surgery to change chest structure, genitalia, and/or other characteristics. Typically, surgery is considered an irreversible physical intervention.

#### GENDER-SPECIFIC SERVICES

Professional organizations such as the American Cancer Society (ACS), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) provide recommended screening guidelines to facilitate clinical decision-making by professional providers. Somescreening protocols are sex/gender-specific based on assumptions about the anatomy for a particular gender. There is difficulty in recommending sex/gender-specific screenings (e.g., breast, prostate) for transgender individual because of their physiologic changes. For example, transmen who have not undergone a mastectomy may have the same risks for breast cancer as a natal female. In transwomen, if the prostate is not removed as part of genital surgery, individuals may be at the same risk for developing prostate cancer as a natal male. Therefore, gender-specific services (e.g., mammograms, prostate screenings) may be indicated based on the individual's natal gender.

### References

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Healthcare for transgender individuals. Committee Opinion. Obstet Gynecol. 2011:118:1454-8.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Care for transgender adolescents [ACOG Web site]. January 2017. Available at: https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Adolescent-Health-Care/Care-for-Transgender-Adolescents. Accessed November 04, 2018.

American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th Edition. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.

American Psychological Association (APA) Task Force on Gender Identity and Gender Variance. 2009. Report of the Task Force on Gender Identity and Gender Variance. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. Also available on the American Urological Association, Inc. Web site at: http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/policy/gender-identity-report.pdf. Accessed November 05. 2018

American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Providing transgender voice services. [ASHA Web site]. 2017. Available at: http://www.asha.org/Practice/multicultural/Providing-Transgender-Transsexual-Voice-Services/. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Ashbee O, Goldberg J. Trans people and cancer. [Vancouver Coastal Health Web site]. 02/2006 2. Available at: https://www.rainbowhealthontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/woocommerce\_uploads/2014/08/Cancer.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Benet A, Melman A. Management of patients with gender dysphoria. In: Hellstrom W, eds. Male Infertility and Sexual Dysfunction. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc; 1997: 563-571.

Bowman C, Goldberg J. Care of the patient undergoing sex reassignment surgery (SRS). [Vancouver Coastal Health Web site]. 01/2006. Available at: fhttps://www.amsa.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/CareOfThePatientUndergoingSRS.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Company Benefit Contracts

Davies S, Papp VG, Antoni C. Voice and communication change for gender nonconforming individuals: giving voice to the person inside. *International Journal of Transgenderism*. 2015;16:3:117-159.

ECRI Institute. Gender Dysphoria. [ECRI Institute Web site]. 01/25/2016. Available at: https://www.ecri.org/components/SpecialReports/Pages/24153.aspx. [via subscription only]. Accessed November 05, 2018.

ECRI Institute. Hormonal treatment with GnRH analogues to suppress puberty in transgender children and adolescents. Plymouth Meeting (PA): ECRI Institute; 06/20/2016. (Custom Rapid Responses). Also available: http://www.ecri.org. [via subscription only]. Accessed November 05, 2018.

ECRI Institute. Hormone therapy for treating gender dysphoria in children and adolescents. Plymouth Meeting (PA): ECRI Institute; 11/22/2015. (Custom Rapid Review). Also available: http://www.ecri.org. [via subscription only]. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Ettner R. and Guillamon A. Theories of the Etiology of Transgender Identity. In: Ettner R et al. eds. *Principles of Transgender Medicine and Surgery*.2nd ed. New York: Haworth Press; 2016: 3-15.

Fraser L and De Cuypere G. Psychotherapy with Transgender People. In Ettner R et al. eds. Principles of Transgender Medicine and Surgery. New York: Haworth Press; 2016: 120-136.

Gender Identity Research and Education Society (GIRES). A guide to lower surgery for trans men. [GIRES website]. 2016. Available at: http://www.gires.org.uk/assets/Support-Assets/lower-surgery.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Gender Identity Research and Education Society (GIRES). Medical treatment options for gender variant adults. [GIRES Web site]. 08/26/2012. Available at: https://www.gires.org.uk/?s=gender+variant+adults. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Gibson B. Care of the child with the desire to change genders-part II: female-to-male transition. *Pediatric Nursing*.2010;36(2):112-118.

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 5 of 9

Hembree W, Cohen-Kettenis P, Delemarre-van de Waal H, et al. Endocrine treatment of transsexual persons: An endocrine society clinical practice guidelines. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009;94(9):3132-3154.

Meyer W, Bockting W, Cohen-Kettenis P, et al. The Harry Benjamin international gender dysphoria association's standards of care for gender identity disorders, sixth version. [The World Professional Association For Transgender Health Web site]. 02/2001. Available at: http://www.cpath.ca/wp-content/uploads/2009/12/WPATHsocv6.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2019

Monstrey SJ, Ceulemans P, Hoebeke P. Sex reassignment surgery in the female-to-male transsexual. Semin Plast Surg. 2011;25(3): 229-244.

Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of the Secretary, The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Nondiscrimination in Health Programs and Activities. Final rule. Fed Regist. 2016;81(96):31375-31473.

Olson-Kennedy J, Forcier M. Management of gender nonconformity in children and adolescents. [UpToDate Web site]. 11/06/2018. Available athttps://www.uptodate.com/contents/management-of-gender-nonconformity-in-children-and-adolescents?source=search\_result&search=gender%20dysphoria&selectedTitle=4~12 [via subscription only]. Accessed November 06, 2018.

Tangpricha V, Safer JD. Transgender men: evaluation and management. [UpToDate Web site]. 08/22/2018. Available at: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/transgender-menevaluation-and-management?source=search\_result&search=gender%20dysphoria&selectedTitle=2~12 [via subscription only]. Accessed November 05, 2018.

Tangpricha V, Safer JD. Transgender women: evaluation and management. [UpToDate Web site]. 08/22/2018. Available at:https://www.uptodate.com/contents/transgender-womenevaluation-and-management?source=search\_result&search=gender%20dysphoria&selectedTitle=1~12 [via subscription only]. Accessed November 05, 2018.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Histrelin acetate (SUPPRELIN®LA) prescribing information. [FDA Web site]. Revised October 2011. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2011/022058s006lbl.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Histrelin implant (Vantas™) drug label. [FDA Web site]. November 2010. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2011/021732s013lbl.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Leuprolide acetate for depot suspension (LUPRON DEPOT) prescribing information. [FDA Web site]. Revised June 2014. Available at:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2014/020517s036\_019732s041lbl.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Leuprolide acetate (ELIGARD®) prescribing information. [FDA Web site]. Revised 2010. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2011/021343s019.021379s015.021488s016.021731s012lbl.pdf. Accessed November 05, 2018.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Triptorelin pamoate for injectable suspension (TRELSTAR®) prescribing information. [FDA Web site]. Revised January 2011. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2011/020715s024,021288s021,022437s002lbl.pdf. Accessed March 13, 2019.

US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Goserelin acetate implant (ZOLADEX®) prescribing information. [FDA Web site]. Revised June 2013. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2013/020578s034,020578s035lbl.pdf. Accessed March 13, 2019.

World Professional Association for Transgender Health, Inc. (WPATH). Clarification on medical necessity of treatment, sex reassignment, and insurance coverage in the U.S.A. WPATH. 2008;1-4. Also available on the WPATH Web site at: http://www.tgender.net/taw/WPATHMedNecofSRS.pdf. Accessed March 13, 2019.

World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH). Standards of Care for the Health of Transsexual, Transgender, and Gender Nonconforming People. 7th version. 09/14/2011. Available at: https://www.wpath.org/media/cms/Documents/Web%20Transfer/SOC/Standards%200f%20Care%20V7%20-%202011%20WPATH.pdf. Accessed March 13, 2019.

#### Coding

Inclusion of a code in this table does not imply reimbursement. Eligibility, benefits, limitations, exclusions, precentification/referral requirements, provider contracts, and Company policies apply.

The codes listed below are updated on a regular basis, in accordance with nationally accepted coding guidelines. Therefore, this policy applies to any and all future applicable coding changes, revisions, or updates.

In order to ensure optimal reimbursement, all health care services, devices, and pharmaceuticals should be reported using the billing codes and modifiers that most accurately represent the services rendered, unless otherwise directed by the Company.

The Coding Table lists any CPT, ICD-10, and HCPCS billing codes related only to the specific policy in which they appear.

# CPT Procedure Code Number(s)

11960, 11970, 11971, 11980, 11981, 19303, 19324, 19325, 19340, 19342, 19350, 19357, 19380, 53430, 54125, 54400, 54401, 54405, 54520, 54660, 54690, 55175, 55180, 55970, 55980, 56805, 57106, 57110, 57291, 57292, 57335, 58150, 58180, 58260, 58262, 58275, 58290, 58291, 58541, 58542, 58543, 58544, 58550, 58552, 58553, 58554, 58570, 58571, 58572, 58573, 58720

# ICD - 10 Procedure Code Number(s)

N/A

# ICD - 10 Diagnosis Code Number(s)

F64.0 Transsexualism

F64.1 Dual role transvestism

F64.2 Gender identity disorder of childhood

F64.8 Other gender identity disorders

F64.9 Gender identity disorder, unspecified

Z87.890 Personal history of sex reassignment

# HCPCS Level II Code Number(s)

C1789 Prosthesis, breast (implantable)

C1813 Prosthesis, penile inflatable

C2622 Prosthesis, penile, noninflatable

J1050 Injection, medroxyprogesterone acetate, 1 mg

J1071 Injection, testosterone cypionate, 1 mg

J1380 Injection, estradiol valerate, up to 10 mg

J1950 Injection, leuprolide acetate (for depot suspension), per  $3.75\ mg$ 

J3121 Injection, testosterone enanthate, 1 mg

J3315 Injection, triptorelin pamoate, 3.75 mg

J3316 Injection, triptorelin, extended-release, 3.75 mg

J9202 Goserelin acetate implant, per 3.6 mg

J9217 Leuprolide acetate (for depot suspension), 7.5 mg

J9219 Leuprolide acetate implant, 65 mg

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 6 of 9

J9225 Histrelin implant (Vantas), 50 mg

J9226 Histrelin implant (Supprelin LA), 50 mg

L8600 Implantable breast prosthesis, silicone or equal

S0189 Testosterone pellet, 75 mg

# Revenue Code Number(s) N/A

#### Cross Reference

**Policy:** 07.10.06i: Assisted Reproductive Technology for Infertility and Oocyte Cryopreservation

Policy: 08.00.26w: Botulinum Toxin Agents

Policy: 11.00.02f: Treatment of Medical and Surgical Complications

**Policy:** 11.01.01j: Otoplasty or Non-Surgical External Ear Molding

Policy: 11.05.02i: Blepharoplasty, Repair of Blepharoptosis, Repair of Brow Ptosis, and Canthoplasty/Canthopexy

Policy: 11.06.09d: Labiaplasty

Policy: 11.08.01g: Hair Transplants and Cranial Prostheses (Wigs)

Policy: 11.08.02i: Reduction Mammoplasty Policy: 11.08.03j: Lipectomy and Liposuction

Policy: 11.08.05g: Application and Removal of Tattoos

Policy: 11.08.06j: Panniculectomy, Abdominoplasty, and Other Excisions of Redundant Skin

Policy: 11.08.08g: Chemical Peels

Policy: 11.08.13g: Rhytidectomy and/or Cervicoplasty With or Without Liposuction and/or Platysmaplasty

Policy: 11.08.15y: Reconstructive Breast Surgery Policy: 11.14.01g: Mentoplasty or Genioplasty

Policy: 11.16.01h: Septoplasty, Rhinoplasty, and Septorhinoplasty

Policy: 12.01.03a: Cosmetic Procedures

### **Policy History**

# 11.09.02h

01/01/2020	This version of the policy will become effective 01/01/2020.
	This policy has been identified for the CPT code update, effective 01/01/2020.
	The following code has been <b>DELETED</b> from the policy: 19304

11.09.02g:	
04/15/2019	This version of the policy will become effective 04/15/2019.
	The following criteria has been <b>DELETED</b> from the policy:
	Under continuous hormone replacement therapy and puberty-suppressing hormones medically necessary policy statements
	Recommended by a qualified professional provider who has consistently assessed the individual.

- One referral letter and/or chart documentation for hormone therapy is required from a
  - qualified professional provider.

    If the individual has significant medical or mental health concerns, they are reasonably well controlled.

# 11 00 026

11.09.021:	
01/01/2019	This policy has been identified for the HCPCS code update, effective 01/01/2019.
	The following HCPCS code has been <b>added</b> to this policy: J3316.
	The following HCPCS code has been deleted from this policy: C9016.

# 11.09.02e

11/21/2018	This policy has been reviewed and reissued to communicate the Company's continuing position on Treatment of Gender Dysphoria.
	This policy has been identified for the HCPCS code update, effective 01/01/2018.  The following HCPCS code has been <b>added</b> to this policy: C9016 Injection, triptorelin extended release, 3.75 mg

# 11 09 024

11/03/2017	This version of the policy will become effective 11/03/2017.
	The intent of this policy remains unchanged, but the policy has been updated to further clarify the
	following:
	Transgender language to include all gender nonconforming individuals
	Potentially cosmetic or cosmetic procedures/therapies

Effective 10/05/2017 this policy has been updated to the new policy template format

Version Effective Date: 01/01/2020 Version Issued Date: 12/31/2019 Version Reissued Date: N/A

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 7 of 9

# Independence











# **Medical Policy Bulletin**

Title Cosmetic Procedures

This policy is applicable to the Company's commercial products only. Policies that are applicable to the Company's Medicare Advantage products are accessible via a separate Medicare Advantage policy database.

The Company makes decisions on coverage based on Policy Bulletins, benefit plan documents, and the member's medical history and condition. Benefits may vary based on contract, and individual member benefits must be verified. The Company determines medical necessity only if the benefit exists and no contract exclusions are applicable.

When services can be administered in various settings, the Company reserves the right to reimburse only those services that are furnished in the most appropriate and cost-effective setting that is appropriate to the member's medical needs and condition. This decision is based on the member's current medical condition and any required monitoring or additional services that may coincide with the delivery of this service.

This Medical Policy Bulletin document describes the status of medical technology at the time the document was developed. Since that time, new technology may have emerged or new medical literature may have been published. This Medical Policy Bulletin will be reviewed regularly and be updated as scientific and medical literature becomes available. For more information on how Medical Policy Bulletins are developed, go to the Policy Types and Descriptions section of this Medical Policy Web site.

### Policy

Coverage is subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of the member's contract

Coverage is subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations of a member's contract. The Company reserves the right to reimburse only those services that are furnished in the most appropriate and cost-effective setting that is appropriate to the member's medical needs and condition.

### POTENTIALLY COSMETIC PROCEDURES

Services that are determined to be cosmetic, following medical necessity review, are a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company. Therefore, they are not eligible for reimbursement consideration. The following are services that may be considered cosmetic (this list is subject to change):

- Blepharoplasty
- Body contouring procedures (e.g., liposuction, lipectomy)
- · Botox injections
- Calf implantation
- · Collagen injections
- Cervicoplasty/platysmaplasty
- Chin augmentation (genioplasty, mentoplasty)
- Chemical peels
- · Cricothyroid approximation
- Correction of diastasis recti abdominis
- Dermabrasions/chemical peels
- Excision of redundant skin
- Facial masculinizing/feminizing surgeries (e.g., facial bone reduction)
- Forehead reduction
- Gluteal augmentation (e.g., silicone implants, fat transfer, fat grafting)
- · Gynecomastia surgery
- Hair reconstruction (e.g. hair removal/electrolysis, hair transplantation, wigs)
- Injectable dermal fillers (e.g., Sculptra, Radiesse)
- Labiaplasty
- Laryngoplasty
- Lip reduction/enhancement
- · Orthognathic procedures
- Otoplasty Panniculectomy
- Pectoral implantation
- Pulsed-dye laser treatment
- Reduction mammoplasty
- Rhinoplasty
- Rhytidectomy
- Scar revision
- Septoplasty
- Trachea shave/reduction thyroid chondroplasty
- · Treatment of telangiectasia (spider veins), varicose veins Tattooing (non therapeutic)
- · Voice modification surgery

Note: For services performed to revise the outcome of a previous cosmetic procedure are considered cosmetic and, therefore, a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company. Therefore, they are not eligible for reimbursement consideration.

# ALWAYS COSMETIC PROCEDURES

The following are not covered by the Company because they are always considered cosmetic. Services and drugs that are cosmetic are a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company. Therefore, they are not eligible for reimbursement consideration (this list is subject to change):

- Abdominoplasty
- Deoxycholic acid (Kybella™)

Note: For services performed to revise the outcome of a previous cosmetic procedure are considered cosmetic and, therefore, a benefit contract exclusion for all products of the Company. Therefore, they are not eligible for reimbursement consideration.

# CONDITIONS THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED MEDICALLY NECESSARY

The treatment of medical and surgical complications resulting from cosmetic procedures is considered medically necessary and, therefore covered when, if left untreated, the complications would endanger the health of the individual. Treatment is covered and eligible for reimbursement consideration by the Company based on the medical necessity for acute conditions such as, but not limited to:

- Deep vein thrombosisHemorrhage
- Incisional hernia
- Infection
- Myocardial infarction
- Wound dehiscence

Services performed due to a condition resulting from an accident or where a functional impairment which results from a covered disease, injury or congenital birth defect may be considered medically necessary and, therefore, covered

For medically necessary criteria for non-cosmetic uses of a potentially cosmetic procedure, specific Company policies may exist; please refer to such individual policies for criteria

# Guidelines

# BENEFIT APPLICATION

Subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable benefit contract, cosmetic procedures are a benefit contract exclusion for all Company products

# Case 2:24-cv-00468 Document 1-1 Filed 01/31/24 Page 8 of 9

#### FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Members are financially responsible for all costs associated with all cosmetic procedures

#### COSMETIC PROCEDURES

Cosmetic procedures are those provided to improve an individual's physical appearance, from which no significant improvement in physiologic function can be expected. Emotional and/or psychological improvement alone does not constitute improvement in physiologic function

American Academy of Dermatology and AAD Association. Position statement on the definitions of cosmetic and reconstructive Surgery. [AAD Web site]. 08/07/2010. Available at: https://www.aad.org/forms/policies/uploads/ps/ps-definitions%200f%20cosmetic%20%20reconstructive%20surgery.pdf. Accessed April 22, 2019.

American Academy of Otolaryngology— Head and Neck Surgery. Facial plastic surgery. Patient health information. [ENT Web site]. 2019. Available at:http://www.entnet.org/content/facial-plastic-surgery-0 Accessed April 22, 2019.

American Board of Cosmetic Surgery. Cosmetic surgery vs. plastic surgery. [American Board of Cosmetic Surgery Web site]. 2019. Available at: http://www.americanboardcosmeticsurgery.org/patient-resources/cosmetic-surgery-vs-plastic-surgery/ Accessed April 22, 2019.

#### Benefit Contracts

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Department of Health and Human Services, Items and services that are not covered under the Medicare program. [CMS Web site]. ww.cms.gov/Outreach-and-Education/Medicare-Learning-Network-MLN/MLNProducts/Downloads/Items-and-Services-Not-Covered-Under Medicare-Booklet-ICN906765.pdf Accessed April 22, 2019.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and Coding Guidelines for Cosmetic and Reconstructive Surgery LCD. [CMS Web site]. 01/01/17. Available at https://downloads.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/lcd\_attachments/34698\_20/L34698\_GSURG032\_BCG.pdf Accessed April 22, 2019.

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare benefit policy manual. Chapter 16 General exclusion from coverage. [CMS Web site]. 11/6/14. Available at http://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/downloads/bp102c16.pdf Accessed April 22, 2019.

Novitas Solutions. Local Coverage Determination (L35090). Cosmetic and Reconstructive Surgery. [Novitas Solutions Website]: Original 10/01/2015 (Revised: 04/04/2 at: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?LCDId=35090&ver=36&DocID=L35090&bc=gAAABAAAAAA&. Accessed April 22, 2019.

Inclusion of a code in this table does not imply reimbursement. Eligibility, benefits, limitations, exclusions, precertification/referral requirements, provider contracts, and Company policies apply

The codes listed below are updated on a regular basis, in accordance with nationally accepted coding guidelines. Therefore, this policy applies to any and all future applicable coding changes, revisions, or updates

In order to ensure optimal reimbursement, all health care services, devices, and pharmaceuticals should be reported using the billing codes and modifiers that most accurately represent the services rendered, unless otherwise directed by the Company.

The Coding Table lists any CPT, ICD-10, and HCPCS billing codes related only to the specific policy in which they appear

### CPT Procedure Code Number(s)

#### ICD - 10 Procedure Code Number(s)

# ICD - 10 Diagnosis Code Number(s)

HCPCS Level II Code Number(s)

# Revenue Code Number(s)

Policy: 05.00.62h: Injectable Dermal Fillers

Policy: 07.07.03m: Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) Using Levulan® Kerastick® or Ameluz® (Aminolevulinic Acid HCI [ALA])

Policy: 08.00.26w: Botulinum Toxin Agents

Policy: 08.01.24a: Deoxycholic Acid (Kybella™)

Policy: 11.00.02f: Treatment of Medical and Surgical Complications

Policy: 11.01.01j: Otoplasty or Non-Surgical External Ear Molding

Policy: 11.02.01s: Treatment of Varicose Veins of the Lower Extremities and Perforator Vein Incompetence

Policy: 11.05.02i: Blepharoplasty, Repair of Blepharoptosis, Repair of Brow Ptosis, and Canthoplasty/Canthopexy

Policy: 11.06.09d: Labiaplasty

Policy: 11.08.01g: Hair Transplants and Cranial Prostheses (Wigs)

Policy: 11.08.02h: Reduction Mammoplasty Policy: 11.08.03j: Lipectomy and Liposuction

Policy: 11.08.04h: Selective Photothermolysis Using Pulsed-Dye Lasers (PDL)

Policy: 11.08.05g: Application and Removal of Tattoos

Policy: 11.08.06j: Panniculectomy, Abdominoplasty, and Other Excisions of Redundant Skin

Policy: 11.08.08g: Chemical Peels

Policy: 11.08.12h: Surgery for Gynecomastia

Policy: 11.08.13g: Rhytidectomy and/or Cervicoplasty With or Without Liposuction and/or Platysmaplasty

Policy: 11.08.14k: Removal of Breast Implants Policy: 11.08.15x: Reconstructive Breast Surgery

Policy: 11.08.25m: Scar Revision

Policy: 11.08.29e: Procedures for the Treatment of Acne

Policy: 11.14.01g: Mentoplasty or Genioplasty

# 

Policy: 11.14.08d: Orthognathic Surgery

Policy: 11.16.01h: Septoplasty, Rhinoplasty, and Septorhinoplasty

# Policy History

# Revisions from 12.01.03:

	This policy has been reviewed and reissued in accordance with the Company's continuing position on Cosmetic Procedures.
10/24/2018	This policy has been reissued in accordance with the Company's annual review process.

Effective 10/05/2017 this policy has been updated to the new policy template format.

Version Effective Date: 07/01/2017 Version Issued Date: 06/30/2017 Version Reissued Date: 05/22/2019

