1 Marco Costa, Bar No. 031915 GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR 2 7319 North 16th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85020 3 Telephone: (602) 870-9700 4 Fax: (602) 870-9783 Email: mcosta@gillaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 Send all Court Documents to: mailroom@gillaw.com 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 10 Telephone (Mesa): (480)-985-4000 ◆ Fax (Mesa): (480) 985-7552 J.D. Ottman, an individual, Case No. 11 12 Plaintiff, 13 **COMPLAINT** 14 VS. (Jury trial requested) 15 **Department of Forestry and Fire** 16 Management, an Arizona State agency; 17 **ABC Corporations 1-10; XYZ** Partnerships 1-10; Other Businesses 1-10 18 19 Defendant. 20 21 22 Plaintiff, JD Ottman, Defendant, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby 23 alleges as follows: 24 25 **PARTIES** 26 1. Plaintiff, JD Ottman, is a resident of Navajo County, Arizona. 27 28

1630 S. Stapley Drive, Suite 212, Mesa, Arizona 85204

7319 North 16th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85020

3. Defendants ABC Corporations 1-10; XYZ Partnerships 1-10; Other Businesses 1-10 are fictitiously named herein as Defendants and agents/employees of Defendant, which Defendants may later be joined as such time their true and correct identities are discovered.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in the District of Arizona under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff was hired by DFFM on or around April 2010.
- 7. Plaintiff worked for DFFM for approximately nine (9) years and ten (10) months.
- 8. During the course of his employment, Plaintiff developed constant migraine who caused him to lack sleep and concentration. DFFM was aware of his condition.
- 9. On January 10, 2020, Plaintiff submitted a written explanation for his refusal to operate the Escudilla Crew (a Department of Corrections Wildland Crew) to District Manager Robert Arthur.
- 10. Among other things Plaintiff, reported that "some crew members were involved in dealing a drug called spice while on the fire crews." Spice, or K2, is a synthetic designer drug having effects similar to marijuana.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	11.	At the time Plaintiff's report, marijuana was illegal in Arizona. Plaintiff
went o	on to re	port that he intended to "shut the crew down due to safety concerns to protect
both a	gencie	s.".

- 12. Plaintiff's written reporting was done via email. Use of illegal drug use, especially by a fire crew, is against public policy and against federal and state law.
- 13. Instead, Plaintiff was told to shut his mouth, or he would be replaced by this unqualified younger assistant, Robert Pena.
 - 14. DFFM' A2S Manager Bob Arthur hired Mr. Pena twice.
- 15. DFFM did not seem to even acknowledge Plaintiff's complaint, much less investigate, or address it.
- 16. DFFM's apparent "resolution" to the complaint that firefighters were using and selling drugs on the job was to remove the supervisor reporting the misconduct and replace him with Mr. Pena. Plaintiff submitted his report just over a month prior to his discharge.
- 17. Upon information and beliefs Robert Pena was then assigned to manage the Escudilla crew.
- 18. In February 2020, Plaintiff expected to be interviewed regarding his report about unlawful drug use. Instead, Plaintiff was subjected to baseless accusations, harassment, and interrogation by John Truett and Rose Strike, human resources, triggering a massive migraine.
- 19. Due to the severity of his migraine, produced by the stress of the meeting, Plaintiff had to take a day off.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

20.	Mr. Arthur	approved	Plaintiff's	time off.	but Mr.	Truett	did not
-----	------------	----------	-------------	-----------	---------	--------	---------

- 21. Mr. Truett told Plaintiff that he was to report to work with his health condition and if he did not, he would be insubordinate.
- 22. Plaintiff sent several emails discussing his health condition and the reason why he needed a sick day.
- 23. Plaintiff was placed on a two-week suspension or administrative leave for taking a sick day.
- 24. During Plaintiff's two-week leave Plaintiff was restricted to remain in his home and did clerical work.
- 25. Plaintiff was advised by Mr. Arthur that he will be notified about any future changes and other disparaging remarks.
- 26. Plaintiff was released from duty with no cause and told to bring his belongings to the office, was presented with a letter of termination on February 19, 2020.
- Given that DFFM did not take any actions to address his complaints prior to 27. discharging him, Plaintiff continued to be extremely concerned that DFFM has not addressed the illegal drug use and distribution by crew members.
 - 28. DFFM, through its agent John Truett, continued to harm Plaintiff.
- 29. Plaintiff is currently employed by the Pinetop Fire District, which is an entity overseen by DFFM.
- 30. Upon information and beliefs, in December 2020, Mr. Truett contacted Plaintiff, berated and harassed him over a scheduling matter. Mr. Truett tried multiple

times to convince Plaintiff and his crew to leave a job site a day early, which would have likely resulted in discipline and losing at least 12 hours' pay.

- 31. Mr. Truett was rude and condescending, as documented by a neutral third party who was present.
- 32. Mr. Truett's behavior constitutes ongoing harassment and interference against Plaintiff by DFFM via its agent, John Truett.
- 33. Plaintiff filed a complaint with the EEOC for discrimination dated October 13, 2020.
- 34. On August 29, 2022, the EEOC issued a determination finding reasonable cause to believe DFFM discriminated Plaintiff for the reason of his age in violation of the ADEA.
- 35. After DFFM refused to participate in any mediation attempt, the EEOC issued a notice of right to sue on August 7, 2023.

COUNT I(Violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act – ADEA)

36. Plaintiff suffered damages as a result of DFFM's conduct.

<u>COUNT II</u> (Violation of the American with Disabilities Act – ADA)

- 37. Plaintiff suffered constant migraine which substantially limited one or more major life activities.
 - 38. DFFM was aware of Plaintiff's condition.

	39.	Plaintiff	was	capable	of	performing	the	essential	functions	of	the
emp	loyment	position w	ith D	FFM.							

- 40. DFFM terminated Plaintiff because of his disability.
- 41. Plaintiff suffered damages as a result of DFFM's conduct.

COUNT III

(Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 – Retaliation)

- 42. Plaintiff reported to DFFM the illegal practice of consuming illegal drugs in the Escudilla crew.
- 43. DFFM attempted to silence Plaintiff's report and then terminated his position.
- 44. Plaintiff was terminated because he reported the use of the illegal drugs in the Escudilla crew.
 - 45. Plaintiff suffered damages as a result of DFFM's conduct.

COUNT IV

(Violation of the Arizona Civil Rights Act – A.R.S 41-1463 et seq.)

- 46. Plaintiff is over 40 years of age.
- 47. Plaintiff was qualified for the position he occupied with DFFM.
- 48. DFFM terminated Plaintiff and gave his position to a younger individual.
- 49. Plaintiff suffered constant migraine which substantially limited one or more major life activities.
 - 50. DFFM was aware of Plaintiff's condition.

Case 3:23-cv-08592-SPL Document 1 Filed 11/06/23 Page 7 of 8

Case 3:23-cv-08592-SPL Document 1 Filed 11/06/23 Page 8 of 8

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
52	10
85-755.	11
480) 9	12
lesa): (13
∃ax (M	14
- 000	15
Telephone (Mesa): (480)-985-40	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR7319 North 16th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85020
1630 S. Stapley Drive, Suite 212, Mesa, Arizona 85204
Telephone: (602) 870-9700 ◆ Fax: (602) 870-9783

1

C.	Any other damages, including punitive damages pursuant to the any						
	applicable authority;						
D.	Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;						
E.	Attorney's fees and costs of suit;						
F.	For such other relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled and this Court deems						
	just and proper.						

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of November 2023.

GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR

By: /s/ Marco Costa

Marco Costa

Attorney for Plaintiff

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use <u>only</u> in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Plaintiff(s): J.D. Ottman , ;	Department of Forestry and Fire Management An Defendant(s): Arizona State Agency, ABC Corporations 1-10; XYZ Partnerships 1-10; Other Business 1-10;
County of Residence: Navajo	County of Residence: Maricopa
County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Maricopa	
Plaintiff's Atty(s):	Defendant's Atty(s):
Marco Costa , Gillespie, Shields & Taylor 7319 N. 16th Street	•
Phoenix, Arizona 85020 602-870-9700	,
IFP REQUESTED	
REMOVAL FROM Maricopa COUNTY, CASE #	
II. Basis of Jurisdiction:	3. Federal Question (U.S. not a party)
III. Citizenship of Principal Parties(Diversity Cases Only) Plaintiff:-	N/A
Defendant:-	N/A
IV. Origin : V. Nature of Suit:	1. Original Proceeding 442 Employment
VI.Cause of Action:	Employment Civil Right Discrimination and Wrongful Termination
VII. Requested in Complaint	
Class Action:	No
Dollar Demand:	
Jury Demand:	Yes
VIII. This case is not related to another case.	

Signature: Marco Costa

Date: 11/6/2023

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.