11/28/2023 3:18 PM 23CV48351

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT (OF THE STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR CLATS	SOP COUNTY
6	AMY LENZ, an individual,	23CV48351 Case No.:
7 8	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT
9 10 11	KNAPPA FIRE DISTRICT, a public entity, PAUL OLHEISER, an individual, KURT DONALDSON, an individual, and SUSAN STUNKARD, an individual, Defendants.	 Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(a),(b), and (f); Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.199; Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.203; Wrongful Termination
12 13		NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION
14) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
15) PRAYER: \$5,025,000 (ORS 21.160(1)(d))
16 17 18	PARTIES & J	URISDICTION
10		1.
20	Plaintiff Amy Lenz ("Plaintiff") is a resi	dent of Astoria, Clatsop County, Oregon and was
20	at all material times an employee of Knappa Fir	e District. Plaintiff is a woman.
22		2.
23	Defendant Knappa Fire District ("Defen	dant Knappa") is a public entity that was formed
24	in 1955 to protect 100 square miles of Clatsop G	County. Defendant Knappa conducts regular,
25	sustained business in Clatsop County, Oregon.	
26	///	
	1 – COMPLAINT	DOLAN LAW GROUP PC

1	3.
2	Defendant Paul Olheiser ("Defendant Olheiser") is an individual who, on information and
3	belief, currently resides in Burbank, Washington. At all relevant times he was employed by
4	Defendant Knappa Fire District as the Fire Chief.
5	4.
6	Defendant Kurt Donaldson ("Defendant Donaldson") is an individual who, on
7	information and belief, resides in Astoria, Oregon. At all relevant times he was employed by
8	Defendant Knappa Fire District as the Fire Chief.
9	5.
10	Defendant Susan Stunkard ("Defendant Stunkard") is an individual who, on information
11 12	and belief, resides in Astoria, Oregon. At all relevant times she was Board Chair of Defendant
12	
13	Knappa's Board of Directors.
	6.
15	Venue is proper in Clatsop County, Oregon pursuant to ORS 14.080(1) and (2) because
16 17	Defendants Olheiser and Stunkard reside in Astoria, Oregon, Defendant Knappa conducts
17	regular and sustained business in Clatsop County, Oregon, and because the acts alleged herein
19	occurred in the County.
20	NATURE OF THE ACTION
21	7.
22	This is an action for employment discrimination based on sex; for a hostile work
23	environment based on sex; for discrimination/retaliation based on good faith reporting of
24	violations of law; and for wrongful termination.
25	///
26	///
	2 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250

3.

1	
2	PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS
3	8.
4	On September 29, 2021, Plaintiff presented Defendant Knappa with a formal Oregon Tort
5	Claims Notice to pursuant to ORS 30.275. Plaintiff's Notice was timely made, as are her claims,
6	pursuant to House Bill 4212 and Senate Bill 813, and agreement by the Parties.
7	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
8	9.
9	Plaintiff was hired by Defendant Knappa as a Volunteer Paramedic Firefighter in
10	approximately March 2003. During all relevant times, Plaintiff performed her job satisfactorily.
11	10.
12	During all relevant times, Scott Wheldon was Plaintiff's supervisor.
13 14	
14	11.
15	In approximately the Spring of 2005, Plaintiff was promoted to Emergency Medical
10	Services ("EMS") Officer and Paramedic Firefighter. However, Plaintiff was not promoted in the
18	accompanying rank to lieutenant. All other EMS officers are men and all were given lieutenant
19	rank, but Plaintiff was not. However, the job description for EMS Officer indicated that Plaintiff
20	would have the necessary supervisory experience to be a lieutenant at the time of her promotion
21	in 2005.
22	12.
23	From her promotion in 2005 until the time of her termination, Plaintiff's classification
24	was an EMS Officer/Firefighter Paramedic, without an officer rank.
25	///
26	
	3 – COMPLAINT ¹ DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Dolan Law GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Dolan Law GROUP PC

1	13.
2	From the time Plaintiff began working for Defendant Knappa, Mr. Wheldon subjected
3	her to constant harassment on the basis of her gender. This occurred on every single call where
4	they both responded, which happened on at least a weekly basis. Mr. Wheldon harassed Plaintiff
5	in front of Defendant Knappa's cadets, volunteers, and other officers. None of the witnesses ever
6	intervened. Plaintiff reported Mr. Wheldon's behavior to Defendant Olheiser at least once a
7	month during this time. Every time she reported this to him, Defendant Olheiser would tell her
8	that he would "take care of it" and talk to Mr. Wheldon. However, Mr. Wheldon's behavior
9 10	never changed and, instead, he continued referring to her in a derogatory and offensive manner,
11	calling her for example a "fat bitch," "stupid bitch," and a "fucking cunt."
12	14.
13	On or around October 19, 2018, Plaintiff met with Chief Defendant Olheiser because she
14	was reaching her breaking point about Mr. Wheldon's treatment of her. During the meeting,
15	Plaintiff brought many things to Defendant Olheiser's attention, including Mr. Wheldon's
16	behavior towards her and her female colleague, Misty Lindstrom. Plaintiff told Defendant
17	Olheiser about Mr. Wheldon's offensive name calling, that Mr. Wheldon constantly told her and
18	Ms. Lindstrom that females do not belong in the fire service, that women are too stupid, and that
19	women cannot do the job like men can.
20	
21	15.
22	On or around October 24, 2018, Defendant Knappa's Board of Directors asked Defendant
23	Olheiser to hire an attorney to speak with Mr. Wheldon, the Chief, the Board of Directors, and
24	Volunteers regarding harassment, sexual harassment, reporting and retaliation. The Board of
25	Directors did not attend this meeting.
26	

4 - COMPLAINT

On or around November 9, 2018, Plaintiff was dispatched to the Camp Fire in Paradise, 2 California. 3

4

17.

16.

5 That same day, Plaintiff noticed a noise coming from the fire engine. Additionally, she 6 noted the breaks were soft and it had a bad steering pump. She was the assigned driver and was 7 concerned for her and everyone else's safety, so she brought this concern with Mr. Wheldon, 8 who brushed her off and said it was fine. Plaintiff asked if she could repair the vehicle, but he 9 refused to let her repair it and refused to let her take it to ground support. Because Mr. Wheldon 10 was her superior, she could have been accused of insubordination if she did not abide by his 11 refusal to fix the engine. Plaintiff believed this was in retaliation for earlier reports she made to 12 13 Defendant Olheiser regarding Mr. Wheldon's discriminatory treatment of her based on her 14 gender. 15 18. 16 From approximately November 9 through November 16, 2018, Mr. Wheldon refused to 17 give Plaintiff the safety briefing regarding the Camp Fire. 18 19. 19 On or around November 13, 2018, after becoming increasingly worried about her safety, 20 21 Plaintiff reported Mr. Wheldon's behavior. She texted Defendant Olheiser to notify him and 22 reported the incidents to Defendant Stunkard via Facebook Messenger. Defendant Olheiser 23 immediately directed Mr. Wheldon to take the fire engine to ground support for repairs, but he 24 took no further action regarding Plaintiff's complaints. It was later determined that the fire 25 engine needed a new steering pump as the old one was affecting steering and braking. 26

5 – COMPLAINT

1	20.
2	On or around November 15, 2018, Plaintiff observed Mr. Wheldon drinking a 33 oz. beer
3	while on duty. Mr. Wheldon was going to drive the brush engine back to the fire after drinking
4	his beer. Plaintiff was concerned for her safety and the safety of others, so she asked Mr.
5	Wheldon if she could drive the engine back. He initially refused, but eventually agreed that
6	Plaintiff could drive.
7	21.
8 9	While fighting the Camp Fire, on November 16, 2018, Mr. Wheldon drove off in
9 10	Defendant Knappa's brush engine, leaving Plaintiff alone at the fireground. Mr. Wheldon did not
11	inform Plaintiff that he would be leaving that location. After Mr. Wheldon departed the area,
12	Plaintiff was without resources and was forced to walk over a quarter of a mile to find Mr.
13	Wheldon and the brush engine. Plaintiff was concerned for her safety during this event. Plaintiff
14	believed this was in further retaliation for reports she made to Defendant Olheiser regarding Mr.
15	Wheldon's discriminatory treatment of her based on her gender.
16	22.
17	On or around December 12, 2018, Plaintiff followed up with Defendant Olheiser via
18 19	email regarding her complaints about Mr. Wheldon and his inappropriate behavior during the
20	Camp Fire and during her shifts in Oregon.
21	23.
22	Approximately two days later, on or around December 15, 2018, Defendant Olheiser
23	responded to Plaintiff's email, but did not provide any information addressing her concerns or
24	offer to meet with her.
25	///
26	

6- COMPLAINT

1 On or around December 30, 2018, Plaintiff met with Defendant Stunkard to discuss her 2 concerns regarding Mr. Wheldon, Defendant Olheiser, and the discriminatory behavior she faced 3 4 on a near daily basis. 5 25. 6 On or around January 24, 2019, Plaintiff and Ms. Lindstrom met with Defendant 7 Knappa's Board of Directors regarding Mr. Wheldon's treatment of female employees, the 8 incidents from November 2018, and Defendant Olheiser playing favorites. Plaintiff provided a 9 copy of her communications with Defendant Olheiser and offered the photo she had taken of Mr. 10 Wheldon drinking on the job. Plaintiff and Ms. Lindstrom explained that they had reported Mr. 11 Wheldon's inappropriate behavior on several occasions, but Defendant Olheiser had not taken 12 13 any action. 14 26. 15 During the same meeting with the Board of Directors, Plaintiff also brought up the fact 16 that Defendant Olheiser refused to promote her to a lieutenant despite written policy from 17 Defendant Knappa indicating that Plaintiff should have been a lieutenant by reason of holding 18 the EMS officer position. The Board indicated they had no idea why Defendant Olheiser was not 19 following Defendant Knappa's policy. Defendant Stunkard did not have an explanation of 20 21 Defendant Olheiser's discriminatory behavior. Instead, Defendant Stunkard told Plaintiff that 22 there was a "good old boy system" and expressed hope that "things will change with the new 23 Chief." 24 /// 25 /// 26

7- COMPLAINT

27. 1 On or around February 6, 2019, Plaintiff followed up with Defendant Stunkard via 2 Facebook Messenger regarding her complaints from their meeting in January. Defendant 3 4 Stunkard did not respond. 5 28. 6 On or around March 8, 2019, Plaintiff contacted Defendant Stunkard again via Facebook 7 Messenger. Defendant Stunkard replied that Defendant Olheiser was supposed to have met with 8 Plaintiff and that "[Defendant Olheiser] really doesn't like that you went to the Board while he is 9 still researching the issue." 10 29. 11 The Board of Directors held a formal meeting on or around March 16, 2019. During the 12 13 meeting, Plaintiff requested an executive session with Defendant Olheiser and the Board of 14 Directors to address her complaints regarding safety issues, hostile work environment, and her 15 previous reports regarding those issues. Defendant Olheiser was visibly angry that Plaintiff 16 requested a meeting. Defendant Olheiser defended his inaction and Plaintiff pointed out that he 17 never once called her to discuss her side of the incident. Defendant Olheiser responded, "you 18 texted me about it." Plaintiff responded, "I texted you the highlights of what happened, not the 19 whole story." Defendant Olheiser denied Mr. Wheldon drank while working but did not 20 21 otherwise respond to the complaints she made. Plaintiff told him he was wrong and offered to 22 give him the photo she had taken of Mr. Wheldon, which included the time/date/location stamp. 23 Defendant Olheiser appeared stunned and said he needed to re-evaluate his understanding of the 24 event. 25 /// 26

8 – COMPLAINT

1	30.
2	During the same Board of Directors meeting, Defendant Olheiser informed Plaintiff that
3	she would be "punished" for her behavior in November 2018. Plaintiff pushed back with
4	Defendant Olheiser and said, "I am the recipient and reporter of the safety incidents and violation
5	of state law." Defendant Olheiser disregarded her statement and said she would be punished
6	anyway. Plaintiff told Defendant Olheiser that it was illegal for him to punish her. He told her,
7	"I'm the Chief, I can do what I want." During this interaction, none of the members of the Board
8 9	of Directors intervened on Plaintiff's behalf.
10	31.
11	On or around April 8, 2019, Plaintiff contacted Defendant Stunkard to inquire about the
12	result of the meeting on March 16, 2019. Defendant Stunkard did not respond.
13	32.
14	On or around April 23, 2019, Defendant Olheiser sent Plaintiff a disciplinary letter
15	stating she was no longer allowed to attend any conflagrations during fire season, from May
16	2019 through April 2020. According to the letter, this discipline was "a result of [Plaintiff's]
17 18	actions during deployment to the Camp Fire." The letter also stated that Plaintiff and Mr.
10	Wheldon had "shook hands" and "agreed to get along" during their deployment to the Camp
20	Fire, but "that did not happen."
21	33.
22	Defendant Olheiser claimed that Mr. Wheldon was also banned from conflagration fires
23	for his behavior at the Camp Fire. However, he continued to show up, so he either violated his
24	"punishment" or was not actually suspended.
25	///
26	

9- COMPLAINT

1

In or around July 2019, Defendant Donaldson became the new Fire Chief for Defendant 2 Knappa. 3

4

35.

34.

5 From approximately the spring of 2019 through November 2019, Plaintiff tried to keep 6 her head down at work. However, Mr. Wheldon continued to be harass her relentlessly. For 7 example, he continued calling her a "fucking bitch," and a "fucking cunt." This behavior also 8 continued to occur in front of other people who worked or volunteered for Defendant Knappa. 9 Plaintiff also faced continued hostility from Defendant Olheiser and the Board because of her 10 ongoing reports regarding how she was being mistreated. The hostility based on her sex resulted 11 in her responding to fewer calls because she was worried about her safety. During this time 12 13 period Defendant Olheiser and his successor Defendant Donaldson refused to purchase Plaintiff 14 new turn outs (fire suits) despite Plaintiff's turns outs being at least four years past their 15 expiration, and despite her repeated requests for new turn outs. 16 36. 17 On November 13, 2019, Plaintiff reported to the Board of Directors that she had heard 18 that they may be replacing her. Defendant Stunkard informed Plaintiff that she should have 19 applied for a Lieutenant position. Plaintiff responded that according to her job description, she 20 21 should have been recognized as holding the rank of Lieutenant 15 years ago when she was 22 placed in the EMS officer position. Plaintiff also brought up the fact that none of the other 23 current officers, all of whom held positions of either Lieutenant or Captain, all of whom were 24 male, had to reapply to remain officers with Defendant Knappa. Defendant Stunkard told 25 Plaintiff it was not her (Defendant Stunkard's) job to correct the problem.

26

1	37.
2	Throughout her employment as EMS Officer with Defendant Knappa, Plaintiff was
3	continuously denied training opportunities to get certifications to obtain a higher officer ranking.
4	38.
5	On November 19, 2019, Defendant Knappa terminated Plaintiff's employment.
6	39.
7	On approximately December 26, 2019, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Oregon
8	Bureau of Labor & Industries ("BOLI") alleging gender discrimination. On December 24, 2020,
9	BOLI issued a Notice of Right to File a Civil Suit.
10 11	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
11	Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(b) (Terms, Conditions or Privileges of
12	Employment)
13	(Against Defendant Knappa)
15	40.
16	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
17	through 39.
18	41.
19	It is an unlawful employment practice for any employer to discriminate against the
20	individual in compensation or in terms, conditions or privileges of employment because of their
21	sex.
22	42.
23	Defendant Knappa discriminated against Plaintiff in compensation or in terms, conditions
24	
25 26	or privileges of employment because of her sex, as described herein.
26	///
	11 - COMPLAINTDOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250

1	43.
2	As a result of Defendant Knappa's discrimination, Plaintiff suffered economic damages
3	during her employment in the form of lost wages and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an
4	amount to be determined at trial.
5	44.
6	As a result of Defendant Knappa's discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered emotional
7	distress and is entitled to recover non-economic damages in an amount to be proven at trial, but
8	which is not to exceed \$1,000,000.
9	45.
10	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
11 12	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
12	SECOND CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
14	Aiding and Abetting Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(b); ORS 659A(1)(g) (Terms,
15	Conditions or Privileges of Employment)
16	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)
17	46.
18	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
19	through 39.
20	47.
21	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,
22	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of
23	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.
24 25	///
23 26	
_~	
	12 - COMPLAINT Dolan Law Group PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 25

1	48.
2	Defendants Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard each aided and abetted Defendant Knappa
3	by discriminating against Plaintiff in compensation or in terms, conditions or privileges of
4	employment because of her sex as described herein.
5	49.
6	As a direct result of Defendants' Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard unlawful conduct,
7	Plaintiff suffered economic damages during her employment in the form of lost wages and is
8	
9	entitled to recover such lost wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
10	50.
11	As a direct result of Defendants' Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard unlawful conduct,
12	Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and is entitled to recover non-economic damages in an
13	amount to be proven at trial, but which is not to exceed \$225,000 against each individual
14	Defendant.
15	51.
16	Plaintiff is entitled to her reasonable attorney fees, costs, disbursements, and pre- and
17	post-judgment in the amount of 9% per annum pursuant to ORS 659A.885 and ORS 82.010.
18	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
19	
20	Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1) <i>et seq</i> (Hostile Work Environment)
21	(Against Defendant Knappa)
22	52.
23	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
24	through 39.
25	///
26	
	13 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland, OR 97213 Phone: (503) 227-2377 Fax: (503) 427-1900

Fax: (503) 427-1900

1	53.
2	Defendant Knappa subjected Plaintiff to a pattern of gender-based harassment
3	because of her sex that was sufficiently severe and/or pervasive to have the purpose or effect of
4	unreasonably interfering with Plaintiff's work performance and/or creating an intimidating
5	hostile and/or offensive work environment as described herein.
6	54.
7	Defendant Knappa knew or should have known of the unlawful and inappropriate
8 9	conduct. Defendant Knappa, its employees and/or agents failed to make a reasonably diligent
9 10	inquiry to take other immediate and appropriate corrective action.
11	55.
12	As a result of Defendant Knappa's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered non-economic
13	damages in the form of emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-economic damages
14	in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less than \$1,000,000.
15	56.
16	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
17	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
18	FOURTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
19 20	Aiding and Abetting Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030 <i>et seq</i> ; ORS 659A.030(1)(g)
20	(Hostile Work Environment)
21	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)
22	57.
24	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
25	through 39.
26	///
	14 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland OR 97713

1	58.
2	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,
3	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of
4	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.
5	59.
6	Defendants Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard each aided and abetted Defendant Knappa
7	by discriminating against Plaintiff in subjecting Plaintiff to a pattern of gender-based harassment
8 9	because of her sex that was sufficiently severe and/or pervasive to have the purpose or effect of
9 10	unreasonably interfering with Plaintiff's work performance and/or creating an intimidating
11	hostile and/or offensive work environment as described herein.
12	60.
13	As a result of Defendants' Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard actions, Plaintiff has
14	suffered non-economic damages in the form of emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to recover
15	non-economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less
16	than \$225,000 against each individual Defendant.
17	61.
18 19	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
20	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
21	///
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	
	15 - COMPLAINT Dolan Law Group PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland, OR 97213 Phone: (503) 227-2377 Fax: (503) 427-1900

1	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(a) (Discriminatory Discharge)
3	(Against Defendant Knappa)
4	62.
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
6	through 39.
7	63.
8	Plaintiff was discharged because of her sex, as described herein.
9	64.
10	As a result of Plaintiff's unlawful discharge by Defendant Knappa, she has suffered and
11	
12	will continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost wages, including back pay, front
13	pay in lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an amount to be
14	determined at trial.
15	65.
16	As a result of Plaintiff's unlawful discharge by Defendant Knappa, Plaintiff has suffered
17	non-economic damages in the form of emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-
18	economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less than
19 20	\$1,000,000.
20	66.
22	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
23	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
24	///
25	///
26	
	16 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 25

1	SIXTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
2	Aiding and Abetting Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(a); ORS 659A(1)(g) (Discriminatory Discharge)
3	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)
4	
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
6	through 39.
7	67.
8	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,
9 10	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of
11	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.
12	
13	68.
14	Defendants Olheiser, Donaldson, and Stunkard each aided and abetted Defendant
15	Knappa's discriminatory discharge of Plaintiff because of her sex, as described herein.
16	69.
17	As a result of Plaintiff's unlawful discharge by Defendants Olheiser, Donaldson, and
18	
19	Stunkard, she has suffered and will continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost
20	wages, including back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost
21	wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	
	17 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP F 4300 NE Fremont, Suite Portland, OR 97213

1	/0.
2	As a result of Plaintiff's unlawful discharge, Plaintiff has suffered non-economic
3	damages in the form of emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-economic damages
4	in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less than \$225,000 against
5	each individual Defendant.
6	71.
7	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
8	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
9	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
10	Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(f) (Retaliation)
11	Sex Discrimination – OKS 059A.050(1)(1) (Retailation)
12	(Against Defendant Knappa)
13	72.
14	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
15	through 39.
16	73.
17	It is an unlawful employment practice for any employer to discharge, expel or otherwise
18	
19	discriminate against any other person because that other person has opposed any unlawful
20	practice.
21	74.
22	Defendant Knappa retaliated against Plaintiff because she opposed sex discrimination,
23	culminating in the decision to terminate her employment, as described herein.
24	///
25	///
26	
	18 COMPLAINT DOI AN LAW GROUP PC

DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland, OR 97213 Phone: (503) 227-2377 Fax: (503) 427-1900

18 - COMPLAINT

70.

1	75.
2	As a result of Defendant Knappa's retaliation, Plaintiff suffered economic damages
3	during her employment in the form of lost wages and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an
4	amount to be determined at trial.
5	76.
6	As a result of Defendant Knappa's retaliation, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress
7	and is entitled to recover non-economic damages in an amount to be proven at trial, but which is
8	not to exceed \$1,000,000.
9	77.
10 11	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
12	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
13	EIGHTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
14	Aiding and Abetting Sex Discrimination – ORS 659A.030(1)(f); ORS 659A.030(1)(g)
15	(Retaliation)
16	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)
17	78.
18	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
19	through 39.
20	79.
21	It is an unlawful employment practice for any employer to discharge, expel or otherwise
22 23	discriminate against any other person because that other person has opposed any unlawful
23 24	practice.
24	
26	///
	19 - COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland, OR 97213 Phone: (503) 227-2377 Fax: (503) 427-1900

1	80.
1	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,
2	
3	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of
4	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.
5	81.
6	Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard aided and abetted
7	Defendant Knappa by retaliating against Plaintiff because she opposed sex discrimination,
8	culminating in the decision to terminate her employment, as described herein.
9	82.
10	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard
11	
12	retaliation, Plaintiff suffered economic damages during her employment in the form of lost
13	wages and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
14	83.
15	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard
16	retaliation, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and is entitled to recover non-economic
17	damages in an amount to be proven at trial, but which is not to exceed \$225,000 against each
18	individual Defendant.
19	84.
20	
21	Plaintiff is entitled to her reasonable attorney fees, costs, disbursements, and pre- and
22	post-judgment in the amount of 9% per annum pursuant to ORS 659A.885 and ORS 82.010.
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	
	20 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP P 4300 NE Fremont, Suite Portland OP 97713

1	NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.199
3	(Against Defendant Knappa)
4	85.
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
6	through 39.
7	86.
8	
9	Pursuant to ORS 659A.199, it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to
10	retaliate against an employee for the reason that the employee has in good faith reported
11	information that the employee believes is evidence of a violation of state or federal law, rule or
12	regulation.
13	87.
14	Plaintiff reported to Defendant Knappa in good faith what she believed was evidence of
15	violations of federal, state or local law, rule or regulations regarding sex discrimination, gender-
16	based harassment, and safety concerns as described herein.
17	88.
18	
19	By making such reports, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity.
20	89.
21	Defendant Knappa discriminated against Plaintiff throughout her employment in
22	retaliation for making protected reports, culminating in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's
23	employment.
24	///
25	///
26	
	21 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PO

1	90.
2	Plaintiff's reports were a substantial factor motivating Defendant Knappa's
3	discrimination against Plaintiff.
4	91.
5	As a result of Defendant Knappa's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered and will
6	continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost wages, including back pay, front pay in
7	lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an amount to be determined at
8	trial.
9	92.
10 11	As a result of Defendant Knappa's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered non-economic
11	damages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-economic damages in an amount to be determined at
12	trial, but which is alleged to be not less than \$1,000,000.
14	93.
15	
16	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
17	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
18	94.
19	The remedies provided by ORS 659A.199 are in addition to any common law remedy or
20	other remedy that may be available to an employee for the conduct constituting a violation of
21	659A.199.
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	
	22 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 23 Portland OR 97213

1	TENTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
2	Aiding and Abetting Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.199; 659A(1)(g)
3	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)
4	95.
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
6	
7	through 39.
8	96.
9	Pursuant to ORS 659A.199, it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to
10	retaliate against an employee for the reason that the employee has in good faith reported
11	information that the employee believes is evidence of a violation of state or federal law, rule or
12	regulation.
13	97.
14	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,
15	
16	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of
17	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.
18	98.
19	Plaintiff reported to Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard in
20	good faith what she believed was evidence of violations of federal, state or local law, rule or
21	regulations regarding sex discrimination, gender-based harassment, and safety concerns as
22	described herein.
23	described herein.
24	99.
25	By making such reports, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity.
26	///
	23 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP P 4300 NE Fremont, Suite

	100.
1	
2	Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard discriminated against
3	Plaintiff throughout her employment in retaliation for making protected reports, culminating in
4	the decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
5	101.
6	Plaintiff's reports were a substantial factor motivating Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt
7	Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard discrimination against Plaintiff.
8	102.
9	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard unlawful
10 11	actions, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost
12	wages, including back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost
13	wages in an amount to be determined at trial.
14	103.
15	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard unlawful
16	actions, Plaintiff has suffered non-economic damages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-
17	economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less than
18	\$225,000 against each individual Defendant.
19	
20	104.
21	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the
22	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.
23	///
24	///
25	111
26	
	24 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont Suite 250

24 - COMPLAINT

1	105.
2	The remedies provided by ORS 659A.199 are in addition to any common law remedy or
3	other remedy that may be available to an employee for the conduct constituting a violation of
4	659A.199.
5	ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6	Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.203
7	(Against Defendant Knappa)
8	106.
9 10	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
10	through 39.
12	107.
13	Pursuant to ORS 659A.203, it is an unlawful employment practice for a public employer
14	to take or threaten to take disciplinary action against an employee for the disclosure of any
15	information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of a violation of any federal, state
16	or local law, rule or regulation, to discourage, restrain, dissuade, coerce, prevent or otherwise
17	interfere with an employee's disclosure or discussions regarding concerns about dangers to
18	
19	public health and safety, or to invoke or impose any disciplinary action against an employee for
20	employee such activity.
21	108.
22	Plaintiff reported to Defendant Knappa in good faith what she believed was evidence of
23	violations of federal, state or local law, rule or regulations regarding sex discrimination, gender-
24	based harassment, and safety concerns as described herein.
25	///
26	

25 – COMPLAINT

1	109.
2	By making such reports, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity under ORS 659A.203.
3	110.
4	Defendant Knappa retaliated against Plaintiff for making these reports, culminating in the
5	decision to terminate Plaintiff's employment.
6	111.
7	Plaintiff's reports were a substantial factor in Defendant Knappa's decision to terminate
8	Plaintiff.
9 10	112.
10	As a result of Defendant Knappa's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered and will
12	continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost wages, including back pay, front pay in
13	lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost wages in an amount to be determined at
14	trial.
15	113.
16	As a result of Defendant Knappa's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered non-economic
17	damages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-economic damages in an amount to be determined at
18 19	trial, but which is alleged to be not less than \$1,000,000.
20	114.
21	The remedies provided by this section are in addition to any remedy provided to an
22	employee under ORS 659A.203 or other remedy that may be available to an employee for the
23	conduct alleged as a violation of 659A.203.
24	///
25	///
26	
	26 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 25

1	115.			
2	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the			
3	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.			
4	TWELFTH CLAIMS FOR RELIEF			
5	Aiding and Abetting Whistleblower Retaliation and/or Discrimination – ORS 659A.203;			
6	ORS 659A(1)(g)			
7	(Against Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard)			
8 9	116.			
9 10	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1			
11	through 35.			
12	117.			
13	Pursuant to ORS 659A.203, it is an unlawful employment practice for a public employer			
14	to take or threaten to take disciplinary action against an employee for the disclosure of any			
15	information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of a violation of any federal, state			
16	or local law, rule or regulation, to discourage, restrain, dissuade, coerce, prevent or otherwise			
17 18	interfere with an employee's disclosure or discussions regarding concerns about dangers to			
10	public health and safety, or to invoke or impose any disciplinary action against an employee for			
20	employee such activity.			
21	118.			
22	Pursuant to ORS 659A.030(1)(g), it is unlawful employment practice for any person,			
23	whether an employer or an employee to aid, abet, incite, compel, or coerce the doing of any of			
24	the acts forbidden under ORS 659A or attempt to do so.			
25	///			
26				

27 – COMPLAINT

	119.		
1			
2	Plaintiff reported to Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard in		
3	good faith what she believed was evidence of violations of federal, state or local law, rule or		
4	regulations regarding sex discrimination, gender-based harassment, and safety concerns as		
5	described herein.		
6	120.		
7	By making such reports, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity under ORS 659A.203.		
8	121.		
9			
10	Defendants Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard retaliated against		
11	Plaintiff for making these reports, culminating in the decision to terminate Plaintiff's		
12	employment.		
13	122.		
14	Plaintiff's reports were a substantial factor in Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt		
15	Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard decision to terminate Plaintiff.		
16	123.		
17			
18	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard unlawful		
19	actions, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer economic damages in the form of lost		
20	wages, including back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, and is entitled to recover such lost		
21	wages in an amount to be determined at trial.		
22	124.		
23	As a result of Defendants' Paul Olheiser, Kurt Donaldson, and Susan Stunkard actions,		
24	Plaintiff has suffered non-economic damages. Plaintiff is entitled to recover non-economic		
25	6		
26			
	28 COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC		

DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250 Portland, OR 97213 Phone: (503) 227-2377 Fax: (503) 427-1900

28 - COMPLAINT

1	damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is alleged to be not less than \$225,000		
2	against each individual Defendant.		
3	125.		
4	The remedies provided by this section are in addition to any remedy provided to an		
5	employee under ORS 659A.199 or other remedy that may be available to an employee for the		
6	conduct alleged as a violation of 659A.203.		
7	126.		
8	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre- and post-judgment interest in the		
9 10	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.		
11	THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
12	Wrongful Termination		
13	(Against Defendant Knappa)		
14	127.		
15	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1		
16	through 39 above.		
17	128.		
18	Employees who are discharged for whistleblowing activities have a claim for wrongful		
19	termination in addition to any claim for Whistleblower Retaliation.		
20	129.		
21 22	Plaintiff made numerous reports to Defendant Knappa regarding sex discrimination,		
22	retaliation, and safety concerns as described herein.		
24	130.		
25	Plaintiff was trying to keep herself and the public safe in reporting this behavior.		
26	131.		
	29 – COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 25		

1	Defendant Knappa terminated Plaintiff's employment as a result of her reports.		
2	132.		
3	As a direct result of Defendant Knappa's conduct, Plaintiff suffered economic damages		
4	in the form of back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, and any other economic damages the		
5	court deems appropriate in an amount to be determined at trial.		
6			
7	133.		
8	As a direct result of Knappa's conduct, Plaintiff suffered emotional distress and is		
9	entitled to recover non-economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but which is		
10	alleged to be not less than \$1,000,000.		
11	134.		
12	Plaintiff is entitled to her costs, disbursements, pre and post-judgment interest in the		
12	amount of 9% per annum, and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.		
13	///		
15	///		
16	///		
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
	30 - COMPLAINT DOLAN LAW GROUP PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 25		

1	JURY DEMAND			
2	Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all questions of fact or combined questions of law and			
3	fact raised in this Complaint.			
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the relief as set forth in this Complaint, together with			
5	such other relief that the Court finds just and equitable.			
6				
7				
8				
9	DATED this <u>28th</u> day of November, 2023.			
10				
11		DOLAN LAW GROUP PC		
12	By:	/s/ S. Caitlin Dolan		
13	Dy.	Martin C. Dolan, OSB No. 872053 S. Caitlin Dolan, OSB. No. 066282		
14		Of Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15 16		Dolan Law Group PC 4300 NE Fremont, Suite 250		
10		Portland, OR 97213 Telephone: 503-227-2377		
17		Fax: 503-427-1900 Email: <u>martin@dolanlawgroup.com</u>		
10		<u>caitlin@dolanlawgroup.com</u>		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				