The IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

AUSTIN TSCHIRHART	§
	§
V.	§
	§
THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO	§
BY AND THROUGH ITS AGENT	§
THE SAN ANTONIO FIRE	§
DEPARTMENT AND GERRILYN	§
CASALS	§

Civil Action No._5:23-cv-846_

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLANT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES PLAINTIFF AUSTIN TSCHIRHART ("Plaintiff" or Tschirhart"), complaining of DEFENDANTS THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY AND THROUGH ITS AGENT THE SAN ANTONIO FIRE DEPARTMENT "SAFD") and GERRILYN CASALS ("Casals") and files this Plaintiff's Original Complaint, asserting claims for discrimination based on sex under Title VII and the Texas Commission on Human Rights Act as well as retaliation for opposing sex discrimination in violation of Title VII and the Texas Commission on Human Rights Act.

I. <u>PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

1. Plaintiff Austin Tschirhart is an individual and a citizen and resident of Texas and is a former employee of Defendant SAFD. He worked for SAFD in San

Case 5:23-cv-00846 Document 1 Filed 07/07/23 Page 2 of 12

Antonio, Texas and all relevant events occurred in San Antonio, Texas, which is within this Court's district.

2. Defendant The City of San Antonio by and through its agent the San Antonio Fire Department, is a governmental entity conduction operations in the State of Texas in the Western District of Texas, San Antonio Division. Defendant may be served by serving the City Attorney Andrew Segovia at Office of the City Attorney, 203 S. St. Mary's Street, 2nd Floor, San Antonio, Texas 78205. A waiver of service has been requested.

3. Defendant Gerrilyn Casals is an individual who was employed by SAFD at all times relevant to this action and who acted in the interests of the employer SAFD as defined in §§21.141 and 142 of the Texas Labor Code. Casals may be served with process by serving the City Attorney Andrew Segovia at Office of the City Attorney, 203 S. St. Mary's Street, 2nd Floor, San Antonio, Texas 78205. A waiver of service has been requested.

4. This Court has jurisdiction to hear the merits of Plaintiff's Title VII claims due to the federal question raised pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.

5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under the Texas Commission on Human Rights Act pursuant to 28. U.S.C. §1331.

6. All of the acts alleged herein occurred in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas, and are within the jurisdiction and district of this Court.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

7. Plaintiff Austin Tschirhart worked for Defendant SAFD as a fire fighter from November of 2018 until he was unlawfully constructively discharged due to his sexual orientation and opposition to sex discrimination in November of 2021.

8. Defendant Gerrilyn Casals was a Lieutenant who supervised Plaintiff during a portion of the time he worked at SAFD. She was aware that Plaintiff was being discriminated against due to his sexual orientation, but rather than taking corrective action, she told Plaintiff that he would not be welcome back to work.

9. Tschirhart began the process of applying for employment with SAFD in 2018. He met with investigator Jay Fuentes with SAFD who was performing a pre-employment background check in November 2021.

10. Fuentes advised Tschirhart to remove any mention of his sexual orientation from his social media and to not tell anyone at SAFD that he was gay until after his probationary employment period was over.

11. Fuentes also told Tschirhart that if others at SAFD knew that he was gay that word would get around quickly between the firefighters and that they may not support Tschirhart during an emergency.

Case 5:23-cv-00846 Document 1 Filed 07/07/23 Page 4 of 12

12. Tschirhart completed the SAFD training and graduated from the academy in April 2020. His first assignment was a 1 month assignment at Station 17.

13. During his first week on assignment as a firefighter, he was called a "faggot" on two occasions by firefighters David Murillo and Peter Costanzo. Jacob Hernandez, another probationary firefighter who was not gay or not believed to be gay was not treated poorly like Tschirhart.

14. In May 2020, Tschirhart requested a transfer to the different fire station due to the poor treatment he was subjected to due to his sexual orientation. Fire Chief Lee found out about the request and asked Tschirhart why he wanted to move. Tschirhart informed the chief that it was for personality reasons.

15. Tschirhart did not want to tell the Chief that the reason he wanted to move was because he was being treated badly due to his sexual orientation because he was concerned about retaliation.

16. The Lieutenant at station 17 gave Tschirhart a poor review stating that Tschirhart did not want to train with the crew or be around them. Tschirhart was trying to avoid poor treatment the others subjected him to due to his sexual orientation.

17. In November, 2020, at the end of his probationary period, Tschirhart requested a transfer to Station 48 as a permanent assignment and was given it.

Case 5:23-cv-00846 Document 1 Filed 07/07/23 Page 5 of 12

18. A couple of weeks after Tschirhart started at Station 48 he learned that there was gossip about him circulating among others at the department. Tschirhart's District Chief Brook Hildreth discussed these with Lieutenant Gerrilyn Casals. Tschirhart was informed that the rumors came from Station 17.

19. Tschirhart met with Chief Hildreth and told her that he believed this was happening because he was gay. Chief Hildreth told Tschirhart to contact HR and he did.

20. On December 9, 2020, Tschirhart submitted a statement to the City of San Antonio Human Resources Department. In it he described how he had been treated and stated his concerns that other firefighters would not help him because he was gay. Tschirhart further told HR that he had expressed these concerns to both Lieutenant Gerrilyn Casals and the Station Chief, Brooke Hildreth.

21. Over time, Tschirhart's co-workers' interactions with him got worse and worse.

22. In May of 2021, Chief Hildreth asked Tschirhart if he would volunteer to work from Station 46 until the new assignment list came out. Tschirhart agreed.

23. When the transfer list was made available in November 2021, Chief Hildreth told Tschirhart that he would need to get a permanent position at Station 46 if he wanted to stay there, otherwise he would be sent back to Station 48.

Case 5:23-cv-00846 Document 1 Filed 07/07/23 Page 6 of 12

24. Tschirhart saw that other firefighters with more seniority, and therefore higher priority on transfers, were applying for Station 46, he decided to apply for Station 48.

25. On November 17, 2021, Tschirhart was contacted by Lieutenant Casals from Station 48. She told Tschirhart that although she could not officially tell him not to come back, that he would not be welcome at the station and needed to find somewhere else to go.

26. Tschirhart requested a transfer to Station 14 in his district. After doing so, Tschirhart began to receive calls from firefighters at Station 14.

27. Osama Elkhaili, a firefighter from Station 14 called Tschirhart and told him that he should not request assignment on the A shift at Station 14 because a crew from Station 17 was coming over and his request for that assignment was "messing that up."

28. Tschirhart received text messages from Eric Aunkst, a fire fighter from the B shift that asked Tschirhart to apply for a different shift because they already had someone in mind for the position he was applying for.

29. Tschirhart also received a call from an unidentified man who asked if he was still trying to work at Station 14, when Tschirhart started to answer the caller said "we don't need a faggot at our station" and hung up.

30. Tschirhart understood that his position at SAFD would be untenable and submitted his resignation.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION

<u>COUNT 1 – SEX DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII AND</u> <u>THE TCHRA AGAINST DEFENDANT SAFD</u>

31. The evidence will show that Defendant SAFD discriminated against Tschirhart based on his sex (sexual orientation/conforming to gender norms) in violation of Title VII and the Texas Labor Code. Specifically, the evidence will show that:

- a. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class based on sex. (Male/homosexual).
- b. Plaintiff was qualified for the position he held with Defendant SAFD. (Passed Academy and relevant training).
- c. Plaintiff was subject to an adverse employment action. (Termination-Constructive Discharge).
- d. The evidence will demonstrate that Plaintiff was treated less favorably than heterosexual/gender-conforming co-workers who were not subjected to the same treatment he was and were not constructively discharged. (Tschirhart was repeatedly referred to by the slur "faggot", was told that he would not be welcome at different stations by both firefighters and management, he had been told by SAFD's own investigator that he would face adverse treatment for being gay).

32. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the factual allegations set out above as if fully stated herein.

<u>COUNT 2 – SEX HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII AND</u> <u>THE TCHRA AGAINST DEFENDANT SAFD</u>

33. The evidence will further show that Plaintiff was subject to a hostile work environment based on sex, sexual orientation, and not conforming to gender norms, in violation of Title VII and the Texas Labor Code. Specifically, the evidence will show that:

- a. Plaintiff is a member of a protected group. (Male/homosexual).
- b. Plaintiff was the victim of uninvited sexual harassment. (Was repeatedly called a faggot and treated less favorably than heterosexual/perceived to be heterosexual gender conforming co-workers).
- c. The harassment was based on sex. (The treatment and comments were based on Plaintiff being male, homosexual, and not conforming to gender norms, Tschirhart was called a faggot on multiple occasions).
- d. The harassment affected a term, condition, or privilege of his employment. (The harassment was both severe and pervasive and affected both Plaintiff's mental health and his ability to do his job, culminating in his constructive discharge, he was told he was not welcome at stations, he had rumors spread about him that negatively affected his reputation, he was told "we don't need a faggot at our station", he had been told that if others knew he was gay they may not help him in an emergency.).
- e. Defendant SAFD knew or should have known of the harassment and failed to take prompt remedial action. (Plaintiff reported the harassment and instead of correcting it, SAFD took no action and even told him he would not be welcome).
- 34. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the factual allegations above as if

fully stated herein.

<u>COUNT 3 – RETALIATION FOR REPORTING SEX DISCRIMINATION</u> <u>AND HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII AND THE TCHRA</u> <u>AGAINST DEFENDANT SAFD</u>

35. The evidence will show that Defendant SAFD retaliated against

Plaintiff because he complained about sex discrimination, in violation of Title VII

and the Texas Labor Code. Specifically:

- a. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity. (Reporting sexually discriminatory and harassing conduct, Tschirhart made formal complaints in December 2020, also made informal complaints).
- b. An adverse employment action occurred. (Plaintiff was terminated, constructive discharge, by SAFD).
- c. A causal link exists between the protected activity and the adverse employment action. (The other employees' treatment of Tschirhart worsened after he made complaints, he was told he was not welcome at stations where he had made complaints, ultimately leading to his constructive discharge).
- 36. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the factual allegations above as if

fully stated herein.

<u>COUNT 4 – UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE – FAILING TO</u> <u>CORRECT SEXUAL HARASSMENT IN VIOLATION OF TEXAS LABOR</u> <u>CODE §21.141 ET. SEQ. AGAINST DEFENDANT CASALS</u>

37. The evidence will show that Defendant Casals violated §21.141 and

§21.142 of the Texas Labor Code by not taking immediate and appropriate

corrective action to remedy sexual harassment against Plaintiff. Specifically:

a. Casals was an employer as defined by Tex. Lab. Code. §21.141(1)(B) in that she acted directly in the interests of the employer SAFD in relation to the employee Tschirhart. (Lieutenant, member of management, responsible for directing Tschirhart and other firefighters).

- b. Tschirhart was subject to sexual harassment as defined by Tex. Lab. Code. §21.141(2)(C) and (D) in that he was subject to verbal conduct of a sexual nature that had the purpose and effect of unreasonably interfering with Tschirhart's work performance and created an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment. (Tschirhart was repeatedly called a faggot and mistreated due to his sexual orientation).
- c. Casals knew or should have knew that the conduct constituting sexual harassment was occurring; (Tschirhart reported the treatment to Casals and others in management at Station 48) and,
- d. Casals failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action. (Rather than try to stop the harassment of Tschirhart, Casals did the opposite and told him he was not welcome at Station 48, this took place in November 2021, after §§21.141-142 came into effect).

IV. JURY DEMAND

38. Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all claims.

V. <u>PLAINTIFF HAS EXHAUSED ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES</u>

39. Plaintiff filed his Charge of Discrimination concurrently with the Equal

Employment Opportunity Commission and Texas Workforce Commission alleging

that the Defendants had committed unlawful employment practices against him in

violation of Title VII and the TCHRA.

40. Thereafter, Plaintiff received a "Notice of Right to Sue," dated April

10, 2023, giving Plaintiff notice of his right to sue Defendants within 90 days of its receipt.

41. Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies and timely files this

suit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests that on final trial, Plaintiff have judgment

against Defendants as follows:

1. Judgment against Defendants for Plaintiff's actual damages, including lost wages, bonuses, salary and benefits (both back pay and front pay), in an amount to be determined by the jury;

2. Judgment against Defendants for Plaintiff's compensatory damages for mental anguish, inconvenience, and damages to his professional reputation, past and future, in an amount to be determined by the jury;

3. An order that Defendants take such other and further actions as may be necessary to redress Defendants' violation and any other applicable claims including reinstatement, if warranted or feasible;

4. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum amount allowed by law;

5. Costs of suit, including expert's fees and attorney's fees;

6. The award of such other and further relief, both at law and in equity, including injunctive relief and reinstatement, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

PONCIO LAW OFFICES A Professional Corporation 5410 Fredericksburg Road, Suite 109 San Antonio, Texas 78229-3550 Telephone: (210) 212-7979 Facsimile: (210) 212-5880

<u>/s/Adam Poncio</u> ADAM PONCIO State Bar No. 16109800 <u>Salaw@msn.com</u> ALAN BRAUN State Bar No. 2405488 abraun@ponciolaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS									
AUSTIN TSCHIRHART				The City of San Antonio by and through its Agent the San Antonio Fire Department and Gerrilyn Casals						
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				<i>(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)</i> NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, .	Advers and Telephone Num	28		Attorneys (If Kn		Di li (D li				
Poncio Law Offic		ier)		Attorneys (1) Kn	iowiij					
	burg Rd., Suite 10)								
San Antonio. TX			÷							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	1 One Box Only)	III. Cľ	TIZENSHIP O	F PRIN	NCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in	One Box fo	or Plaintiff
I U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government)		t Not a Party)		<i>(For Diversity Cases (</i> en of This State			a Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri	nd One Box for i		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	2	of Business In T Incorporated <i>and</i> F of Business In A	rincipal Place	5	5
Deronnan		mp 0/1 units in 10m 11.9		en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation	diother State	6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box (()nlv)	10	reign country	Cli	ck here	for: Nature of S	uit Code Des	scription	s
CONTRACT		ORTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENAL			KRUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC		423 Wit		375 False 0 376 Qui Ta	m (31 US0	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	H ⁶⁹	0 Other	1.0000		USC 157 CLLECTUAL	3729(a 400 State R		nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical					ERTY RIGHTS	🔲 410 Antitru	st	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability				820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal	ı				ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport	ation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			6		v Drug Application	470 Racket	eer Influer t Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		840 Trac 880 Def	end Trade Secrets	480 Consur	-	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	,		of 2016		SC 1681 or	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management		SOCIA	L SECURITY	485 Teleph Protec	tion Act	mer
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations 861 HIA (1395ff)		490 Cable/	Sat TV			
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical			ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit Exchar		odities/
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act			D Title XVI	890 Other S		ctions
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigatio	ji	865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu		
220 Foreclosure	440 Onler Civil Rights 441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	- "	 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 		FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	893 Enviro 895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	× 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	•			870 Tax	es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General					Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities			IMMIGRATION			USC 7609	Act/Re	view or Ap	opeal of
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities	- Other: - 540 Mandamus & Othe	ar 46	2 Naturalization Applie 5 Other Immigration	cation			Agency	Decision	- F
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions				950 Constit State St		01
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition								
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
	l	Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in $\boxed{\mathbf{x}}^1$ Original $\phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$		Domondad from	- 4 Daim	stated on 📼 5 Tr	a mafa ma d	from	- C Multidiatei	-+ -0	N (
	noved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court] ⁴ Reins Reop		ansferred 10ther Dis		6 Multidistri Litigation		Multidis Litigatio	
		11	1		pecify)		Transfer		Direct F	
		atute under which you ar		Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes	unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of c							************		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS	S IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND \$	867 - 10 Acres Andrew		HECK YES only i	f demanded in XYes	complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)									<u> </u>
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	FORNEY C	OF RECORD						
Jul 7, 2023		/s/ Adam Poncio								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AN	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDC	3e		MAG. JUD	GE		