UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Petition

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-7383

PETITION FOR EXONERATION

d : FROM OR LIMITATION OF

LIABILITY

NEW YORK CITY BOAT TOURS, LLC and EDWARD MATTIACE, as Owner of the 36' uninspected passenger vessel "HONCHO" bearing Hull Number HEB00069G585

of

Petitioners New York City Boat Tours, LLC, and Edward Mattiace, as Owners of a 36-foot uninspected passenger vessel HONCHO, bearing official Hull Identification number HEB00069G585 (the "Vessel"), bring this action for exoneration from and limitation of liability pursuant to Title 46 U.S.C. §30501 et seq. (formerly 46 U.S.C. App. §183) and Supplemental Rule F of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and alleges the following upon information and belief:

:

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this cause of action pursuant to the provisions of Article III, Section 2, of the United States Constitution and Title 28 U.S.C. §1333. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Venue is properly laid in this district in accordance with Supplemental Rule F of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, inasmuch as the Vessel's homeport and intended destination on the subject voyage was Brooklyn, New York, and the Vessel has not been arrested or attached, and no suit has yet been filed against Petitioners or the Vessel for the matters contained in this Complaint within the meaning of the statutes of the United States.

THE PARTIES

- 3. At all relevant times, Petitioner New York City Harbor Tours, LLC, was the owner of the Vessel and had a place of business in Suffolk County, New York.
- 4. At all relevant times, Petitioner Edward Mattiace was the sole member of Petitioner New York City Harbor Tours, LLC, and has a place of residence in Suffolk County, New York.
- **5.** No written claims have been received to date by Petitioners. However, the City of New York is an anticipated claimant.
- **6.** The Estate of Johnny Beernaert, who has already filed a Notice of Claim with the City of New York, is also an anticipated claimant.

THE LIMITATION PETITION

- 7. On June 17, 2022, at approximately 10 PM, the Vessel was operating in a lawful manner in the East River with six (6) passengers aboard in the vicinity of Brooklyn Bridge Park Pier 2.
- 8. The East River is a navigable body of water within the meaning of 33 C.F.R. Section 329 and within this Court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, and subject to the Inland Rules of Navigation.
- 9. Petitioner Edward Mattiace was at the helm of the Vessel and traveling at approximately five (5) miles per hour in a south-westerly direction on the East River with his running, navigation, and all-around white mast lights on and functioning properly, while maintaining a proper look out, and in full compliance of the Inland Rules of Navigation.
- 10. During this same time, FDNY vessel MARINE 1 BRAVO, a 31-foot rigid hull inflatable vessel, was being operated in a dangerous and unsafe manner and at a high rate of speed and without all available navigation equipment in use in a north-easterly direction on the East River, without the proper lighting being displayed aboard this emergency response vessel, and

with four civilian passengers aboard, including a visiting firefighter from Belgium, Johnny Beernaert. The MARINE 1 BRAVO was also operating without the proper permission and authority being granted by the FDNY to take out civilians on a "harbor tour," and without the vessel having the proper crew.

- 11. In the moments before the collision, the MARINE 1 BRAVO and the Vessel were approaching on a near reciprocal course. Without any negligence on the part of the Vessel, the MARINE 1 BRAVO struck the Vessel on her portside bow at a high rate of speed of over 22 miles per hour in violation of the Inland Rules of Navigation.
- 12. The MARINE 1 BRAVO violated the Inland Rules of Navigation by operating at an excessive speed under prevailing conditions, failing to illuminate all required running and navigation lights, failing to have a proper look out, failing to use all available means to avoid a collision, and failing to give way, reduce speed and/or alter course to avoid a collision with the Vessel.
- 13. As a result of the foregoing collision, Johnny Beernaert was fatally injured. It is unknown if other passengers onboard the MARINE 1 BRAVO were also injured. There were no reported injuries to any of the passengers on the Vessel.
- 14. At all times material herein, Petitioners New York City Boat Tours, LLC, and Edward Mattiace exercised due diligence to make the Vessel seaworthy in all respects.
- 15. If any loss, damage, injury or death was sustained in the subject incident, such loss, damage, injury or death, was in no way caused by the fault, negligence, recklessness or want of due care, on the part of Petitioners New York City Boat Tours, LLC, and Edward Mattiace or the Vessel or parties for which he may be held responsible. Rather, any such loss, damage, injury or death, was occasioned, incurred and caused wholly by the negligent operation of MARINE 1

BRAVO and without the fault, privity or knowledge of Petitioners New York City Boat Tours, LLC, and Edward Mattiace.

- 16. It is anticipated that the Estate of Johnny Beernaert, the City of New York and/or other unknown claimants may seek damages in an amount that will exceed the total sum or sums for which Petitioners may be legally responsible or may be required to pay under applicable statutes and laws governing exoneration from and limitation of liability.
- 17. The Vessel had a post-casualty value of no more than \$25,000 following the subject incident.
- 18. Petitioners claim exoneration from and/or limitation of liability for any alleged loss, damage, injury or death occasioned by the aforesaid incident and for all claims which may hereafter be made and allege that they have valid defenses thereto both on the facts and on the law.
- 19. Petitioners claim the benefits of the limitation of liability provided in Title 46, United States Code, §30501 et seq. (formerly Title 46 United States Code, §183 et seq.), and pursuant to Supplemental Rule F(1) of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, will deposit with the Court, for the benefit of Claimants, security equal to the post-casualty value of the Vessel and then pending freight.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Honorable Court:

- 1. Issue an Order directing the issuance of a Notice to all persons claiming damages for any and all loss, damage, injury or death caused by or resulting from the aforesaid incident, directing each of them to appear and make due proof of their respective claims, and also to appear and answer the allegations of this Complaint according to the law and practice of this Court on or before a certain time to be fixed by said monition;
- 2. Issue an Order restraining the further prosecution of any and all actions, suits and legal proceedings to be commenced or already begun, if any, to recover damages from the

Petitioners for any and all loss, damage, injury or death, caused by or resulting from the aforesaid

incident and restraining the commencement or prosecution thereafter of any such action, suit or

legal proceeding of any nature or description whatsoever in any jurisdiction, except in the

proceeding herein, against the Petitioners, their agents or representatives, or against the Vessel in

respect of any claim or claims arising out of the aforesaid incident;

3. Adjudge and decree that the Petitioners are not liable to any extent, for any loss,

damage, injury or death, or for any claim therefor in any way arising out of the aforesaid incident;

4. That if the Petitioners should be adjudged liable to any extent in the premises, then

such liability should be limited to the amount or value of the Vessel immediately following said

incident, and that the Petitioners be discharged therefrom upon the surrender of said value and that

the money surrendered, paid or secured to be paid as aforesaid, be divided pro rata according to

the pertinent federal statutes among such claimants as may duly prove their claims in accordance

with the aforesaid provisions, saving to all parties any priorities to which they may legally be

entitled and that a decree may be entered discharging the Petitioners and the Vessel from all further

liability; and

5. That the Petitioners may have such other, further and different relief, as may be just

and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

December 6, 2022

NICOLETTI HORNIG & SWEENEY

Attorneys for Petitioners

BY:

Guerric S.D.L. Russell 88 Pine Street, 7th Floor

New York, New York 10005-1801

Tel: 212-220-3830

Fax: 212-220-3780

Email: grussell@nicolettihornig.com Our File No.: 10000720 GSR/JJH

- 5 -

Case 1:22-cv-07383 Document 1-1 Filed 12/06/22 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 6

JS 44 (Rev. 4-29-21

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS		
New York City Boat Tours, LLC and Edward Mattiaco		The City of New York and the Estate of Johnny Beernaert		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk County, N' (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)		THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)		
Nicoletti Hornig & Sweeney, 88 Pine Street, 7th Floor, New York, New York 10005; 212-220-3830 ■				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P Citizen of This State	and One Box for Defendant) FF DEF PTF DEF I Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5	
to show cause? Yes No 🗆	one.	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box (Inly)				
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 380 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education Personal Injury Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee Sentence Source General 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Source General 530 General 545 Amer. w/Disabilities - Chier 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Conditions of Confinement	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other TY LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation New Drug Application 840 Trademark 450 Commerce 460 Deportation New Drug Application 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Proceeding 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Litigation - Direct File				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Title 46 U.S.C. § 30501 et seq. Brief description of cause:				
Petitioners bring this action for exoneration from and limitation of liability for a collision between 2 vessels in the East River, New York VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:				
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ➤ No				
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE 4	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
December 6, 2022 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		V(
	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUDGE	

Case 1:22-cv-07383 Document 1-1 Filed 12/06/22 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 7

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. Case is Eligible for Arbitration do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for [Guerric S.D.L. Russell Petitioners counsel for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, the complaint seeks injunctive relief. the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason Action seeks Equitable Relief **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1** Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: N/A RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 1(c) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County? Yes No 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No Yes b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

Yes

No (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. \square Yes No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain No I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: