#### 1 JACKSON LEWIS P.C. CHRISTOPHER E. DAWOOD (SBN 281054) 2 DYLAN C. MARQUES (SBN 328987) 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, California 95814 3 Telephone: (916) 341-0404 4 (916) 341-0141 Facsimile: Email: christopher.dawood@jacksonlewis.com 5 dvlan.marques@iacksonlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants 6 ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE 7 DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT WRIGHT; and ROBERT VAUGHN 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CASE NO. \_\_\_\_ SHELLY WHITE, 11 Plaintiff, **DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL** 12 OF CIVIL ACTION VS. 13 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(a), 1446 FEDERAL OUESTION JURISDICTION ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION 14 DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT, a government entity; DAVID 15 HICKS, an individual; MATT WRIGHT, an individual; ROBERT VAUGHN, an individual 16 and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 20 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA: 21 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION 22 DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT 23 WRIGHT; and ROBERT VAUGHN ("Defendants"), hereby remove the below-referenced action 24 from the Superior Court in the State of California for the County of Nevada to the United States 25 District Court for the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division. The removal is based 26 on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(a), and 1446, and, specifically, on the following grounds: 27 /// 28 White vs. Rough and Ready Fire Protection District, et al.

Case No.

Defendants' Notice of Removal of Civil Action

	Case 1.25-at-00416 Document 1 Filed 05/15/25 Page 2 01 4
1	1. On or about March 13, 2023, Plaintiff SHELLY WHITE ("Plaintiff") filed an action
2	in the Superior Court of the State of California, Stanislaus County, entitled Shelly White vs. Rough
3	and Ready Fire Protection District, dba Rough and Ready Fire Department, a government entity;
4	David Hicks, an individual; Matt Wright, an individual; Robert Vaughn, an individual and DOES
5	1 through 20, inclusive; which was designated by that court as case number CU0000599. True and
6	correct copies of the Summons, Complaint (hereinafter "Complaint"), Civil Case Cover Sheet, and
7	Notice - Case Management Conference, and are attached as <b>EXHIBIT A</b> .
8	2. Plaintiff's Complaint purports to allege causes of action against Defendants for: (1)
9	Harassment in Violation of California Government Code § 12940(j); (2). Sexual Harassment in
10	Violation of California Government Code § 12940; (3) Failure to Prevent Discrimination and
11	Harassment in Violation of California Government Code § 12940(k); (4) Wrongful Discharge in

12 Violation of Public Policy; (5) Retaliation in Violation of California Government Code § 12940(h);

(6) Retaliation in Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq; (7) Retaliation in Violation of California

- 14 Labor Code § 1102.5; (8) Blacklisting (California Labor Code §§ 1050 and 1052); (9) Sex
- 15 Discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a); (10) Sexual
- 16 Harassment under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a); and (11)
- 17 Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (**EXHIBIT A**)

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. Defendants filed an Answer to the Complaint with the Superior Court of the State of California County of Nevada on May 12, 2023. A true and correct copy of the Answer filed by Defendants is attached as **EXHIBIT B**.
- 4. Defendants are informed and believe that the aforementioned exhibits constitute all of the process, pleadings, and orders on file in the State Court action.
- 5. This Notice of Removal has been filed within thirty days after receipt by Defendants of a copy of a paper from which it may first be ascertained that the case is one which is or has become removable. This Notice of Removal therefore is filed within the time period provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3).
- 6. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and is removable pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), because the action involves alleged violations of the

White vs. Rough and Ready Fire Protection District, et al.

Case No.

1	laws of the United States. Specifically, this action involves alleged violations of Title VII of the			
2	Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a).			
3	7. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), over the			
4	remaining claims and issues alleged in the Complaint, as they all arise from the same nucleus of			
5	operative facts; i.e. the same transactions or occurrences. Plaintiff's allegations concern her			
6	employment with Defendants and the events leading to Plaintiff's termination. Each of Plaintiff's			
7	claims would ordinarily be expected to be tried in a single judicial proceeding.			
8	8. Venue lies in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California			
9	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1441(a) because this is the judicial district in which the action			
10	arose, and the state action was filed in this district.			
11	9. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), Defendants' counsel certifies that a copy			
12	of this Notice of Removal and all supporting papers promptly will be served on Plaintiff's counsel			
13	and filed with the Clerk of the California Superior Court, County of Nevada. Based on the			
14	foregoing, all procedural requirements under 28 U.S.C. § 1446 are satisfied.			
15	WHEREFORE, Defendants pray that the above action now pending against it in the			
16	Superior Court of the State of California, County of Nevada, be removed to this Court.			
17	Dated: May 15, 2023 JACKSON LEWIS P.C.			
18	By: /s/ Christopher E. Dawood			
19	CHRISTOPHER E. DAWOOD DYLAN C. MARQUES			
20	Attorneys for Defendants			
21	ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE			
22	DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT WRIGHT; and ROBERT VAUGHN			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600, Sacramento, California 95814. 3 On May 15, 2023, I served the foregoing document described as: 4 5 DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION in this action by transmitting a true copy thereof addressed as follows: 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 John R. Parker, Jr., Esq. CUTTER LAW, P.C. Shelly White 8 401 Watt Avenue Sacramento, CA 95864 Telephone: 916.290.9400 | Fax: 800.979.5279 Email: jparker@cutterlaw.com 9 10 **MAIL** - by placing a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid for deposit in the United States Post Office mail box, at my 11 business address shown above, following Jackson Lewis P.C.'s ordinary business practices 12 for the collection and processing of mail, of which I am readily familiar, and addressed as set forth above. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is 13 deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service. 14 STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 15 Executed on May 15, 2023, at Sacramento, California. 16 17 /s/ LaDonna Mims LaDonna Mims 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Proof of Service

# EXHIBIT A

# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT, (see attached)

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

SHELLY WHITE,

RECEIVED
APR 6 3 2023

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ELECTRONICALLY

FILED

BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA. COUNTY OF NEVADA

(03/13/2023)

JASON B. GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT KAILEY HORTON, DEPUTY

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Nevada County Superior Court 201 Church St #5, Nevada City, CA 95959

CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso): CU0000599

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): John R. Parker, Jr. (SBN 257761), Cutter Law PC, 401 Watt Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95864

	1.	10	2022	
DATE:	viav	15.	ZUZ3	
/Eacha		,		

03/13/2023

Clerk, by (Secretario)

.. N/.

, Deputy (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).

SEAL COURT OF CAUGO

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  1.  as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
3 on behalf of (specify):

	of behalf of (specify).					
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)  CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)  CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized pers		CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)		CCP 416.70 (conservatee)		

other (specify):
4. \_\_\_\_ by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1

Case 1:23-at-00418 Document 1-1 Filed 05/15/23 Page 3 of 28 CASE NUMBER: SHORT TITLE: CU0000599 White v. RRFD, et al. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons. ➡ If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties Attachment form is attached." List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of party): Cross-Defendant Cross-Complainant ✓ Defendant Plaintiff ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT, a government entity; DAVID HICKS, an individual; MATT WRIGHT, an individual; ROBERT VAUGHN, an individual and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

> $\frac{1}{}$  of  $_{-}$ Page

1 2 3 4 5	John R. Parker, Jr. (SBN 257761)  CUTTER LAW, P.C.  401 Watt Avenue Sacramento, CA 95864  Tel: 916-290-9400  Fax: 1-800-979-5279  jparker@cutterlaw.com	ELECTRONICALLY FILED BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NEVADA GJ.3/2023 JASONB, GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT KAILEY HORTON, DEPUTY
6 7 8	·- ·	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TY OF NEVADA
10	SHELLY WHITE,	Case No.: CU0000599
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.  ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT, a government entity; DAVID HICKS, an individual; MATT WRIGHT, an individual; ROBERT VAUGHN, an individual and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,  Defendants.	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL  1. Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940(j) 2. Sexual Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940 3. Failure to Prevent Discrimination and Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940(k) 4. Wrongful Discharge in Violation of Public Policy 5. Retaliation in Violation of Government Code § 12940(h) 6. Retaliation in Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq 7. Retaliation in Violation of Labor Code § 1102.5 8. Blocklisting (Labor Code §§ 1050 and 1052) 9. Sex Discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) 10. Sexual Harassment under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C.
23 24 25 26 27 28	ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DEPARTMENT ("RRFD"), DAVID HICK	\$2000e-2(a) 11. Declaratory and Injunctive Relief  or "Plaintiff") hereby complains against Defendants ON DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE

("Defendant Wright"), Defendant ROBERT VAUGHN ("Defendant Vaughn") and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, (collectively "Defendants") for damages as follows:

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action brought by White against her former employer Defendant RRFD, and its employees, Defendant Hicks, Defendant Wright, and Defendant Vaughn, for sex discrimination and sexual harassment under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").

### II. PARTIES

- 2. White, at all relevant times, was a citizen and resident of the County of Nevada and State of California.
- 3. Defendant RRFD, at all relevant times, was and is a public entity within the State of California in the County of Nevada.
  - 4. Defendant Hicks, at all relevant times, was an employee of RRFD.
  - 5. Defendant Wright, at all relevant times, was an employee of RRFD.
  - 6. Defendant Vaughn, at all relevant times, was an employee of RRFD.
- 7. Defendants DOE 1-10, inclusive, are John Doe Employee(s) and John Doe Independent Contractor(s) who caused injury to Plaintiffs. The identities of Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs. When their names are ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege, that Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are responsible in some manner, negligently, in warranty, strictly, or otherwise, for the occurrences alleged herein and that the damages alleged herein were caused by such Defendant.
- 8. Defendants DOES 11 through 20, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. The identities and capacities of Defendants DOES 11 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs. When their names are ascertained, Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege, that Defendants DOES 11 through 20, inclusive, are responsible in some manner, negligently, in warranty, strictly, or otherwise, for the occurrences alleged herein and that the damages alleged

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

# 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

herein were caused by such defendants.

- The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or 9. otherwise, of the Defendants named herein as DOES 1-20 are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sues such Defendants by such fictitious names, and Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants, DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are responsible under law in some manner, negligently, strictly, or otherwise, for the events and happenings herein referred to, and proximately thereby cause injuries and damages to Plaintiff as herein alleged.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned 10. herein, each of the Defendants, including Defendant DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, was the agent, servant, employee and/or representative of each of the remaining Defendants, and was at all times material hereto acting within the authorized course and scope of said agency, service, employment and/or representation, and/or that all said acts, conduct, and omissions were subsequently ratified by the respective principles, and the benefits thereof accepted by such principals.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Brookfield because its 11. principal place of business and is incorporated in California.
- 12. Nevada County, in which this court is located is a proper venue of this action under cal. Code of Civ. Proc. §395(a) because Defendant RRFD is located in this county and the events described in this complaint happened in this county.

#### IV. **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

# A. White's Employment History

- At all relevant times, White worked as a firefighter with RRFD, within the County, 13. from October 27, 2018, to June 09, 2019.
- 14. White was qualified for the position she held by reason of her education and training. Prior to joining RRFD and partly while working there, White worked as a paid volunteer

firefighter for the Foothill Fire Department in Brownsville, CA. She held this position with the Foothill Fire Department over 17 years. White was nominated for the position of Captain at the Foothill Fire Department before her wrongful termination at RRFD and false claims about her mental health reached her superiors at the Foothill Fire Department.

15. At all times relevant, RRFD's chief was Robert Vaughn, David Hicks was a lieutenant, and Matt Wright was a captain. White reported directly to either Defendant Wright or Defendant Hicks depending on her schedule.

# B. Sex Discrimination and Sexual Harassment Suffered by White

- 16. Throughout her employment with RRFD, White was the subject of constant sex discrimination and sexual harassment.
- conduct towards White soon after her hiring. On a daily basis, while on the job at the RRFD's station, Defendant Hicks would make lewd and sexual comments to White. For example, Defendant Hicks would ask her to have sex and would often brag about how good he was performing specific sex acts, using graphic and vulgar language. Defendant Hicks also routinely asked or told White to show him her breasts. Defendant Hicks told her that her job and everyone else's would be much better if she showed everyone her breasts. Defendant Hicks would make gestures suggestive of sexual acts to White. Defendant Hicks would make these gestures and remarks in front of others at the station. White always rejected Defendant Hicks' sexual advances and asked him to stop his inappropriate behavior. Defendant Hicks was undeterred and continued to behave inappropriately toward White throughout her employment with RRFD, and was never prevented from continuing to engage in his acts of harassment by management.
- 18. White made several verbal and written complaints to Defendant Wright regarding Defendant Hicks' unlawful sexual advances and remarks toward her. Defendant Wright did not do anything in response to White's complaints but conduct a sham investigation that resulted in nothing more than a simple warning to Defendant Hicks.
- 19. On June 9, 2019, after numerous complaints about how she was sexually harassed by Defendant Hicks, White was "laid off" by RRFD through Defendant Hicks. On the evening of

26

27

28

her termination, a dinner was planned at the station for White but instead which ended with Defendant Hicks degrading and yelling expletives at White. At one point, Defendant Hicks told her to "get the f\*ck\*" out of [his] station". When White attempted to leave, Defendant Hicks asked her to stay promising her that they would "patch things up". White, in fear of what might happen if she refused, stayed only to have Defendant Hicks direct more expletives at her. When White expressed her dissatisfaction with her termination and Defendant Hicks' behavior toward her, Defendant Hicks' contorted White's words and falsely claimed that White was expressing suicidal ideations. Defendant Hicks proceeded to call 911, telling dispatchers that White had suicidal ideations and was a danger to herself, attempting to get White involuntarily detained. The dispatchers found that White was fine but was actually being threatened by Defendant Hicks. Though White explained to Defendant Hicks that she was not upset nor in any danger of harming herself, Defendant Hicks continued to disseminate false claims about her having suicidal ideations to the Sheriffs and the assistant chief of the Foothill Fire Department, White's other employer.

- RRFD's stated reasons for letting White go were because she did not pass a 20. physical examination. This was a pretext, however, to hide RRFD's discriminatory animus towards White and retaliation for her complaints of sexual harassment.
- As a result of Defendant Hicks' false claims and harassment against White, 21. White's position at Foothill Fire Department was adversely affected. Specifically, White was not promoted to captain as anticipated and instead told she should step down from her position.
- White was eventually terminated from her position at the Foothill Fire Department 22. not long after she filed a Charge of Discrimination against RRFD.

#### C. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

On March 10, 2020, White timely filed a Charge of Discrimination against RRFD 23. within 300 days the last date discrimination against White took place. Included in this Charge of Discrimination was a request to have the charge filed with both the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing. On December 16, 2022, a "Right-to-Sue" letter was issued to White.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940(j)) (Against All Defendants and DOES 1-20)

- 24. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 25. California Government Code § 12940(j) prohibits an employer and any person from harassing any employee on the bases of sex. White establishes a *prima facie* case of harassment because:
  - a. White was an employee with Defendant RRFD.
  - b. White was subject to harassing conduct because she was a female.
  - c. Defendants' harassing conduct was severe and pervasive.
  - d. A reasonable person in White's position would have considered the work environment to be hostile, intimidating, offensive, oppressive or abusive.
  - e. White considered the work environment to be hostile or abusive.
  - f. Defendants participated in, allowed, and enabled the harassing conduct.
  - g. White was harmed as result of Defendants' conduct.
- 26. A reasonable person subjected to the same discriminatory conduct would find that the harassment so altered working conditions as to "make it more difficult to do the job." (*Harris v. Forklift Sys.* (1993) 510 U.S.17, 25 [114 S.Ct. 367, 126 L.Ed.2d 295)
- 27. Defendant Hicks' conduct, specifically his frequent belittling of Plaintiff, sexual comments, gestures and advances toward Plaintiff, unreasonably interfered with Plaintiff's work performance at Defendant RRFD, and her tangible productivity declined as a result of the harassment.
  - 28. Defendant Hicks harassed White based on her sex as set forth above.
- 29. Defendants knew or should have known of the conduct yet failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent the harassment from occurring. (Government Code § 12940(k).)

25

26

27

- 30. Individual Defendant Hicks is also independently liable because he personally participated in the acts of harassment and knew or should have known such harassment was unlawful, and/or knew or should have known of such harassment of White and willfully failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective behavior. Such conduct violates Government Code § 12940(j) (1) and (3).
  - 31. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing White's harm.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' harassment and discrimination, White has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.
- 33. Defendants' actions have caused and continue to cause White substantial losses in earnings, significant reputation and professional injury, loss of promotional opportunities and other employment benefits, lost wages, attorneys' fees and costs, medical expenses, future earnings and benefits, costs of suit, and embarrassment and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof.
- 34. White is further entitled to punitive damages against Defendants because these Defendants committed the despicable acts, as herein alleged, maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intent of injuring White, and have acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- 35. Because the despicable acts taken by Defendants toward Plaintiff were carried out in a deliberate, cold, callous and intentional manner in order to injure and damage, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages from Defendants in an amount according to proof.
- 36. By reason of Defendants' unlawful conduct, and in order to enforce the important right to a discrimination- and harassment-free workplace for herself and the public at large, Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses per Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Government Code § 12965(b).

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Sexual Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940, et seq.) (Against All Defendants and DOES 1-20)

- 37. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 38. White was subjected to numerous unwelcome sexual advances by Defendant Hicks, her immediate supervisor, including but not limited to his conduct at the station with White where he made various lewd remarks, and his conduct on a nearly day-to-day basis throughout White's employment with Defendant RRFD, when he would routinely make sexual comments towards White and call her late at night. This continued pattern of ongoing harassment was clearly based upon sex and affected White's conditions and privileges of employment.
- 39. White is informed and believes that by the conduct described above, she was subjected to quid pro quo sexual harassment by Defendant Hicks throughout her time working under him at the RRFD, as he relied on his actual and apparent authority over White to subject her to the numerous instances of unwelcome and pervasive sexual harassment as described above.
- 40. White is informed and believes that an implication existed that if White did not go along or if she complained about Defendant Hicks' conduct, White was likely to be terminated, demoted, or lose other job-related benefits.
- 41. White is also informed and believes that by the conduct described above, she was subjected to a hostile environment resulting from the sexual harassment because Defendant Hicks' pervasive sexual conduct unreasonably interfered with White's work performance and created an intimidating, hostile, and offensive working environment.
- 42. Defendant Hicks' sexual harassment was so severe and pervasive that it forced White to take steps to avoid being alone with Defendant Hicks in certain situations, including asking Defendant Wright to schedule her for shifts different than those of Defendant Hicks.
  - 43. Defendant RRFD, Defendant Wright and Defendant Vaughn knew or should have

known of Defendant Hicks' pervasive sexual harassment because White reported that it was taking place as early as November 2019 to Defendant Wright. Despite this, Defendant RRFD, Defendant Wright, and Defendant Vaughn failed to take any remedial action vis-à-vis the sexual harassment other than simply warning Defendant Hicks.

- 44. White is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant RRFD is liable for Defendant Hicks' sexually harassing conduct towards White under the theory of respondent superior.
- 45. By the conduct described above, Defendant RRFD intentionally violated White's right to freedom from sex discrimination under the FEHA, as sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination actionable under FEHA.
- As a direct and proximate result of the RRFD's conduct as alleged above, White has suffered and continues to suffer harm, including but not limited to: lost wages, lost back pay and front pay, lost benefits, reputational harm, lost interest, and emotional distress, in an amount according to proof.
- 47. White is also entitled to recover such monetary and other damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs from the RRFD as a result of its wrongful conduct.
  - 48. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

## THREE CAUSE OF ACTION

# Failure to Prevent Discrimination and Harassment in Violation of Government Code § 12940(k) (Against RRFD and DOES 1 through 20)

- 49. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 50. California Government Code § 12940(k) places an affirmative duty on an employer to "take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment from occurring."
- 51. Defendant RRFD and DOES 1 through 20's had a non-delegable duty to make sure that employees were not subjected to discrimination or harassment, and when they received

notice of workplace discrimination or harassment, Defendant RRFD was required to take prompt remedial action. Plaintiff put her supervisors on notice of her discrimination and harassment, yet Defendant RFFD and its agents failed to take all reasonable steps to prevent the harassment and discrimination.

- 52. Defendant RRFD's and DOES 1 through 20's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff harm. As a direct and proximate result of its failure to take reasonable steps to prevent Plaintiff's harassment and discrimination, Plaintiff has been harmed and has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.
- 53. Defendant RRFD and DOES 1 through 20's actions and omissions have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff substantial losses in earnings, significant reputation and professional injury, loss of promotional opportunities and other employment benefits, lost wages, attorneys' fees, future earnings and benefits, costs of suit, and embarrassment and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof.
- 54. Defendant RRFD and DOES 1 through 20's committed the despicable acts, as herein alleged, maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intent of injuring Plaintiff, and have acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.
- 55. By reason of Defendant RRFD, and DOES 1 through 20's unlawful conduct, and in order to enforce the important right to a discrimination- and harassment-free workplace for herself and the public at large, Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses per Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Government Code § 12965(b).
  - 56. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Wrongful Discharge in Violation of Public Policy (Against Defendant RRFD and DOES 1-20)

57. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White

complains against all Defendants as follows:

58.

Labor Code § 6310, were in full force and effect, and establishes that the public policy of the State of California is, in part, to protect and safeguard the right and opportunity of all persons to seek and hold employment without discrimination and to be able to work in an environment free of unsafe working conditions.

59 As a direct and proximate result of Defendant RRFD, and DOES 1 through 20's

At all times mentioned herein, California Government Code §12920 and California

- 59. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant RRFD, and DOES 1 through 20's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered special damages including but not limited to past and future loss of income, benefits, medical expenses, and other damages to be proven at time of trial.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant RRFD, and/or DOES 1-20, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, stress and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- of 1. Defendant RRFD and DOES 1 through 20's conduct described herein was undertaken, authorized and/or ratified by Defendants' officers, directors and/or managing agents. The aforementioned conduct of said officers, directors and/or managing agents and individuals was therefore undertaken on behalf of Defendants. Defendant RRFD further had advanced knowledge of the actions and conduct of said individuals whose actions and conduct were ratified, authorized and approved by it, its employees including Defendant Hicks, Defendant Wright, and Defendant, Vaughn, and other individuals whose precise identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and are therefore identified and designated herein as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.
- 62. Defendants committed the acts alleged in this Complaint maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights. Plaintiff is thus entitled to recover punitive damages from defendants in an amount to be proven at trial.
  - 63. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Retaliation in Violation of Government Code § 12940(h) (Against All Defendants)

- 64. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 65. It is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to "discharge, expel, or otherwise discriminate against any person because the person has opposed any practices forbidden under this part or because the person has filed a complaint, testified, or assisted in any proceeding under this part." (Government Code § 12940(h).)
- 66. Defendant Hicks retaliated against Plaintiff after Plaintiff complained to Defendant Wright about Defendant Hicks' sexual harassment against her. Defendant RRFD and Hicks then retaliated against Plaintiff for the complaints by terminating her employment.
- 67. The close proximities in timing between Plaintiff's complaints to her supervisors and their subsequent conduct as described herein evidence a causal link and indicate that Defendants engaged in retaliation against Plaintiff.
- 68. Plaintiff's protected activity, namely her complaining about the sexual harassment she was the subject were substantial motivating reasons for Defendants' decision to retaliate against Plaintiff.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' retaliatory actions, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.
- 70. Defendants' actions and omissions were substantial factors in causing Plaintiff harm.
- 71. Defendants' actions have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff substantial losses in earnings, significant reputation and professional injury, loss of promotional opportunities and other employment benefits, lost wages, attorneys' fees, future earnings and benefits, costs of suit, and embarrassment and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof.

- 72. By reason of Defendants' unlawful conduct, and in order to enforce the important right to a discrimination- and harassment-free workplace for herself and the public at large, Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses per Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Government Code § 12965(b).
  - 73. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Retaliation in Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq (Against Defendant RRFD and DOES 1-20)

- 74. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 75. Under the Title VII, it is unlawful for any employer to discharge or in any other manner discriminate against any individual for opposing any practice made unlawful under the Act.
- 76. From October 2018 June 2019, Plaintiff made various complaints against Defendant Hicks for sexual harassment.
  - 77. In so doing, Plaintiff exercised her rights protected under the Title VII.
- 78. In retaliating against Plaintiff, by ultimately terminating her because she had made complaints about Defendant Hicks' sexual harassment, Defendant RRFD and DOES 1-20 acted willfully, intentionally, and with reckless disregard of Plaintiff's protected rights.
- 79. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff suffered injury and monetary damages, including but not limited to past and future loss of income, benefits, promotions and promotional opportunities, expenses, and costs, and is therefore entitled to all legal and equitable remedies available.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Retaliation in Violation of California Labor Code § 1102.5 (Against all Defendants)

80. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26

27

28

allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:

- 81. Sometime in November of 2019, Plaintiff made complaints to Defendant Wright regarding the sexual harassment Defendant Hicks subjected her to.
- California Labor Code § 1102.5(b) forbids an employer, or any person acting on 82. behalf of the employer, to retaliate against an employee for disclosing information, or because the employer believes that the employee disclosed or may disclose information, to a government or law enforcement agency, to a person with authority over the employee or another employee who has the authority to investigate, discover, or correct the violation or noncompliance, or for providing information to, or testifying before, any public body conducting an investigation, hearing, or inquiry, if the employee has reasonable cause to believe that the information discloses a violation of state or federal statute, or a violation of or noncompliance with a local, state, or federal rule or regulation, regardless of whether disclosing the information is part of the employee's job duties. (See also California Labor Code § 1102.5(c)-(d).)
- 83. Defendant RRFD, through Defendant Hicks, Defendant Wright and Defendant Vaughn, retaliated against Plaintiff for informing it that Defendant Hicks was sexually harassing Plaintiff.
- 84. Defendant RRFD knowingly caused, suffered, or permitted agents, superintendents, managers or employees, including Defendant Hicks, Defendant Wright and Defendant Vaughn, to commit a violation of Labor Code § 1102.5(b)-(d), or failed to take all reasonable steps within their power to prevent such violations.
- 85. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff has been harmed and has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.
- 86. Defendants' actions have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff substantial losses in earnings, significant reputation and professional injury, loss of promotional opportunities and other employment benefits, lost wages, attorneys' fees, medical expenses, future earnings and benefits, costs of suit, and embarrassment and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according

to proof.

87. By reason of Defendants' unlawful conduct, and in order to enforce the important right to a discrimination- and harassment-free workplace for herself and the public at large,

Plaintiff has incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorney fees. Plaintiff is therefore

entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation expenses per Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 and Government Code § 12965(b).

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Blocklisting (Labor Code §§ 1050 and 1052) (Against RRFD, Defendant Hicks and DOES 1-20)

- 88. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 89. California Labor Code § 1050 states that "[a]ny person, or agent or officer thereof, who, after having discharged an employee from the service of such person . . . by any misrepresentation prevents or attempts to prevent the former employee from obtaining employment, is guilty of a misdemeanor."
- 90. On information and belief, after terminating Plaintiff, Defendants made misrepresentations to prevent Plaintiff from obtaining employment. For example, Defendant RRFD's employees, including Defendant Hicks, made statements to potential and current employers that Plaintiff had suicidal ideations and was mentally unstable.
- 91. California Labor Code § 1052 states that "[a]ny person who knowingly causes, suffers, or permits an agent, superintendent, manager, or employee in his employ to commit a violation of sections 1050 and 1051, or who fails to take all reasonable steps within his power to prevent such violation is guilty of a misdemeanor." Defendants knowingly caused, suffered, or permitted agents, superintendents, managers, or employees to commit a violation of Labor Code §§ 1050 and 1052, or failed to take all reasonable steps within their power to prevent such violation.
  - 92. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' defamation, Plaintiff has been

harmed and has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.

- 93. Defendants' actions have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff substantial losses in earnings, significant reputation and professional injury, loss of promotional opportunities and other employment benefits, lost wages, attorneys' fees, medical expenses, future earnings and benefits, costs of suit, and embarrassment and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof.
- 94. In addition to, and apart from the criminal penalty provided any person or agent or officer who violates any provision of §§ 1050 and 1052, inclusive, Defendants are liable to the party aggrieved, Plaintiff, in a civil action for treble damages. Such civil action may be brought by the aggrieved person without first establishing any criminal liability. (Labor Code § 1054.) Therefore, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in this action for treble damages.
  - 95. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Sex Discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 42 U.S.C. ss 200e-2(a) (Against All Defendants and DOES 1-20)

- 96. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
  - 97. White, as a woman, is a member of a protected group.
- 98. White was subjected to numerous adverse employment actions on the basis of sex by Defendants, including but not limited to the constant belittling and unjustified reprimanding of her, harassment, inequitable handling of her sexual harassment complaints against Defendant Hicks, the dissemination of false claims regarding her mental health, and the refusal to renew her seasonal employment.
- 99. White is informed and believes that similarly situated male employees were treated much more favorably by Defendant RRFD, Defendant Hicks, Defendant Wright and Defendant Vaughn as evidenced by the harassment against her and RRFD's handling of White's complaints.

- 100. The RRFD's stated reasons for not renewing White's employment contract were not true reasons, but instead, were a pretext meant to hide the RRFD's discriminatory animus towards White because of her status as a woman.
- 101. By the conduct described above, Defendants intentionally violated White's right to freedom from sex discrimination under Title VII.
- 102. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged above, White has suffered and continues to suffer harm, including but not limited to lost wages, lost back pay and front pay, lost benefits, reputational harm, lost interest, and emotional distress, in an amount according to proof.
- 103. White is also entitled to recover such monetary and other damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs from the Defendants as a result of their wrongful conduct.

# TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Sexual Harassment under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a) (Against All Defendants and DOES 1-20)

- 101. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 102. White was subjected to numerous unwelcome sexual advances by Defendant Hicks, her immediate supervisor, including but not limited to his conduct at the station with White where he made various lewd remarks, and his conduct on a nearly day-to-day basis throughout White's employment with the RRFD, when he would routinely make sexual comments towards White and call her late at night. This continued pattern of ongoing harassment was clearly based upon sex and affected White's conditions and privileges of employment.
- 103. White is informed and believes that by the conduct described above, she was subjected to *quid pro quo* sexual harassment by Defendant Hicks throughout her time working under him at the RRFD, as he relied on his actual and apparent authority over White to subject her to the numerous instances of unwelcome and pervasive sexual harassment as described above.
  - 104. White is informed and believes that an implication existed that if White did not

go along or if she complained about Defendant Hicks' conduct, White was likely to be terminated, demoted, or lose other job-related benefits.

- 105. White is also informed and believes that by the conduct described above, she was subjected to a hostile environment resulting from the sexual harassment because Defendant Hicks' pervasive sexual conduct unreasonably interfered with White's work performance and created an intimidating, hostile, and offensive working environment.
- 106. Defendant Hicks' sexual harassment was so severe and pervasive that it forced White to take steps to avoid being alone with Defendant Hicks in certain situations, including asking Defendant Wright to schedule her for shifts different than those of Defendant Hicks.
- 107. Defendant RRFD, Defendant Wright, and Defendant Vaughn knew or should have known of Defendant Hicks' pervasive sexual harassment because White reported that it was taking place as early as November 2019 to Defendant Wright. Despite this, the RRFD, Defendant Wright and Defendant Vaughn failed to take any remedial action vis-à-vis the sexual harassment other than simply warning Defendant Hicks.
- 108. White is informed and believes and thereon alleges Defendant RRFD is liable for Defendant Hicks' sexually harassing conduct towards White under the theory of *respondent superior*.
- 109. By the conduct described above, Defendant RRFD intentionally violated White's right to freedom from sex discrimination under Title VII, as sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination actionable under Title VII.
- 110. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct as alleged above, White has suffered and continues to suffer harm, including but not limited to: lost wages, lost back pay and front pay, lost benefits, reputational harm, lost interest, and emotional distress, in an amount according to proof.
- 111. White is also entitled to recover such monetary and other damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs from the Defendants as a result of their wrongful conduct.
  - 112. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as set forth herein.

27 ///

### ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Against All Defendants

- 113. White incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein each and every allegation set forth above in her Complaint. As a separate and distinct claim for relief, White complains against all Defendants as follows:
- 114. Government Code § 12920 sets forth the public policy of the State of California as follows:

It is hereby declared as the public policy of this state that it is necessary to protect and safeguard the right and opportunity of all persons to seek, obtain, and hold employment without discrimination or abridgment on account of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, or sexual orientation.

It is recognized that the practice of denying employment opportunity and discriminating in the terms of employment for these reasons foments domestic strife and unrest, deprives the state of the fullest utilization of its capacities for development and advancement, and substantially and adversely affects the interests of employees, employers, and the public in general.

Further, the practice of discrimination because of race, color, religion, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, ancestry, and familial status, source of income, disability, or genetic information in housing accommodations is declared to be against public policy.

It is the purpose of this part to provide effective remedies that will eliminate these discriminatory practices. This part shall be deemed an exercise of the police power of the state for the protection of the welfare, health, and peace of the people of this state.

115. Government Code § 12920.5 embodies the intent of the California legislature and

states as follows:

the California Constitution.

In order to eliminate discrimination, it is necessary to provide effective remedies that will both prevent and deter unlawful employment practices and redress the adverse effects of those practices on aggrieved persons. To that end, this part shall be

deemed an exercise of the Legislature's authority pursuant to Section 1 of Article XIV of

116. Moreover, Government Code § 12921, subdivision (a) states in pertinent part:

The opportunity to seek, obtain, and hold employment without discrimination because of race, religious creed, color, national origin, ancestry, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, age, or sexual orientation is hereby recognized as and declared to be a civil right.

- Defendants, and each of them, concerning their respective rights and duties as it is believed that Defendants may allege that the actions about which Plaintiff has complained were based on non-discriminatory, legitimate reasons and not on Plaintiff's race, or in retaliation for her exercise of protected conduct and that these were substantial motivating factors for the actions taken against her about which Plaintiff complains. Plaintiff contends that the reasons given by Defendants, and each of them, were a pretext to mask their true reason(s) for taking the actions against her about which she has complained. On information and belief, Defendants shall dispute Plaintiff's contentions and shall assert their reasons were nondiscriminatory and legitimate.
- 118. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1060, Plaintiff desires a judicial determination of her rights and duties, and a declaration that Plaintiff's disability or perceived disability and her exercise of protected conduct in requesting and taking medical leaves of absence and in seeking reasonable accommodations for her disabilities were substantial motivating factors in the decision to take the actions against her about which Plaintiff complains.
  - 119. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time such that

Defendants, and each of them, may also be aware of its obligations under the law to not engage in discriminatory practices and violate the law.

120. Government Code §12965(b) provides that an aggrieved party, such as the Plaintiff herein, may be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In civil actions brought under this section, the court, in its discretion, may award to the prevailing party, including the department, reasonable attorney's fees and costs, including expert witness fees. Such fees and costs expended by an aggrieved party may be awarded for the purpose of redressing, preventing, or deterring discrimination.

#### **DAMAGES**

- 121. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions as detailed herein, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment opportunities, loss of dignity, great humiliation, and emotional injuries manifesting physical illness and severe emotional distress.
- 122. As a result of the conduct by Defendants of which Plaintiff complains, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses in earnings and other employee benefits.

  Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to state the amount or will proceed according to proof at trial.
- 123. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress as a result of the conduct by Defendants of which Plaintiff complains.
- 124. At all material times, Defendants, and each of them, knew that Plaintiff depended on her wages and other employee benefits as a source of earned income. At all material times, Defendants were in a position of power over Plaintiff, with the potential to abuse that power.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. For general damages;
- 2. For lost wages, in a sum according to proof at the time of trial;
- 3. For compensatory damages for severe emotional and physical harm caused to Plaintiff;
  - 4. For interest as allowed by law;
  - 5. For treble damages under Labor Code § 1054, in a sum according to proof at the

# Case 1:23-at-00418 Document 1-1 Filed 05/15/23 Page 25 of 28

1	time of trial;
2	6. For punitive damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 3294 against th
3	individual Defendants in an amount to be determined at the time of trial;
4	7. For attorneys' fees and costs;
5	8. For costs and expenses of suit herein incurred; and
6	9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
7	<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>
8	Plaintiff demands trial of all issues by a jury.
9	
10	
11	DATED: March 13, 2023 CUTTER LAW, P.C.
12	
13	Dru /
14	By:
15	Attorney for Plaintiff Shelly White
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

		CM-010		
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AUGUNEY Avamed State 044. John R. Parker, Jr. SBN 257761	ilder, Document 1-1 Filed 05/1	5/23 Page 26 on toller USE ONLY		
Cutter Law PC				
401 Watt Avenue		ELECTRONICALLY		
Sacramento, CA 95864  TELEPHONE NO.: 916-290-9400	FAX NO.: 1-800-979-5279	FILED		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Shelly White				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NE		BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NEVADA		
STREET ADDRESS: 201 Church St. #5		03/13/2023		
MAILING ADDRESS:	50	JASON B. GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Nevada City, CA 959	59	KAILEY HORTON, DEPUTY		
BRANCH NAME: CASE NAME:		· · ·		
White v. RRFP, et al.				
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:		
Unlimited Limited		CU0000599		
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE:		
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	ant		
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:		
	ow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).		
1. Check one box below for the case type that		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
Auto Tort		(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)		
Auto (22) Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)		
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)		
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)		
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
Medical maipractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case		
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)		
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment		
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)		
Civil rights (08)		Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)		
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Carlot pounds (rist specimen exert) (re)		
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	<del></del>			
a. Large number of separately repre	•	er of witnesses		
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court		
issues that will be time-consumin	<u> </u>	nostjudgment judicial supervision		
c. Substantial amount of documenta	ary evidence I. [ Substantial p			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a		declaratory or injunctive relief c. 🗾 punitive		
4. Number of causes of action (specify): El				
	ess action suit.			
6. If there are any known related cases, file	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM/015.)		
Date: March 13, 2023				
John R. Parker, Jr.	<u> </u>	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR AT CORNEY FOR PARTY)		
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result</li> </ul>				
-thion to the action of proceeding	t seq. of the California Rules of Court, yo	u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all		
Unless this is a collections case under rule.	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.		

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2,30 and 3,220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

#### **Auto Tort**

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or

toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)

intentional Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of

**Emotional Distress** 

Other PI/PD/WD

#### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

**Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

#### **CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or nealigence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

#### **Real Property**

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

#### Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential)

#### Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

#### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex

case type listed above) (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment** 

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment

#### Miscelianeous Civil Complaint

**RICO (27)** 

Other Complaint (not specified

above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

#### Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

**Election Contest** 

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NEVADA

201 Church Street Nevada City, CA 95959 Phone: (530) 362-4309  Shelly White vs. Rough and Ready Fire Protection District	ELECTRONICALLY FILED  BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF NEVADA 03/14/2023  JASON B. GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT KAILEY HORTON, DEPUTY
Notice - Case Management Conference	Case Number: CU0000599

**NOTICE** is given that a Case Management Conference has been scheduled as follows:

Date: September 18, 2023 Time: 9:00 A.M. Department: Department 6

Location: Nevada County Courthouse, 201 Church Street, Nevada City, California, 95959

The hearing set in this notice does not eliminate your obligation to file a response to the complaint as specified on the summons. Failure to do so may result in a default judgment.

- You must file and serve a completed Case Management Statement form CM-110 AT LEAST FIFTEEN DAYS before the case management conference (California Rule of Court 3.725). Strict compliance with California Rules of Court 3.110 required.
- You must be familiar with the case and be fully prepared to participate effectively in the case management conference.
- At the case management conference the court may make pretrial orders, including the following:
  - o Orders establishing discovery schedules and exchange of expert witness information;
  - o Referral to judicial arbitration or other alternate dispute resolution with a date of completion;
  - o Orders setting subsequent conferences and the trial date;
  - o Other orders in furtherance of the Trial Court Delay Reduction Act (Gov. Code §68600 et seq.);
- Should the parties comply with California Rules of Court 3.110 and timely file their Case Management Statement, appearance at the case management conference **may** be waived.
- The court will issue a proposed order on Thursday before the conference. The proposed order will be posted and available under the link "Tentative Rulings" on the court's web site (<a href="https://www.nevada.courts.ca.gov/">https://www.nevada.courts.ca.gov/</a>). For further instructions and information see the Case Management Information Sheet.
- A copy of this Notice must be served on each party with the complaint or other initial pleading, including each new party brought in by way of cross-complaint, complaint in intervention, or other initial pleading.

Date: March 14, 2023

Kailey Horton, Deputy □ Clerk

ND.M

# EXHIBIT B

#### ELECTRONICALLY Case 1:23-at-00418 Document 1-2 Filed 05/15/23 Page 2 BY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA. 1 JACKSON LEWIS P.C. COUNTY OF NEVADA CHRISTOPHER E. DAWOOD (SBN 281054) 05/12/2023 2 DYLAN C. MARQUES (SBN 328987) JASON B. GALKIN, CLERK OF THE COURT 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 MARIANNA BREWER, DEPUTY 3 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 341-0404 4 Facsimile: (916) 341-0141 Email: christopher.dawood@jacksonlewis.com 5 dylan.marques@jacksonlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants 6 ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE 7 DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT WRIGHT; AND ROBERT VAUGHN 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF NEVADA** 11 SHELLY WHITE, CASE NO. CU0000599 12 Plaintiff. **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO** PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 13 VS. 14 ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE 15 DEPARTMENT, a government entity; DAVID HICKS, an individual; MATT WRIGHT, an Complaint Filed: 03.13.23 16 individual; ROBERT VAUGHN, an individual Trial Date: TBD and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 Defendants ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND 19 READY FIRE DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT WRIGHT; and ROBERT VAUGHN 20 ("Defendants") hereby respond to SHELLY WHITE's ("Plaintiff") unverified Complaint 21 ("Complaint"), without waiving its rights to remove the case to federal court or to compel 22 arbitration, as follows: 23 GENERAL DENIAL 24 Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendants deny, generally and 25 specifically, each and every allegation contained in Plaintiff's Complaint, including denying that 26 Plaintiff has suffered damages or sustained any loss, in the sum or sums alleged or in any other 27 sum. This general denial to the Complaint is filed without prejudice to Defendants' right to file 28

1	an amended response, including affirmative defenses, and to file a Cross-Complaint after
2	conducting discovery.
3	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
4	As separate and distinct affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint and the purported
5	causes of action alleged therein, under information and belief and without conceding that it bears
6	the burden of proof or persuasion as to any one of them, Defendants allege as follows:
7	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Plaintiff's Fourth, Seventh, and Eighth causes of action are barred by failing to comply
9	with the Government Claims Act, per California Government Code sections 911.2, 945.6, et seq.;
10	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action and prayer for relief alleged
12	therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendants.
13	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the
15	extent the doctrine of estoppel applies.
16	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the
18	extent the doctrine of laches applies.
19	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	Plaintiff is barred from any recovery under Plaintiff's Complaint, or any purported cause
21	of action alleged therein, to the extent the doctrine of unclean hands applies.
22	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the
24	extent the doctrine of waiver applies.
25	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred in
27	whole or in part to the extent such claims have been previously compensated, reimbursed, settled,
28	released, or discharged.

# 2

# 45

# 6

# 7 8

### 9

# 10

# 11

# 1213

# 15

14

# 1617

# 18

1920

21

2223

24

25

## 26

2728

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the extent the applicable statute(s) of limitations, including but not limited to California Government Code sections 12960(e) and/or 12965(b), California Code of Civil Procedure sections 335.1, 338(a), 339, and 340(a) apply.

### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the extent Plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies.

## TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred to the extent Plaintiff failed to exhaust judicial remedies.

## ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred because any and all actions taken by Defendants with regard to Plaintiff's employment were based on legitimate, non-discriminatory and non-retaliatory business reasons and would have been taken regardless of Plaintiff's alleged protected characteristic or protected activity, if any.

# TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred and/or Plaintiff is precluded from any monetary damages because, even assuming, *arguendo*, that the Court or a jury should find that discriminatory or retaliatory reasons were a factor in any employment decision involving Plaintiff (which Defendants deny), Defendants would have made the same employment decisions with regard to Plaintiff in any case for legitimate, non-discriminatory and non-retaliatory reasons, and the alleged illegal reasons were not a substantial factor in motivating Defendants' actions. *See Harris v. City of Santa Monica* (2013) 56 Cal.4th 203.

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, or her damages, if any, are barred or reduced to the extent any alleged discriminatory, harassing, or retaliatory

conduct by Defendants and its employees (which Defendants deny) was contrary to Defendants' anti-discrimination, anti-harassment, and anti-retaliation policies, which Defendants implemented in good faith, and fairly and adequately enforced.

### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred in whole or in part because, assuming, *arguendo*, Defendants knew or should have known Plaintiff was subjected to unlawful discrimination, harassment or retaliation, Defendants took immediate and appropriate corrective action.

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any recovery on Plaintiff's Complaint, or on each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred by California Labor Code sections 2854 and 2856 in that Plaintiff failed to use ordinary care and diligence in the performance of Plaintiff's duties and failed to comply substantially with the reasonable directions of Plaintiff's employer.

### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants cannot be held liable for any conduct alleged in the Complaint to the extent the individuals who allegedly engaged in the conduct against Plaintiff were not employees of Defendants and/or were not acting within the course and scope of their employment or agency.

#### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred or any recovery is reduced to the extent that Plaintiff was negligent with respect to the activities alleged in the Complaint and the negligence contributed and was a proximate cause, and/or was the sole cause, of Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any.

#### **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Any damage allegedly suffered by Plaintiff was caused by risks of which she was aware and which she voluntarily assumed.

#### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's purported claims for emotional distress damages are barred because the exclusive remedy for Plaintiff's alleged emotional distress and other injuries, if any, is before the

California Workers' Compensation Appeals Board pursuant to the exclusive remedy provisions of the California Workers' Compensation Act (*see* California Labor Code section 3600 *et seq.*). Plaintiff's Complaint alleges an injury compensable under the California Workers' Compensation Act because Plaintiff alleges that her injuries: (1) occurred at a time when both Plaintiff and Defendants were subject to California Labor Code section 3600(a); (2) occurred in the course of and incidental to Plaintiff's employment; and (3) were proximately caused by Plaintiff's employment.

#### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Defendants obtain, through discovery or otherwise, after-acquired evidence of wrongdoing by Plaintiff, the Complaint and each purported cause of action alleged therein is barred by the doctrine of after-acquired evidence, or the doctrine of after-acquired evidence limits and reduces Plaintiff's alleged damages.

#### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants are entitled to an offset for any monies received by Plaintiff from any source in compensation for her alleged economic damages and non-economic damages under the common-law doctrine of offset and under the doctrine prohibiting double recovery set forth in *Witt v. Jackson* (1961) 57 Cal.2d 57 and its progeny.

#### TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from recovering any damages, or her damages must be reduced, to the extent Plaintiff failed to exercise reasonable diligence to mitigate her alleged damages.

#### TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any damages for alleged discrimination, retaliation and/or harassment are barred or limited based on the doctrine of avoidable consequences, including but not limited to the extent: (1) Defendants exercised reasonable steps to prevent and correct any workplace behavior alleged unlawful; (2) Plaintiff unreasonably failed to use the preventive and corrective measures Defendants provided; and (3) reasonable use of Defendants' procedures would have prevented all or at least some of the harm Plaintiff allegedly suffered.

///

#### Case 1:23-at-00418 Document 1-2 Filed 05/15/23 Page 7 of 8

#### 1 TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 Plaintiff's claims for punitive or exemplary damages violate Defendants' rights provided 3 under the First, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and 4 under the California Constitution and/or are unconstitutional to the extent any such award for 5 punitive or exemplary damages is unreasonable and disproportionate under State Farm Mutual 6 Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell (2003) 538 U.S. 408 and its progeny. 7 \* \* \* 8 Because Defendants presently do not have sufficient knowledge or information upon 9 which to form a reasonable belief as to whether it may have available additional but as yet 10 unstated defenses and cannot fully anticipate all defenses that may be applicable to this action, 11 Defendants hereby reserve the right to assert additional defenses if and to the extent that such 12 defenses are or become applicable. 13 **PRAYER** 14 WHEREFORE, having generally denied Plaintiff's Complaint and having alleged 15 affirmative defenses, Defendants pray: 16 1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by her Complaint; 17 2. For judgment in Defendants' favor and dismissal of all of Plaintiff's claims against 18 Defendant; 19 3. For Defendants' costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action; and 20 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. 21 Dated: May 12, 2023 JACKSON LEWIS P.C. 22 23 CHRISTOPHER E. DAWOOD 24 DYLAN C. MARQUES 25 Attorneys for Defendants ROUGH AND READY FIRE PROTECTION 26 DISTRICT, dba ROUGH AND READY FIRE DEPARTMENT; DAVID HICKS; MATT 27 WRIGHT; and ROBERT VAUGHN

28

## 1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600, 3 Sacramento, California 95814. 4 On May 12, 2023, I served the foregoing document described as: 5 **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT** 6 in this action by transmitting a true copy thereof addressed as follows: 7 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF John R. Parker, Jr., Esq. SHELLY WHITE CUTTER LAW, PC 8 401 Watt Avenue Telephone: 916.290.9400 | Fax: 800.979.5279 Sacramento, CA 95864 9 Email: jparker@cutterlaw.com 10 **E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION** - Based on California Code of Civil Procedure 11 [X] Section 1010.6(b)(2), I caused the document(s) described above to be sent from e-mail 12 address nicole.grandy@jacksonlewis.com to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed above. 13 STATE - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that [X]14 the above is true and correct. 15 Executed on May 12, 2023, at Sacramento, California. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE

The JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the Grand and Quality the Document of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	F THIS FORM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	·		DEFENDANTS	S	
Shelly White			Please see Atta	achment to Civil Cover	Sheet
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff Name of First Listed Plaintiff CARCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAR	levada (SES)		e of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O  ONDEMNATION CASES, USE TI T OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)	Attorneys (If Known)	)	
Please see Atta	chment to Civil Cove	er Sheet	Please see Atta	achment to Civil Cover	Sheet
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	,	5 55
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)  P Citizen of This State	PTF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and F of Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Oi	nly)		Click here for: Nature of S	Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	of Property 21 USC 881  690 Other  TY  LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards Act  720 Labor/Management Relations  740 Railway Labor Act  751 Family and Medical Leave Act  80  790 Other Labor Litigation  791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION  462 Naturalization Application	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))  400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692)  485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)	Conditions of Confinement			
1 Original x 2 Ren	moved from 3	Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe (specify	* *	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	28 U.S.C. 88 1331 14	41(a), and 1446	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  XYes No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT			
May 15, 2023		/s/ Christopher E. Dav	wood		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

  Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# **ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET**

I.	PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS
(a)	Shelly White	Rough and Ready Fire Protection District,
		dba Rough and Ready Fire Department;
		David Hicks; Matt Wright; and Robert
		Vaughn
(c)	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and	Attorneys (If Known)
	Telephone Number)	
	Jack R. Parker (SBN 257761)	Christopher E. Dawood (SBN 281054)
	CUTTER LAW, P.C.	Dylan C. Marques (SBN 328987)
	401 Watt Avenue	JACKSON LEWIS P.C.
	Sacramento, CA 95864	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
	(916) 290-9400	Sacramento, CA 95814
		(916) 341-0404