1 2 3 4 5 6	Christopher B. Dolan, Esq. (SBN 165358) Allison L. Stone, Esq. (SBN 274607) Cioffi Remmer, Esq. (SBN 262663) Anna Pantsulaya, Esq. (SNB 339519) DOLAN LAW FIRM, PC 1438 Market Street San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 421-2800 Facsimile: (415) 421-2830 Email: allison.stone@dolanlawfirm.com	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 5/12/2023 5:22 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By M. Gomez, Deputy Clerk
7 8	Email: cioffi.remmer@dolanlawfirm.com Email: anna.pantsulaya@dolanlawfirm.com	1
9	A.1	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, BALDEV DEVGAN,M.D., MANJU DEVGAN, M.D.	,
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
14		
15 16	BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D., an individual; MANJU DEVGAN, M.D., an individual	CASE NO.: 238M CV 02124
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	V.	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
19	CITY OF SANTA MONICA SANTA	1. MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE 2. NEGLIGENCE
20	MONICA FIRE DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1 to 50, inclusive,	 GOVERNMENT ENTITY NEGLIGENCE (GOV. CODE § 815.2, et seq.) ELDER ABUSE/NEGLECT LOSS OF CONSORTIUM NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
25		Complaint Filed: DATE
26		Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Judge Name - Department
27		Trial Date: DATE
28		



7

11

12

10

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26 27

28

COMES NOW Plaintiff BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D., [hereinafter "BALDEV"], and MANJU DEVGAN, M.D., [hereinafter "MANJU"] ("PLAINTIFFS"), bring this action by and through their attorneys, and hereby complains of the defendants above-name, and each of them as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter, and venue is proper pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 395, because the injury to Plaintiffs occurred in its jurisdictional area in Los Angeles County, California.
- 2. Plaintiffs have incurred more than the jurisdictional minimum of this Court in special damages and general damages.
 - 3. The amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D. ("BALDEV"), is and at all times mentioned herein was an adult and resident of Los Angeles County, California. At all times relevant to the acts and omissions complained of this Complaint, he was the lawfully wedded spouse of Manju Devgan.
- 5. Plaintiff MANJU DEVGAN, M.D. (MANJU), is and at all times mentioned herein was an adult and resident of Los Angeles County, California. At all times relevant to the acts and omissions complained of this Complaint, he was the lawfully wedded spouse of BALDEV. BALDEV and MANJU shall be collectively referred to PLAINTIFFS.
- 6. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that at all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA SANTA MONICA FIRE DEPARTMENT, its agents, employees, and contractors (hereinafter "SMFD") is a public entity and is a healthcare provider located in and/or doing business in Los Angeles County, California. PLAINTIFFS are informed and believe and on the basis of said information and belief allege that the SMFD is a department/division, wholly owned, operated and controlled by the City of Santa Monica ("CITY"), or contracts with the CITY to provide equipment personnel and services, and that the personnel of the SMFD are employees, agents and/or contractors of the CITY.



- 7. Defendants DOES 1 through 10 were EMT'S or Firefighters, in the scope and course of their employment, agency or contractor relationship with the CITY, or otherwise related, at the time of the material events plead herein. DOES 1-10 owed PLAINTIFFS various duties and acted or failed to act in such a manner that they committed breached said duties and said breach was a substantial factor in causing harm to PLAINTIFFS while, or following, their responding to BALDEV falling at his residence in Santa Monica. And or when he was in transport from his home to UC Santa Monica Hospital Emergency Room.. The true names of these individuals are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sues these individual defendants as DOES 1 through 10. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint once PLAINTIFFS identify the true names of DOES 1 through 10. Together SMFD and DOES 1-10 shall be referred to as DEFENDANTS.
- 8. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of Defendants DOES 11 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sue the defendants by such fictitious names, and if necessary, will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the fictitiously name defendants are negligently or otherwise responsible in some manner for the acts, omissions, occurrences and or damages alleged in this Complaint, and that their actions or failure to act were a substantial factor in the causing Plaintiffs' injuries and damages as alleged in this complaint were proximately caused by said conduct. Said Doe defendants are named in accordance with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 474.

GOVERNMENT CLAIMS

- 9. Plaintiffs timely presented a claim to all government entity defendant(s) pursuant to Government Code Section 910, *et seq*.
- 10. Plaintiffs' claims were rejected outright or pursuant to code by the government entity defendant(s).
 - 11. Plaintiffs also served a letter pursuant to Cal. Code of Civ. Proc. § 364 on the



DOLAN LAW

known medical provider defendant(s).

FACTS

- 12. On or about April 27, 2022 at approximately 5:00 a.m., Plaintiff BALDEV suffered a ground level fall whereby he fell and hit his head on the bathtub, in a second floor bathroom at his home located in the city of Santa Monica, California.
- 13. Plaintiff MANJU found her husband laying on the floor of the bathroom and called 911. Concerned that he may have sustained serious orthopedic injuries or brain trauma during the fall, Manju did not move him prior to the arrival of SMFD and/or DOES 1-10.
- 14. Defendant City of Santa Monica's SMFD, and DOES 1-10 responded to the scene and located BALDEV in the upstairs bathroom. BALDEV was found on the floor, conscious, with his head leaning against the bathtub.
- 15. SFMD and/or DOES 1-10 entered the bathroom and to assess BALDAV's condition. MANJU informed the EMTs that she was a medical doctor and stated to the EMTs that they needed to protect and stabilize his neck before moving him because he had hit his head.
- 16. At all times relevant to this Complaint, MANJU was present, aware of the injury being caused to BALDEV, and was of the belief that BALDEV was being negligently treated and attended to by DEFENDANTS.
- 17. SMFD and DOES 1-10 responded that they "are professionals and you need to let us do our job" and pushed her out of the way outside of the bathroom there by acting to take control of BALDEV and in doing so, assumed the duty to provide him care in a non-negligent fashion, within a degree and standard of care warranted and called for by the circumstances. In doing so, they prevented MANJU from providing or directing treatment to or for BALDEV MANJU was able to see and witness through the opened door the DEFENDANT's actions and inactions as they attended to BALDEV, therefore she was aware of what was occurring contemporaneously with the acts and inactions of SMFD and DOES 1-10 and had a belief that what they were doing and/or failing to do was negligent.
 - 18. The SMFD and/or DOES 1-10 did not put a cervical spine ("c-spine")

immobilization collar onto BALDEV, or otherwise secure and protect his spine and/or other parts of his body and, in direct contravention to MANJU's warning, moved him, including but not limited to sitting him up and trying to get hm to stand. After physically moving him into a sitting position, they said words to the effect of "he looks ok," and stated that he was moving all his extremities. They proceeded to sit him in a chair that was in the bathroom, all without taking adequate precautions to stabilize and protect his spine.

- 19. Spinal precautions, including but not limited to cervical spine precautions, should be instituted immediately on suspicion of injury in a fall potentially involving the spine to prevent any injury being caused by movement of the injured party.
- 20. DEFENDANTS then further picked up and moved BALDEV on to a gurney and began moving him towards the stairs. Again, MANJU observed BALDEV express concern about how he was being moved and no specific precautions were made to protect his spine from further injury by being moved.
- 21. The EMT/Paramedics subsequently converted the gurney into a sitting position. While in an upright, sitting position, they brought the gurney down a flight of stairs in the house and another outside the house with a bouncing, jarring, motion with each step. With each jarring movement BALDEV was displaying sounds and actions consistent with, and informing the DEFENDANTS of discomfort the movements were causing him. Despite MANJU's protestations and BALDEV's distress, they continued to move him in such a fashion.
- 22. DEFENDANTS then proceeded to convert the position BALDEV from a sitting position into a supine position by physically altering the position of the and loaded him into the ambulance further jarring his person. While in the ambulance, BALDEV informed them that he was uncomfortable and in a lot of pain, including but not limited to his spine, and asked DEFENDANTS to place support around and under him to provide safety and support as the ambulance further was jarring him as it drove down the road. The DEFENDANTS ignored his requests.
- 23. BALDEV was transported to UC Santa Monica Hospital ("UCSM") and was admitted to the Emergency Room ("ER") where he received a CT Scan. When given a report



as to the mechanism of BALDEV'S fall, they immediately sought to evaluate and diagnose any injury he may have had to his head and spine. The emergency physicians reported that he had a very serious neck injury, put him into C-spine precautions collar and informed PLAINTIFFS that he needed immediate surgical intervention, that they did not have the resources or facilities to perform the emergency procedures he needed, and arranged for immediate, and emergent, transfer, with full spinal precautions, to UCLA, Ronald Reagan, ER.

- 24. At, Ronald Reagan he was urgently admitted and taken for surgery on his cervical spine. BALDEV'S diagnosis was cervical compression and central spinal cord syndrome injury.
- 25. As a result of the damage caused by SMFD and DOES 1-10, BALDEV went from being able to move all of his extremities in his home, to being a quadriplegic ever since. He remains so today.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS actions and inactions, BALDEV was grievously and permanently injured. DEFENDANTS actions and inactions were negligent and breached the standards of care and prudence which they owed PLANTIFFS. DEFENDANTS' breach was a substantial factor in causing severe and permanent injury to BALDEV and MANJU. DEFENDANTS' wrongful conduct occurred while in the presence of Plaintiff BALDEV'S spouse, MANJU, causing emotional distress to MANJU.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE

(Plaintiff BALDEV Against All Defendants)

- 27. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff BALDEV to act with reasonable skill care and treatment in the diagnosis, rendering of aid, transporting, and otherwise caring for BALDEV within the standard of care commonly practiced within the relevant community.
 - 29. DEFENDANTS, and each of them, failed to use the level of skill, knowledge, and



care commonly practiced within the relevant community in BALDEV'S assessment, diagnosis, transport, care and treatment and, therefore, breached the standard of care owed to BALDEV.

- 30. As a result of the above-described wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, BALDEV sustained severe and ongoing injuries, including but not limited to past and future special, economic damages, and past and future general, noneconomic damages.
- 31. DEFENDANTS' negligence and/or gross negligence was a substantial factor in causing PLAINTIFFS' harms.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

(Plaintiffs against All Defendants)

- 32. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. DEFENDANTS owed BALDEV a duty of reasonable care in their actions including but not limited, to hiring, training, and supervising their agents, employees, contractors and others acting on their behalf, failing to provide the skill, materials, equipment, needed to provide adequate treatment to BALDEV.
- 34. The wrongful acts and/or neglect of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, breached their duties owed BALDEV and MANJU.
- 35. Said breach caused harm to BALDEV. DEFENDANTS' negligence was a substantial factor in causing BALDEV harm.
- 36. The above-described negligent acts and omissions of DEFENDANTS DOES 1 through 50, and each of them, actually and proximately caused PLAINTIFFS' injuries, as they unnecessarily exposed him to great risk of bodily harm and caused him severe injuries.
- 37. As a result of the above-described wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, BALDEV sustained severe and ongoing injuries, including but not limited to past and future special, economic damages, and past and future general noneconomic damages.



DOLAN

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

GOVERNMENT ENTITY NEGLIGENCE (GOV. CODE § 815.2)

(Plaintiff BALDEV Against

Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA, DOES 1 through 10)

- 38. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. At all relevant times, DEFENDANTS were, divisions and departments of agents and /or public entity Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA.
- 40. At all relevant times, within the scope of their agency, employment, or contractual relationship with Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA.
- 41. The aforementioned acts and omissions of Defendants CITY OF SANTA MONICA, DOES 1 through 10, and each of them (and their management, administrative, emergency personnel, staff, agents, or contractors, acting within the course and scope of their duties), proximately caused Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 42. Further, these acts and omissions were directly attributable wholly or in substantial part to a negligent or wrongful act of employees of Defendant CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and/or DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, and their divisions, departments, agents, employees and contractors.
- 43. Further, Defendant DEFENDANTS, and each of them, and their agents, employees and contractors acting within the scope of their employment, agency or contracts, undertook, gratuitously or for consideration, to avoid, remedy, and/or abate these acts and omissions. These undertakings and promises were the kind that they recognized as necessary for the protection of third persons. DEFENDANTS through their acts and omissions, (a) increased the risk of such harm, and/or (b) consciously undertook to perform a duty, and therefore owed a duty to do so in a non-negligent fashion, and/or prevented others from acting, and/or, (c) harm was suffered because either others relied on the undertaking and/or did not act because of said undertaking.
 - 44. Due to these acts and failures to act, Defendants CITY OF SANTA MONICA, and

DOES 1 through 10, and each of them are liable for Plaintiffs' injuries under the Government Code, including, but not limited to Sections 815.2(a), 820(a), 830.8, 835, and 840.2.

- 45. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of these Defendants, Plaintiff BALDEV suffered severe injuries.
- 46. The acts and omissions of Defendants, their agents, employees and/or contractors were a substantial factor in causing BALDEV injury.
- 47. As a result of the above-described wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained severe and ongoing injuries, including but not limited to past and future special, economic damages, and past and future general, noneconomic damages.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

ELDER ABUSE/NEGLECT

(Plaintiff BALDEV against All Defendants)

- 48. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 49. At all times relevant, Plaintiff BALDEV was an elder pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.27 as a person over the age of 65 residing in the State of California.
- 50. At all times relevant, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, were "care custodians" pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.17(d), (w) and/or (y). DEFENDANTS, and each of them, had a custodial relationship with BALDEV while he was under their care, custody and control.
- 51. At all times relevant, DEFENDANTS, and each of them were "health practitioners" pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.37.
- 52. At all times relevant, the conscious and willful actions of Defendants, and each of them, rose to the level of neglect and/or abuse when they failed to assure his safety and deprived him of the care and services necessary to avoid physical harm or mental suffering (as defined by Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.39).
- 53. At all times relevant, the actions of Defendants, and each of them, rose to the level of reckless neglect, gross negligence, gross recklessness, when they failed to protect him



from physical harm, further physical harm and/or inflicted physical harm, further physical harm by their actions and/or omissions as previously described herein.

- 54. DEFENDANTS' failures and neglect of Plaintiff were a breach of their statutory and not statutory duties to BALDEV.
- 55. As a result, BALDEV was harmed. DEFENDANTS' actions and inactions were a substantial factor in causing him harm.
- 56. As a result of the above-described wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained severe and ongoing injuries, including but not limited to past and future special, economic damages, and past and future general, noneconomic damages and attorney's fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

(Plaintiff MANJU against All Defendants)

- 57. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 58. At all relevant times, MANJU is and was the spouse of Plaintiff BALDEV.
- 59. Prior to his injuries Plaintiff BALDEV. was able-bodied, independent, self-employed, able to perform his own activities of daily living, able to perform work around the house, contribute to the household financially and physically, and provide care, love, support, comfort, affection, assistance, companionship, enjoyment of intimate relations, and moral support to his wife Plaintiff MANJU.
- 60. As a result of his injuries, Plaintiff MANJU. has in the past and will in the future suffer loss of love, companionship, comfort, care, protection, affection, society, assistance enjoyment of intimate relations, and moral support due to Plaintiff DEFENDANTS' breach of their various duties.
- 61. DEFENDANTS' actions and inactions, as complained of herein, were a substantial factor in causing MANJU harm.
 - 62. As a result of the above-described wrongful acts of Defendants, and each of



them, Plaintiff sustained severe and ongoing injuries, including but not limited to past and future special, economic damages, and past and future general, noneconomic damages.

24

25

26

27

28

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(Plaintiff MANJU against All Defendants)

- Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 63. At all relevant times, MANJU is and was the spouse of Plaintiff BALDEV.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of DEFENDANTS' actions and inactions, BALDEV was grievously and permanently injured. DEFENDANTS actions and inactions were negligent and breached the standards of care and prudence which they owed PLANTIFFS.
- 65. DEFENDANTS' breach was a substantial factor in causing severe and permanent injury to BALDEV and MANJU.
- 66. DEFENDANTS' wrongful conduct occurred while in the presence of Plaintiff BALDEV'S spouse, MANJU, causing emotional distress to MANJU.

PRAYER FOR DAMAGES

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them according to law and according to proof, as follows:

- 1. General damages, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial;
- 2. Special damages for economic damages, in an amount according to proof at the time of trial;
- 3. Any and all statutory damages, fines, or penalties to the extent provided by law;
- Attorney's fees and costs to the extent provided by law as to the 4th cause of 4. action;
- 5. Pre-judgment interest on damages, if appropriate;



1 6. Costs of suit; 2 7. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper. 3 DATED: May 12, 2023 DOLAN LAW FIRM, PC 4 5 By: < Christopher B. Dolan, Esq. 6 Allison Stone, Esq. Cioffi Remmer, Esq. 7 Anna Pantsulaya, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs, BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D., MANJU 8 DEVGAN, M.D. 9 10 11 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 12 Plaintiffs BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D. and MANJU DEVGAN, M.D., hereby request a jury 13 trial as a matter of right on all causes of action. 14 DATED: May 12, 2023 **DOLAN LAW FIRM, PC** 15 16 By: <u>(</u> 17 Christopher B. Dolan, Esq. Allison Stone, Esq. 18 Cioffi Remmer, Esq. Anna Pantsulaya, Esq. 19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, BALDEV DEVGAN, M.D., MANJU 20 DEVGAN, M.D. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

