Dennis P. Conner, Bar No. 283 Keith D. Marr, Bar No. 5999 Gregory G. Pinski, Bar No. 5254 J.R. Conner, Bar No. 36402194 CONNER, MARR & PINSKI, PLLP P. O. Box 3028

Great Falls, MT 59403-3028 Phone: (406) 727-3550

Fax: (406) 727-1640

Email: dennis@mttrials.com

keith@mttrials.com greg@mttrials.com jr@mttrials.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

MARK PERSON, ROBERT DORAN, SHELLY VERNON, ROBERT VERNON, TERRANCE BUCHANAN, SCOTT TINKLENBERG, GARY GUNDERSON, and VAUGHN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

TANNERITE SPORTS LLC; DANIEL J. TANNER, individually and acting under the assumed business name TANNERITE EXPLOSIVES; and DOES 1-50.

Defendants.

Cause No. <u>CV-21-94-GF-BMM-JTJ</u>

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND **COMES NOW** Plaintiffs by and through their attorneys, Conner, Marr & Pinski, PLLP, and for their Complaint against Defendants state and allege:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiffs Person, Doran, S. Vernon, R. Vernon, Buchanan, Tinklenberg, and Gunderson are residents and citizens of Montana, and the owners of real and personal property in Cascade County, Montana, within the Great Falls Division of the United States District Court for the District of Montana.
- 2. Plaintiff Vaughn Volunteer Fire Department, Inc. ("Fire Department" is a rural fire department in Vaughn, Montana. The Fire Department is a Domestic Non-Profit Corporation registered under the laws of Montana with its principal address in Vaughn, Cascade County, Montana.
- 3. Defendant Tannerite Sports, LLC, is a Limited Liability Company organized under the laws of Oregon, with its principal place of business at 36366 Valley Rd, Pleasant Hill, Oregon. Tannerite Sports designs, manufactures, assembles, markets, advertises, sells, distributes, and/or supplies exploding rifle targets, under the Tannerite brand name, which it places into the stream of commerce. Tannerite Sports may be served through its registered agent for service, Daniel J. Tanner, at 36366 Valley Road, Pleasant Hill, Oregon 97455.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Daniel J. Tanner is a resident of and citizen of Oregon. Tanner is an individual who operates under the assumed

business name "Tannerite Explosives." Tanner is also the Manager, Owner, and/or Principal of Tannerite Sports, LLC, and engaged in, controlled, directed, authorized, and/or ratified the conduct of Tannerite Sports, LLC, and Tannerite Explosives. Tanner may be served with process at 36366 Valley Road, Pleasant Hill, Oregon 97455.

5. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associated, affiliated or otherwise of Defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Upon information and belief, each of the Defendants sued as a fictitious name is legally responsible for the events and happenings referred to, and Plaintiffs will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and capacities in place and instead of the fictitious names when the same become known to them.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. Jurisdiction is asserted under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because this civil action is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Division under Local Rule 3.2(b)(1)(A) because the actions described occurred in this district and one or more plaintiff lives in this Division and District.

8. Tannerite Sports, LLC, and Daniel J. Tanner, individually and acting under the assumed business name Tannerite Explosives, (collectively "Tannerite"), designed, manufactured, assembled, marketed, advertises, sold and/or distributed the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target moved in the stream of commerce to Montana where it was sold to Montana citizens in Montana.

Plaintiffs reside in Montana and the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was purchased in Montana and the harm it caused occurred in Montana. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target had a Montana owner/purchaser and was transported in the stream of commerce to and sold in Montana as Tannerite expected it and other Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets would be. All fact witnesses are in Montana. Tannerite has multiple retailers in Montana. Tannerite markets and advertises its Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets in Montana.

The Court does not exceed its powers under the Due Process Clause if it asserts personal jurisdiction over a company that delivers its products into the stream of commerce expecting they will be purchased by consumers in the forum state. Montana has a "manifest interest" in providing its residents with a convenient forum for redressing harms inflicted by out-of-state actors. Where individuals "purposefully derive benefit" from their interstate activities, it is unfair to allow them to escape having to account in other states for consequences that arise proximately

from such activities because the Due Process Clause may not be wielded as a territorial shield to avoid interstate obligations voluntarily assumed. This is particularly true in product liability actions. The purposes sought to be achieved by Montana's product liability laws would be furthered by their application to this set of facts.

One of the central purposes of Montana's product liability scheme is to prevent harms to Montana residents caused by defective products. Montana has a direct interest in applying its product liability laws because its residents were harmed by this Montana fire. Montana adopted a strict liability standard to afford maximum protection for consumers against defects in manufactured products with the focus on the condition of the product, and not on the manufacturer's conduct or knowledge.

Montana law provides maximum protection and compensation to Montana residents with the focus on the condition of the product and not on the conduct of the manufacturer. Applying Montana's provisions guaranteeing strict liability and full compensation to a cause of action involving Montanans harmed by a defective product furthers the purposes of Montana law by ensuring that the costs to Montana residents due to harms from defective products are fully borne by the responsible parties. It will also have the salutary effect of deterring future sales of defective products in Montana and encouraging manufacturers to warn Montana residents about defects in their products quickly and thoroughly. The guarantee of full

compensation for Montana residents who suffer harms due to defective products must not turn on such fortuitous circumstances as where the product was initially sold. Tannerite's willingness to sell to Montana consumers, its marketing to Montana residents, and its accrual of benefits from Montana consumers buying its products make it reasonable for Tannerite to anticipate being haled into a Montana court. Tannerite's actions show its willingness to serve the Montana market and Tannerite derived benefits from Montanans using Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target. Tannerite has the required minimum contacts with Montana under a stream of commerce theory.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 9. On or about September 2, 2019, Drew Michael Heineman, Xavier Vicente Mercado, and Xavier Vicente Mercado II went target shooting near mile marker 9 on Stuckey Road, Cascade County, Montana.
- 10. Defendants Tannerite Sports, LLC, and Daniel J. Tanner, individually and acting under the assumed business name Tannerite Explosives, (collectively "Tannerite"), design, manufacture, assemble, market, advertise, supply, distribute, and/or sell binary exploding targets called "Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets."

- 11. Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets are sold as a binary non-flammable explosive target kit comprised of ammonium nitrate and aluminum powder.
- 12. Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets are sold as one package comprised of separately packaged components: one canister that contains a premeasured amount of ammonium nitrate, one packet that contains a pre-measured amount of aluminum powder and one canister used for mixing.
- 13. Tannerite provides instructions with the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets directing the consumer to mix the two pre-measured substances in the mixing container; pour the mixture into the original target container; and to detonate the mixed exploding target with a center-fire rifle.
- 14. The mixed Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets detonate at a rate between 16,000 and 18,000 feet per second.
- 15. The primary or common purpose of mixed Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets is detonation or rapid combustion.
- 16. Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets are marketed as a safe non-flammable binary exploding target for a user-friendly shot indicator for target practice using a variety of firearms.
- 17. The instructions included with Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets provide for no other purpose than detonation.

- 18. Detonation or rapid combustion of the mixed Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target is capable of relatively instantaneous or rapid release of gas.
- 19. Detonation or rapid combustion of the mixed Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets is capable of relatively instantaneous or rapid release of heat.
- 20. On or about September 2, 2019, Mercado II shot at and hit a Tannerite target. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target exploded on impact and the detonation immediately caused a fire.
- 21. The fire spread over approximately 16,000 acres destroying mixed agricultural crops, pastures, fencing, a residence, multiple out buildings, and damaging property belonging to Plaintiffs.
- 22. Plaintiffs incurred necessary expenses in fighting to suppress the fire and in mitigating damages caused by the fire.
- 23. Tannerite markets Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets as a non-flammable binary exploding target. Tannerite represents to consumers that Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets are specifically designed to be safe and non-flammable, whether sitting on a shelf, being mixed, or used. Tannerite represents that the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets produce a water vapor and a thunderous boom resembling an explosion.
- 24. Tannerite knowingly misleads consumers about the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target's ability to start a fire.

- 25. Tannerite was the manufacturer, distributor, wholesaler, and/or seller of the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target and/or its component ingredients and materials within the meaning of § 27-1-719, MCA, and is strictly liable for supplying and selling the defective the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target that caused the fire and Plaintiffs' damages
- 26. Tannerite's defective design of the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was a substantial factor in causing the fire and fire damage.

#### **COUNT I**

# Strict Liability – Defective Design Against Tannerite Sports LLC, Daniel J. Tanner, and Tannerite Explosives (Collectively "Tannerite")

- 27. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if fully reproduced.
- 28. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was in a defective condition because of defective design which proximately caused the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target to create a fire resulting in the burning of approximately 16,000 acres and loss of property.
- 29. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target is defective in design because:
  - (a) The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target has a tendency to cause fires;
  - (b) The foregoing creates an extreme risk of harm beyond the reasonable expectations of consumers and creates a risk that far outweighs any benefit associated with the design

- given the uses for which the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was marketed; and
- (c) Safer alternative designs that safely preclude fire were technologically feasible when the Tannerite target left the control of Tannerite.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the design defect, the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target detonated and caused a fire which burned approximately 16,000 acres and destroyed mixed agricultural crops, pastures, fencing, buildings, damaged property belonging to Plaintiffs, and necessitated fire suppression and mitigation.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the fire and their economic losses, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury.

#### **COUNT II**

## Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Against Tannerite Sports LLC, Daniel J. Tanner, and Tannerite Explosives (Collectively "Tannerite")

- 32. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if fully reproduced.
- 33. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was in a defective condition time of the manufacture, distribution, and sale by Tannerite because of a manufacturing defect and the failure of the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target to conform to design.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the manufacturing defect, the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target detonated causing a fire which burned and

destroyed mixed agricultural crops, pastures, fencing, buildings, damaged property belonging to Plaintiffs, and necessitated fire suppression and mitigation.

35. As a direct and proximate result of the fire and their economic losses, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury.

#### **COUNT III**

# Strict Liability – Failure to Warn Against Tannerite Sports LLC, Daniel J. Tanner, and Tannerite Explosives (Collectively "Tannerite")

- 36. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if fully reproduced.
- 37. The Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target was in a defective condition because of Defendants' failure to adequately warn consumers and users about the risk of serious harm associated with the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets, including the tendency of Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets to detonate and cause fires.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the defective condition of the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target, because of failure to warn, the fire occurred which burned and destroyed mixed agricultural crops, pastures, fencing, buildings, damaged property belonging to Plaintiffs, and necessitated fire suppression and mitigation.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of the fire and their economic losses, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury.

#### COUNT IV

# Warranty Claims Against Tannerite Sports LLC, Daniel J. Tanner, and Tannerite Explosives (Collectively "Tannerite")

- 40. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if fully reproduced.
- 41. Defendants knew users of Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets, would rely on Tannerite to provide safe products, thereby expressly and impliedly warranting that the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target would be suitable for its intended purposes and would not cause a fire.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of warranties, Plaintiffs suffered the destruction of mixed agricultural crops, pastures, fencing, buildings, and their property which necessitated fire suppression and mitigation.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of warranties and as a natural and foreseeable consequence, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury.

#### **COUNT V**

# Punitive Damages Against Tannerite Sports LLC, Daniel J. Tanner, and Tannerite Explosives (Collectively "Tannerite")

44. Tannerite had actual knowledge of facts or intentionally disregarded facts that created a high probability of injury to Plaintiffs and users of Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets because of the detonation of Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Targets and the ability to cause fire.

45. Tannerite deliberately acted in conscious or intentional disregard of the high probability of injury to Plaintiffs and other users and/or deliberately acted with indifference to the high probability of injury to Plaintiffs and other users of the Tannerite Brand Binary Exploding Target. Defendants Tannerite are guilty of malice as defined by Montana law, under Section 27-1-221, MCA, and each should be punished and made an example of to discourage each of them and others from engaging in like conduct, through an assessment of punitive damages.

#### **PLAINTIFFS' DAMAGES**

- 46. Plaintiffs incorporate all previous paragraphs as if fully reproduced.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiffs suffered damages including, but not limited to, economic losses; standing crop and pasture loss; cover crop loss; loss of nutrients; loss of topsoil; future crop yield losses; loss of grazing grass, loss of fencing, loss of buildings, loss of property, costs of repairs, other property damages and fire suppression and mitigation damages.
- 48. To mitigate their damages, Plaintiffs incurred costs in extinguishing the fire, in preparing to seed and maintain the burned acreage with a cover crop to prevent further topsoil loss, and other costs in mitigating their damages.

49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, the destruction and damage to their property, and resultant economic losses, Plaintiffs suffered emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request the Court and jury award the following damages:

- 1. All damages recoverable under Montana law;
- 2. Reasonable compensation for standing crop and pasture loss; cover crop loss; loss of nutrients; loss of topsoil; future crop yield losses; loss of grazing grass, fencing, buildings, property; costs of repairs; and other property damages.
- 3. Reasonable compensation for the economic costs of fighting to extinguish the fire, in preparing to seed and maintain the burned acreage with a cover crop to prevent further topsoil loss, and other mitigation damages;
- 4. Reasonable compensation for emotional distress with physical manifestations of injury;
- 5. Punitive damages in a sufficient amount to discourage Defendants Tannerite Sports, LLC; Daniel J. Tanner; Tannerite Explosives; and others from engaging in like conduct.
  - 6. Costs of suit;
  - 7. Pre-judgment and post-judgement interest as provide by law; and

8. Such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Dated: September 1, 2021.

CONNER, MARR & PINSKI, PLLP

/s/ Gregory G. Pinski

Gregory G. Pinski
P.O. Box 3028
520 Third Avenue North
Great Falls, MT 59403-3028
Telephone: (406) 727-3550

Facsimile: (406) 727-1640

greg@mttrials.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury.

Dated: September 1, 2021.

CONNER, MARR & PINSKI, PLLP

/s/ Gregory G. Pinski

Gregory G. Pinski P.O. Box 3028 520 Third Avenue North Great Falls, MT 59403-3028

Telephone: (406) 727-3550 Facsimile: (406) 727-1640

greg@mttrials.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

RECEIPT #

**AMOUNT** 

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
MARK PERSON, ROBERT DORAN, SHELLY VERNON, ROBERT VERNON, TERRANCE BUCHANAN, SCOTT TINKLENBERG, et al.				TANNERITE SPORTS LLC, DANIEL J. TANNER, individually and acting under the assumed business name Tannerite Explosives, et al.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Cascade County				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T VOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	er)		Attorneys (If Known)					
CONNER, MARR & PINS	SKI, PLLP, PO Box 30	28, Great Falls, MT							
59403, 406-727-3550									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		(I	For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF		and One Box f	or Defenda <b>PTF</b>	ant) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen	of This State	(1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	<b>J</b> 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity     (Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen	of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>⋨</b> 5
				or Subject of a	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		<b>1</b> 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FOR	DESCRIPTION OF STREET AND STREET		here for: Nature of			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		Drug Related Seizure	1	al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cl	STATUT	ES
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal	☐ 376 Qui Tan	1 (31 USC	
<ul><li>☐ 130 Miller Act</li><li>☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li></ul>	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	□ 690	Other	28 U	SC 157	3729(a))  400 State Reapportionment  410 Antitrust  430 Banks and Banking  450 Commerce		ment
<ul> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> <li>&amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> </ul>	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPER  820 Copy	RTY RIGHTS			
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			☐ 830 Paten	t			5
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				t - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportate ☐ 470 Racketee		ed and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	v	LABOR	☐ 840 Trade		Corrupt	Organizati	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 480 Consum☐ 490 Cable/Sa	at TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending☐ 380 Other Personal	720	Act Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie Exchang		dities/
195 Contract Product Liability	★ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other St	atutory Ac	tions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (	405(g))	☐ 891 Agricult ☐ 893 Environn		ters
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom Act	of Inform	ation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	□ 791	Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes	(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrati		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		ncome Security Act or Defendant)  371 IRS—Third Pa		,	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of		
<ul><li>240 Torts to Land</li><li>245 Tort Product Liability</li></ul>	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General				SC 7609	Agency l	Decision	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			☐ 950 Constitu State Sta		I
	Employment  ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:	☐ 462 : ☐ 465 :	Naturalization Application Other Immigration					
	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights		Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	Comment							
X 1 Original □ 2 Ren	moved from $\square$ 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinsta Reopei	, I runoi e	rred from r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation		Multidist Litigation	
		• •		(specify)		Transfer		Direct Fil	
W. G. Wan on a small	28 U.S.C. 8 1332	tute under which you are f	filing (Do	not cite jurisdictional stati	utes unless div	ersity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	iuse:							
VII. REQUESTED IN	Products liability  CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$	C	HECK YES only i	if damondad in	aamnlain	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			ds 28 U.S.C. § 1332		JRY DEMAND:	¥ Yes	□ No	T.
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ΓNUMBER			
DATE		SICNATURE OF ATTOR	RNEY OF	RECORD					
09/01/2021		bury	6	pour					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/							

APPLYING IFP

**JUDGE** 

MAG. JUDGE

Date:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Montana MARK PERSON, ROBERT DORAN, SHELLY VERNON, ROBERT VERNON, TERRANCE BUCHANAN, SCOTT TINKLENBERG, GARY GUNDERSON, AND VAUGHN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC., *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. v. TANNERITE SPORTS LLC: DANIEL J. TANNER, individually and acting under the assumed business name TANNERITE EXPLOSIVES: and DOES 1-50 Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Tannerite Sports, LLC, through its Registered Agent, Daniel J. Tanner, 36366 Valley Road, Pleasant Hill, Oregon 97455 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Gregory G. Pinski and J. R. Conner CONNER, MARR & PINSKI, PLLP PO Box 3028 Great Falls, MT 59403 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	ceived by me on (date)	·							
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	e), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	$\square$ I served the summons on (name of individual), who								
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I returned the summ	urned the summons unexecuted because							
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.						
Date:									
Dute.			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Date:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Montana MARK PERSON, ROBERT DORAN, SHELLY VERNON, ROBERT VERNON, TERRANCE BUCHANAN, SCOTT TINKLENBERG, GARY GUNDERSON, AND VAUGHN VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC., *Plaintiff(s)* Civil Action No. v. TANNERITE SPORTS LLC: DANIEL J. TANNER, individually and acting under the assumed business name TANNERITE EXPLOSIVES: and DOES 1-50 Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Daniel J. Tanner, individually and acting under the assumed business name Tannerite Explosives, 36366 Valley Road, Pleasant Hill, Oregon 97455 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Gregory G. Pinski and J. R. Conner CONNER, MARR & PINSKI, PLLP PO Box 3028 Great Falls, MT 59403 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	ceived by me on (date)	·							
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual	at (place)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	e), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	$\square$ I served the summons on (name of individual), who								
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beh	alf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I returned the summ	urned the summons unexecuted because							
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this information	n is true.						
Date:									
Dute.			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: