UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ------X

Plaintiff,

RYAN KLIMAR,

Civil Action No. 21-1966

# COMPLAINT

-against-

Plaintiff Demands Trial by Jury

SETAUKET FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC., DAVID STERNE, and JANINE LEUTE

Defendants.

Plaintiff by his attorneys, DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law, complains of the Defendants herein, alleging at all relevant and material times and upon information and belief, as follows:

# Nature Of The Case

Plaintiff complains against Defendants for violations of The Americans With Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. §12112; the New York State Human Rights Law under NYS Executive Law §296 et. seq. ("NYSHRL"); New York State Executive Law §296(7); New York State Executive Law §296(6); and seeks damages to redress the injuries Plaintiff has suffered as a result thereby for disability discrimination, hostile work environment, retaliation, and wrongful termination.

# **Jurisdiction & Venue**

1. Plaintiff Mr. Klimar (hereinafter "employee" or "Mr. Klimar") is a male individual, residing in the County of Suffolk, State of New York.

#### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 2 of 9 PageID #: 2

2. Defendant Setauket Fire Department, Inc. (hereinafter "Employer") was and still is a domestic not-for-profit corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the County of Suffolk and the State of New York.

3. Defendants Setauket Fire District controlled operations conducted at 190 Main Street, East Setauket, New York 11733 (hereinafter "Workplace").

4. Jurisdiction of this action is conferred upon the Court insofar that this action involves a federal question under The Americans With Disabilities Act.

5. The Court, pursuant to *Gibb*, 38 U.S. 715 (1966), has supplemental jurisdiction over the Counts based on laws of the State of New York.

6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and otherwise based upon the fact that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within the Eastern District of the State of New York, and Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Employer within the Eastern District of the State of New York.

7. Defendant Employer meets the statutory minimum number of employees that are required for an action under The Americans With Disabilities Act.

8. On February 2, 2021, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission granted Plaintiff the right to sue [Annexed hereto].

9. This Complaint has been filed within 90 days of receipt of the aforementioned permission letter.

## PRELIMINARY FACTS

10. On or about May 9, 2018, Mr. Klimar was employed by Defendant Employer with the official title of Fire House Attendant.

#### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 3 of 9 PageID #: 3

11. Defendant David Sterne (hereinafter "District Manager") was a district manager for Defendant Employer and Mr. Klimar's supervisor at the Workplace, with supervisory authority, performance authority, and hiring and firing capacity over Mr. Klimar.

12. Defendant Janine Leute (hereinafter "Direct-Supervisor") was a Dispatch Supervisor for Defendant Employer and Mr. Klimar's supervisor at the Workplace, with supervisory authority, performance authority, and hiring and firing capacity over Mr. Klimar.

#### Facts Concerning Defendants' Unlawful Actions

13. Throughout the course of Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was qualified for his position and performed his duties in a professional and competent manner.

7. During his time employed at the Workplace, Mr. Klimar was a hard-working employee who performed his job well.

8. In February 2020, Plaintiff was hospitalized for the onset of a mood depression episode and later discharged from the hospital on February 11, 2020.

9. On or about February 12, 2020, Plaintiff called the Direct-Supervisor and informed her that he was hospitalized related to his formally diagnosed depression.

10. Plaintiff was available to attend and did work his next scheduled shift at the Workplace on February 12, 2020 – that same day.

11. On February 14, 2020, the District Manager informed Mr. Klimar that he would not allow Mr. Klimar to work his upcoming shifts until further notice because of Mr. Klimar's depression-diagnosed condition.

12. However, before Mr. Klimar's next scheduled shift, the District Manager changed his position and allowed Mr. Klimar to continue to work his next shift.

#### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 4 of 9 PageID #: 4

13. On February 18, 2020, Plaintiff attended a meeting with the District Manager and the Direct-Supervisor.

14. In this meeting, the District Manager informed Mr. Klimar that he is not permitted to return work to the Workplace because of the diagnosis of depression.

15. In Response, and upon the District Manager's request, Mr. Klimar provided Defendants with a note from his regularly treating medical professional, clearing him as medically fit to work his position.

16. Despite Mr. Klimar's medical clearance, Defendants disallowed Mr. Klimar to return or resume any activity in the Workplace.

17. Rather than provide Plaintiff with reasonable, appropriate, effective and consistent accommodations, Defendants instead, disregarded Mr. Klimar's medical clearance and recommendation that he is fit for work.

18. Without engaging in any interactive process exploring any possible alternatives, Defendants terminated or constructively terminated Mr. Klimar's employment for the discriminatory and unfounded premise that he was lame and unable to work or be accommodated for his disability.

19. Mr. Klimar was a qualified individual who could perform the essential functions of his employment.

20. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff has been a member of a protected class as a result of a qualified disability, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, insofar that Mr. Klimar's depression constitutes a disability.

21. Mr. Klimar's depression constitutes a disability under the New York State Human Rights Law.

22. Defendants terminated Mr. Klimar's employment solely due to his disability.

### **Damages**

23. Defendants were completely unreceptive to Plaintiff's disability, and instead retaliated against him by treating him in a hostile manner different from other employees and terminating his employment, causing him to suffer economic and pecuniary loss, as well as additional emotional distress and humiliation.

24. Plaintiff's aforementioned disability, qualified his status under federal, and New York State law protecting him from disparate treatment due to his disability.

25. As a result of the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff has suffered pecuniary economic losses, as well as compensable emotional pain, suffering, and emotional loss in enjoyment of life damages.

26. Insofar that Defendants' conduct has been malicious, willful, outrageous, and conducted with full knowledge of the law, Plaintiff asserts a punitive damage assessment against Defendants.

27. In sum, Plaintiff seeks recovery for damages to past and future wages and earnings loss, emotional distress damages, punitive assessment, and attorneys' fees.

# COUNT ONE FOR DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION UNDER FEDERAL AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (Against Defendant Employer)

28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.

29. The Americans With Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12112 et seq. ("ADA") provides that:

### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 6 of 9 PageID #: 6

"No covered entity shall discriminate against a qualified individual on the basis of disability in regard to job application procedures, the hiring, advancement, or *discharge of employees*, employee compensation, job training, and other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment"

30. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12111(8).

31. Defendants violated the section cited herein as set forth and discriminated against Plaintiff because of his disability by discharging him because of his medical condition.

# COUNT TWO FOR DISABILITY & RETALIATION DISCRIMINATION UNDER NEW YORK STATE EXECUTIVE LAW (Against Defendant Employer)

32. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint as if more fully set forth herein at length.

33. Executive Law § 296 provides that :

"1. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice: "(a) For an employer or licensing agency, because of an individual's age, race, creed, color, national origin, sexual orientation, military status, sex, disability, predisposing genetic characteristics, marital status, or domestic violence victim status, to refuse to hire or employ or to bar or to discharge from employment such individual or to discriminate against such individual in compensation or in terms, conditions or privileges of employment."

34. Defendants violated the section cited herein as set forth and engaged in an unlawful discriminatory practice by discriminating against the Plaintiff because of his disability and discharging him.

#### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 7 of 9 PageID #: 7

35. Plaintiff hereby makes this claim against Defendants under all of the applicable paragraphs of Executive Law § 296.

# COUNT THREE FOR AIDING & ABETTING DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION UNDER NEW YORK STATE EXECUTIVE LAW (Against All Defendants)

36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.

37. New York State Executive Law §296(6) provides that it shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice: "For any person to aid, abet, incite compel or coerce the doing of any acts forbidden under this article, or attempt to do so."

38. Defendants engaged in an unlawful discriminatory practice in violation of New York State Executive Law §296(6) by aiding, abetting, inciting, compelling and coercing the discriminatory conduct.

# <u>Relief</u>

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands against Defendants on each and every respective Count:

(a) An award to Plaintiff of compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial for all damages including but not limited to economic damages for lost past back pay and future front pay wages and attendant benefits;

(b) An award to Plaintiff of compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial for all damages including but not limited to past and future non-economic damages for humiliation, pain and suffering and emotional distress sustained;

(c) An award to Plaintiff of the costs of this action, including their reasonable attorney's fees to the fullest extent permitted by law;

- (d) An award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial; and
- (e) Such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

# Jury Demand

Plaintiff requests a jury trial on all issues to be tried.

Dated: New York, New York April 9, 2021

Joshua Gittleman, Esq. DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law 125 Maiden Lane. Suite 5C New York, New York 10038 Tel. (212) 962-2220 Attorneys for Plaintiff Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 9 of 9 PageID #: 9 EEOC Form 161 (11/2020) U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

# **DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS**

43	yan Klimar Northwood I entral Islip, N			From:	New York District Offi 33 Whitehall Street 5th Floor New York, NY 10004	ice			
		On behalf of person( CONFIDENTIAL (29	s) aggrieved whose identity is CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Ch	harge No.	I	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.			
		I	Holly M. Shabazz,						
16G-20	20-03600	:	State & Local Program Man	ager		(929) 506-5316			
THE EE	EOC IS CLOS	ING ITS FILE OF	N THIS CHARGE FOR THE F	OLLO	WING REASON:				
	The facts	The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.							
	Your alleg	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.							
	The Resp	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.							
		Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge							
	determina have no r	The EEOC issues the following determination: The EEOC will not proceed further with its investigation, and makes no determination about whether further investigation would establish violations of the statute. This does not mean the claims have no merit. This determination does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. The EEOC makes no finding as to the merits of any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.							
	The EEO	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.							
X	Other (brid	efly state)	Chargiing Party wishes to	pursu	e matter in Federal Dis	strict Court.			
			- NOTICE OF SUIT						

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred <u>more than 2 years (3 years)</u> before you file suit may not be collectible.

On behalf of the Commission

February 2, 2021

Judiflease

Enclosures(s)

Judy A. Keenan, District Director (Date Issued)

CC: Attn: Director of Human Resources SETAUKET FIRE DISTRICT 26 Hulse Road East Setauket, NY 11733

Joshua Gittleman, Esq. DeToffol & Associates

# Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1-1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS RYAN KLIMA	DEFENDANTS SETAUKET FIRE DEPARTMENT, INC.,									
(b) County of Residence	DAVID STERNE, and JANINE LEUTE   County of Residence of First Listed Defendant									
(E.	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, DeTOFFOL & GITTI 125 Maiden Lane – S Tel. (212) 962-2220			THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys <i>(lf Known)</i>							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CIT	TIZENSHIP O	F PRI	NCIPA				
U.S. Government Plaintiff				For Diversity Cases ( n of This State	Only) PTF	<b>DEF</b>	a Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		Defendant) PTF	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	a second with the base part of the property of the second s						for: Nature of S			and the second se
	TOR			RFEITURE/PENAL		-	KRUPTCY	OTHER		
120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment   & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	320 Assault, Libel &   Slander   330 Federal Employers'   Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product   Liability   350 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   356 Octher Personal   lnjury   360 Other Personal   lnjury   362 Personal Injury -   Medical Malpractice   CIVIL RIGHTS   440 Other Civil Rights   441 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing/   Accommodations   X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -   Employment   448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	. 900 . 900 . 900 . 900 . 900 . 720 . 721 . 720 . 721 . 721	LABOR LABOR Property 21 USC Other Pair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applio Other Immigration Actions	s on t t	423 Witl 28 U 28 U 820 Cop 830 Pate 835 Pate 840 Trac 840 Trac 840 Trac 840 E 840 E 840 E 840 E 840 E 840 E 840 E 840 E 845 E	USC 157 TY RIGHTS yrights int int - Abbreviated y Drug Application lemark end Trade Secrets of 2016 L SECURITY (1395ff) ik Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	480 Consun (15 US 485 Teleph Protect 490 Cable/S 850 Securit Exchar 890 Other S 891 Agricul 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitrar 899 Admini Act/Rev	n (31 USC ))) eapportion st und Bankin erce ttion organizat er Credit C 1681 or one Consu ion Act at TV ge tatutory A tural Acts imental M n of Inform ion strative Pr riew or Ap Decision utionality of	C mment ng cced and tions 1692) mer odities/ cctions atters mation ocedure opeal of
	noved from 3 Re e Court Ap	opellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ned Ar	ansferrec nother Di <i>pecify)</i>	strict	6 Multidistrie Litigation - Transfer	1 1	Multidis Litigatio Direct F	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	<b>N</b> Brief description of caus	th Disabilities Act 42 U	J.S.C. §12	2112			versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,	A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.	DE	MAND \$			HECK YES only i J <b>RY DEMAND:</b>	f demanded in XYes	complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE				DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 4/12/2021		SIGNATURE OF ATTC		RECORD	4/17/	2(				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			(-q)		4.4					
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	<b></b> .	JUDO	3e		MAG. JUD	GE		

#### Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1-1 Filed 04/12/21 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration

compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,

, counsel for Plaintiff RYAN KLIMAR

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

## **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1**

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

## **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)**

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

#### NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

1.)	Is the civil action County?		iled in the Ea Yes		emoved from	a New	York State Court located in Nass	au or Suffolk	
2.)	If you answered " a) Did the events County?			rise to the cla No	im or claims,	or a sut	os <del>t</del> antial part thereof, occur in Na	ssau or Suffolk	
	b) Did the events District?		ssions giving Yes	rise to the cla No	im or claims,	or a sut	ostantial part thereof, occur in the	Eastern	
	c) If this is a Fair D received:	ebt Col	lection Practi	ce Act case, spec	cify the County	y in whic	ch the offending communication was		
Suffolk (	If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).								
	BAR ADMISSION								
	I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.								
		Х	Yes				No		
	Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?								
			Yes	(If yes, plea	se explain	Х	Νο		
I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.									
	Signature:		144	(4(12)-	2(				
							Last	t Modified: 11/27/2017	

Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1-2 Filed 04/12/21 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 12

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

RYAN	1 KI	IMA	١R

Plaintiff(s)

# SETAUKET FIRE DEPARTMENT INC., DAVID STERNE, and JANINE LEUTE

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Setauket Fire Department, Inc. 190 Main Street East Setauket, New York, 11733

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua Gittleman, Esq. DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law 125 Maiden Lane – Suite 5C New York, New York 10038 Tel. (212) 962-2220

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1-3 Filed 04/12/21 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 13

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

**RYAN KLIMAR** 

Plaintiff(s)

SETAUKET FIRE DEPARTMENT INC., DAVID STERNE, and JANINE LEUTE

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

Janine Leute via employer 190 Main Street East Setauket, New York, 11733

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua Gittleman, Esq. DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law 125 Maiden Lane – Suite 5C New York, New York 10038 Tel. (212) 962-2220

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:21-cv-01966 Document 1-4 Filed 04/12/21 Page 1 of 1 PageID #: 14

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)

**RYAN KLIMAR** 

Plaintiff(s)

SETAUKET FIRE DEPARTMENT INC., DAVID STERNE, and JANINE LEUTE

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

David Sterne via employer 190 Main Street East Setauket, New York, 11733

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua Gittleman, Esq. DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES, Attorneys at Law 125 Maiden Lane – Suite 5C New York, New York 10038 Tel. (212) 962-2220

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk